Annual Report of Sugarcane Entomology

2016-17



Scheme AICRP on Sugarcane (Voluntary Centre)





Submitted by Senior Research Scientist (Sugarcane) Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola (MS)

Sr.No.	Projects /	Title of Projects/ Experiments
	Experiments	
1)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Early
2)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Early) – I Plant
3)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Early) – II Plant
4)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Midlate
5)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Midlate) – I Plant
6)	E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect
		pests of sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Midlate) – II Plant
7)	E-28	Survey and surveillance of sugarcane insect pests
8)	E.30	Monitoring of insect pests and bioagents in sugarcane agro-
		ecosystem.

Technical Programme implemented at Akola during 2016-17

Table	A: Weekly Weat	her data f	for the y	ear 2016	recorde	d at M	eteorol	ogical O	bservato	ry Depa	artmer	nt of A	grono	my Dr. F	PDKV., A	kola				
			Ac	tual			2	2016								Normal		19	71-2010	
MW	Dates	т ма	X (^o C)	T MIN	(^o C)	BS (h:	SH rs)		/S /hr)	RH (%			H II %)		m)		RF nm)	CRF (mm)	Rainy	7 Days
		N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	Α		N	A
1	1-7 Jan	29.0	32.3	10.3	10.7	8.7	9.2	4.9	0.7	78	66	30	21	4.2	4.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
2	8-14	29.2	31.4	11.3	10.9	8.6	8.1	6.3	1.0	71	60	30	23	4.5	4.6	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
3	15-21	29.9	29.9	11.6	13.7	8.9	7.6	5.4	2.6	69	70	28	29	4.8	5.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4	22-28	30.8	29.5	11.8	8.5	9.1	9.4	5.5	1.2	67	52	27	16	5.2	5.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
5	29-4 Feb	31.1	33.7	12.1	12.6	9.3	9.7	5.8	1.9	61	58	25	21	5.6	6.2	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
6	5-11	31.3	32.8	11.9	14.5	9.1	9.3	5.6	2.3	59	51	23	25	5.9	6.1	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
7	12-18	32.5	33.9	13.4	15.9	9.4	9.2	6.1	2.9	56	51	22	21	6.6	7.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	19-25	33.0	36.1	13.8	17.6	9.5	8.3	6.5	2.1	57	53	22	26	7.3	6.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
9	26-4 Mar	34.7	35.8	14.8	19.2	9.6	8.9	7.0	2.3	50	70	17	32	8.1	6.9	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
10	5-11	36.1	36.5	16.7	19.0	9.6	9.0	6.8	3.3	44	48	18	20	9.0	7.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
11	12-18	37.3	36.8	17.5	20.2	9.6	9.3	6.9	3.3	42	44	17	20	9.5	8.5	2.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.0
12	19-25	38.5	39.0	18.3	20.2	9.6	9.5	6.9	4.4	37	29	13	11	10.5	9.6	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0
13	26-1 Apr	39.0	40.4	19.7	22.2	9.6	8.4	7.6	2.8	36	32	15	15	11.3	9.8	2.9	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0
14	2-8 Apr	40.1	41.2	21.1	25.4	9.8	8.4	7.9	2.0	36	37	15	18	11.7	9.8	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0
15	9-15	40.8	41.8	22.5	24.9	9.9	9.7	9.3	3.6	34	33	12	17	13.4	13.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0
16	16-22	41.7	44.0	23.5	27.5	10.2	9.8	9.1	4.8	34	34	14	14	13.7	13.9	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
17	23-29	42.1	41.7	24.8	25.6	10.1	10.0	10.2	8.1	37	38	14	18	14.4	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0
18	30- 6 May	42.7	42.9	26.0	27.6	9.9	9.3	11.4	6.1	38	37	14	14	15.4	14.1	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.0
19	7-13	42.6	40.7	26.5	26.1	10.1	8.4	12.7	3.7	43	50	17	17	16.4	10.7	0.3	19.9	20.3	0.1	1.0
20	14-20	42.6	45.2	27.3	30.7	9.7	8.9	14.6	4.1	48	33	18	12	17.3	13.9	1.8	0.6	20.9	0.2	0.0
21	21-27	42.4	42.0	27.4	29.4	9.8	10.0	15.7	18.8	50	52	20	21	17.0	19.5	4.1	0.0	20.9	0.5	0.0
22	28-3 Jun	41.9	41.4	27.6	29.9	9.7	10.0	16.2	14.2	56	51	23	21	16.3	15.2	5.7	0.0	20.9	0.5	0.0
23	4-10	39.0	41.2	25.8	28.0	8.0	6.5	14.9	8.5	62	56	30	27	13.4	11.5	18.3	8.6	29.5	1.2	2.0
24	11-17	38.2	38.9	25.5	29.0	7.5	7.7	15.4	16.0	71	55	42	28	11.1	16.5	43.3	0.0	29.5	2.0	0.0
25	18-24	35.3	34.5	24.9	24.7	7.1	5.8	15.1	5.3	76	83	50	47	9.1	6.1	52.3	88.0	117.5	2.2	4.0
26	25-1Jul	34.1	32.8	24.2	24.6	5.3	3.5	13.4	8.3	80	80	55	58	7.3	5.5	38.2	49.9	167.4	2.3	2.0

			Act	tual			2	2015								Normal		19	71-2010)
Weeks	Dates	t max (^o c)		T MIN (^o C)		BSH (hrs)			WS (km/hr)		RH I (%)		RH II (%)		Evap (mm)		RF (mm)		Rainy Days	
		N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A		N	A
27	2-8	33.5	30.7	24.4	24.8	5.2	1.1	12.9	10.0	81	86	58	70	6.8	4.7	34.7	53.1	220.5	2.4	3.0
28	9-15	32.3	28.1	23.7	23.6	3.8	2.3	12.0	11.6	84	90	62	73	5.5	3.9	52.2	212.8	433.3	2.8	6.0
29	16-22	32.0	31.5	23.9	24.9	3.3	4.7	11.2	7.3	84	83	65	62	5.6	4.3	58.6	5.7	439.0	2.6	1.0
30	23-29	31.7	29.8	23.3	23.6	4.3	2.5	11.9	4.7	85	91	64	72	5.3	3.8	44.2	104.5	543.5	2.6	6.0
31	30-5 Aug	31.1	29.4	23.1	23.9	3.6	2.3	11.7	7.5	88	86	66	71	4.6	4.0	49.3	65.3	608.8	2.5	4.0
32	6-12	30.2	30.4	22.9	24.0	3.5	3.6	11.6	6.6	87	84	69	66	4.2	4.0	59.9	10.5	619.3	2.9	2.0
33	13-19	30.5	31.0	22.8	23.4	4.4	5.3	11.7	11.5	86	85	66	58	4.5	5.6	40.6	0.0	619.3	2.2	0.0
34	20-26	30.5	30.0	22.6	23.4	4.3	5.8	11.0	4.1	88	83	66	64	4.3	4.0	46.7	13.7	633.0	2.0	2.0
35	27-2 Sep	30.4	31.9	22.7	24.5	4.4	4.5	10.6	4.5	86	85	64	62	4.2	3.9	47.1	6.5	639.5	2.4	1.0
36	3-9	31.1	31.3	22.5	22.6	5.7	8.5	9.1	8.4	85	85	61	47	4.7	6.2	28.5	1.5	641.0	1.5	0.0
37	10-16	32.2	31.8	22.4	23.6	7.1	3.4	9.0	5.5	85	86	56	59	5.1	4.2	18.9	28.5	669.5	1.1	3.0
38	17-23	33.4	30.9	22.3	23.3	7.2	4.3	8.5	2.8	83	94	53	70	5.3	3.4	24.6	62.9	732.4	1.4	3.0
39	24-30	33.7	30.8	21.9	23.0	7.6	6.0	5.4	4.9	83	92	50	71	4.9	4.4	24.4	30.3	762.7	1.5	1.0
40	1-7 Oct	33.9	29.1	20.2	22.8	8.1	4.6	7.5	1.8	81	92	45	73	5.5	3.2	21.8	61.5	824.2	1.1	4.0
41	8-14	34.1	31.2	18.7	21.3	4.2	7.6	4.1	3.0	76	90	40	59	5.3	4.1	16.0	29.0	853.2	0.9	1.0
42	15-21	33.9	32.9	18.1	16.5	8.4	8.9	4.4	0.4	74	80	36	29	5.5	4.4	3.1	0.0	853.2	0.4	0.0
43	22-28	33.1	32.4	18.5	15.8	8.4	8.4	4.1	0.9	73	80	36	34	5.3	4.7	10.0	0.0	853.2	0.6	0.0
44	29-4 Nov	33.0	31.4	15.8	14.3	8.7	8.7	4.7	0.4	72	81	31	34	5.3	4.4	2.3	0.0	853.2	0.3	0.0
45	5-11	32.4	31.4	14.8	11.2	8.6	8.6	4.5	0.3	70	77	30	27	5.2	3.8	3.7	0.0	853.2	0.3	0.0
46	12-18	31.7	30.3	13.7	11.9	8.6	8.3	4.6	0.4	70	84	30	33	4.9	3.7	1.1	0.0	853.2	0.2	0.0
47	19-25	31.0	30.7	13.1	9.7	8.6	8.4	4.4	0.3	71	85	30	32	4.6	3.6	10.1	0.0	853.2	0.3	0.0
48	26-2 Dec	30.3	31.9	12.4	10.9	8.8	8.9	4.6	0.2	71	85	31	31	4.3	3.8	6.8	0.0	853.2	0.3	0.0
49	3-9	29.8	30.1	11.2	10.7	8.7	8.0	4.7	0.8	70	88	29	35	4.3	3.5	1.3	0.0	853.2	0.2	0.0
50	10-16	29.4	30.2	10.3	10.7	8.8	8.0	4.5	1.2	70	79	27	32	4.2	4.2	1.3	0.0	853.2	0.2	0.0
51	17-23	29.5	29.2	10.6	8.6	8.7	8.5	4.7	0.5	69	85	29	35	4.3	3.5	0.9	0.0	853.2	0.1	0.0
52	24-31	29.2	29.5	10.7	8.4	8.6	8.5	4.8	0.5	70	83	31	29	4.3	3.4	2.6	0.0	853.2	0.2	0.0
										TOTAL	RF Janı	uary to	Dec			805.6	853.2			46
	1					-	-			Total R	F June	to Dec							1	45.0

Part II-B

Details of the Research Work Carried Out During the Year 2016-17

Methodology used:

1) **Early Shoot borer:** Four middle rows were selected from the plot and total germinated shoots were counted. The shoots affected by early shoot borer showing "dead hearts" were counted. Calculated the % incidence as per the following formula

% Incidence = ------ x 100 Total number of shoots

2) Scale Insects: Twenty five canes were selected randomly from the plot and affected internodes due to the scale insects and total internodes in each cane were counted. Calculated the % incidence and % intensity as per the following formula.

Number of affected canes

% Incidence =----- x100 25 canes Number of affected Internodes % Intensity =----- x100 Total number of internodes

- 3) **Pyrilla :** Ten canes were selected and two leaves per cane were selected in all Twenty leaves were selected randomly from the plot and pyrilla per leaf were counted.
- 4) White fly: Population of nymph and puparia were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves) and average population on per 3 leaves was reported.
- 5) **Aphids :** Population of Aphid were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves) and average population per 3 leaves was reported.

	Part-I	Genera	l Information
600	Project Code		E-4.1
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their
			reaction against major insect pests of
			sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Early
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection
	Sub Group		Entomology
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research
604	Specific Area		Host Plant Resistance
605	Duration of Project		One year
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16
605.2	Period for which report		2016-17
	submitted		
	Part II	Invest	igation Profile
610	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Dr.N.K.Patke
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
		II Tech	nical Details
620	Introduction and Objectives		
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP
			Trials for their reactions to major insect pests.
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect
			pests of sugarcane
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design
	3. Replication		Three
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row
	6. Fertilizer		175 kg N + 100 kg P ₂ O ₅ + 100 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹
	7. Date of Planting		19/01/2016
	8. Date of Harvesting		05/11/2016
	9. Treatments		Eleven varieties
			1) Co13002 2) Co13003 3) Co85004
			4) CoN 13071 5) CoN13072 6) CoC 671
			7) CoSnk130102 8) MS 13081 9) Co13004
			10) Co94008 11) CoSnk 13101
	10. Observations recorded		

For Early Shoot Borer:

Observation were recorded in post-germination phase at 30 days interval up to 120 days (at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP).

The observations on the total number of shoots and number of dead hearts due to the early shoot borer were recorded. Calculated the per cent incidence as per the following formula:

Per cent Incidence = Number of Dead Hearts Total number of shoots

The grade of infestation was given as under,

Grade	% Incidence
Less Susceptible (LS)	Below 15
Moderately Susceptible (MS)	15.1 - 30
Highly Susceptible (HS)	Above 30

For Scale insect: Twenty five canes were selected randomly from each plot and affected

Internodes due to scale insect in each cane were counted.

Calculated the per cent incidence as per following formula

Number of affected canes

Per cent Incidence =------ x100 25 canes

Number of affected Internodes Per cent Intensity =------ x100

Total number of internodes

The grade of infestation was given as under,

Grade	% Incidence
Less Susceptible (LS)	Below 10
Moderately Susceptible (MS)	10.1-35
Highly Susceptible (HS)	Above 35

Pyrilla : Ten canes were selected and two leaves per cane were selected in all Twenty leaves

were selected randomly from the plot and pyrilla per leaf were counted.

The grade of infestation was given as under,

Grade	% Incidence
Less Susceptible (LS)	Below 5.0
Moderately Susceptible (MS)	5.1-20.0
Highly Susceptible (HS)	Above 20.0

Table 1: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in IVT Early Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.

		ESB at 30	DAP	ESB at	60 DAP	ESB at 9	O DAP	ESB at 120 DAP	
Sr. No.	Genotypes	Average % Infestatio n	Rea ctio n	Averag e % Infesta tion	Reactio n	Average % Infestat ion	Reacti on	Avera ge % Infest ation	Rea ctio n
1	Co13002	24.90	MS	16.78	MS	6.05	LS	6.34	LS
2	Co13003	40.27	HS	25.86	MS	7.34	LS	8.86	LS
3	Co85004	17.30	MS	11.59	LS	5.93	LS	5.74	LS
4	CoN13071	27.47	MS	17.81	MS	4.81	LS	4.90	LS
5	CoN13072	15.17	MS	11.54	LS	5.75	LS	5.41	LS
6	CoC671	22.65	MS	16.55	MS	7.25	LS	5.41	LS
7	CoSnk13102	44.80	HS	26.19	MS	10.90	LS	13.68	LS
8	MS13081	89.11	HS	25.59	MS	9.83	LS	8.78	LS
9	Co13004	34.85	HS	21.67	MS	8.08	LS	7.43	LS
10	Co94008	20.34	MS	15.20	MS	6.83	LS	6.29	LS
11	CoSnk13101	19.69	MS	14.74	LS	7.99	LS	12.13	LS

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible.

Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Table 2: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in IVT Early Plant at Harvest

	a .		Scales	
Sr. No.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction
1	Co13002	34.00	5.79	MS
2	Co13003	26.00	4.28	MS
3	Co85004	36.00	6.24	HS
4	CoN13071	26.00	4.72	MS
5	CoN13072	30.00	4.06	MS
6	CoC671	26.00	3.08	MS
7	CoSnk13102	40.00	7.54	HS
8	MS13081	42.00	5.41	HS
9	Co13004	36.00	4.37	HS
10	Co94008	26.00	4.57	MS
11	CoSnk13101	34.00	5.34	MS

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible.

Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 – 35, HS= Above 35

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	Reactio n	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	Rea ctio n	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	React ion
1	Co13002	0.95	LS	1.02	LS	0.80	LS	0.80	LS
2	Co13003	0.87	LS	0.98	LS	0.70	LS	0.70	LS
3	Co85004	0.83	LS	1.25	LS	0.70	LS	0.70	LS
4	CoN13071	0.67	LS	1.20	LS	0.43	LS	0.43	LS
5	CoN13072	0.62	LS	1.13	LS	0.43	LS	0.43	LS
6	CoC671	0.68	LS	0.93	LS	0.48	LS	0.48	LS
7	CoSnk13102	0.72	LS	0.93	LS	0.52	LS	0.52	LS
8	MS13081	0.98	LS	0.87	LS	0.78	LS	0.75	LS
9	Co13004	0.87	LS	0.93	LS	0.68	LS	0.68	LS
10	Co94008	0.73	LS	1.02	LS	0.58	LS	0.58	LS
11	CoSnk13101	0.55	LS	0.70	LS	0.40	LS	0.38	LS

Table 3: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf in IVT Early Plant

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table 1) revealed that the entries Co13003 CoSnk130102, MS 13081 and Co13004 were found highly susceptible whereas, Co94008, CoSnk 13101, Co13002, Co85004 CoN 13071, CoN13072 and CoC 671 were moderately susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60 DAP Co85004, CoN13072 and CoSnk 13101 were found less susceptible while all other entries were found moderately susceptible. At 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scales: The data (Table 2) revealed that varieties CoSnk130102, Co13004, Co85004 and MS 13081 were found to be Highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Pyrilla: The data (Table 3) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the eleven entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 15.17 to 89.11 % infestation indicating the genotypes are Highly susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 11.54 to 26.19 % infestation indicating the genotypes are moderately susceptible to less susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 4.81 to 10.90 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 4.90 to 13.68% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 26 to 42 % incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.38 to 1.25 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

	Part-I G	enera	al Information
600	Project Code		E-4.1
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their
			reaction against major insect pests of
			sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Early)
			– I Plant
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection
	Sub Group		Entomology
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research
604	Specific Area		Host Plant Resistance
605	Duration of Project		One year
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16
605.2	Period for which report submitted		2016-17
C10		nvest	igation Profile
<u>610</u>	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Dr.N.K.Patke
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address	 [/T = = 1	Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
620		Tecr	
620	Introduction and Objectives Immediate Objectives		To compare the augurerous mariation in ALCOD
020.1	Infinediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP Trials for their reactions to major insect pests.
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect
020.2	Specific objectives		pests of sugarcane
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
021.1	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design
	3. Replication		Three
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row
	6. Fertilizer		$175 \text{ kg N} + 100 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 + 100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$
	7. Date of Planting		13/01/2016
	8. Date of Harvesting		11/11/2016
	9. Treatments		Eight varieties
			1) Co11001 2) Co85004 3) CoM 11081
		1	4) Co94008 5) CoM 11084 6) Co11004
			7) CoM11082 8) CoC671
	10. Observations recorded		As per the technical details given in Experiment
		1	E-4.1

Table 4: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in AVT Early	Ι
Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.	

G		ESB at 30 DAP		ESB at	60 DAP	ESB at 90 DAP		ESB at 120 DAP	
Sr. No	Genotypes	Average % Infestatio n	Rea ctio n	Averag e % Infesta tion	Reactio n	Average % Infestat ion	Reacti on	Avera ge % Infest ation	Rea ctio n
1	Co11001	16.68	MS	8.31	LS	6.40	LS	6.03	LS
2	Co85004	18.16	MS	11.82	LS	7.86	LS	7.82	LS
3	CoM11081	25.21	MS	15.77	MS	8.59	LS	11.61	LS
4	Co94008	15.76	MS	9.23	LS	8.17	LS	7.90	LS
5	CoM11084	10.56	LS	4.54	LS	4.22	LS	3.75	LS
6	Co11004	16.41	MS	7.64	LS	5.59	LS	5.67	LS
7	CoM11082	27.58	MS	9.33	LS	6.01	LS	5.55	LS
8	CoC671	3.38	LS	2.40	LS	3.07	LS	2.00	LS

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Table 5: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in AVT Early I Plant at Harvest

		Scales						
Sr. No.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction				
1	Co11001	36.00	5.05	HS				
2	Co85004	26.67	4.34	MS				
3	CoM11081	32.00	5.57	MS				
4	Co94008	32.00	6.23	MS				
5	CoM11084	37.33	6.38	HS				
6	Co11004	34.67	7.21	MS				
7	CoM11082	34.67	6.59	HS				
8	CoC671	25.33	4.47	MS				

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible.

Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 – 35, HS= Above 35

Table 6: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) perleaf in AVT Early IPlant

SN	Genotypes	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	Reaction							
1	Co11001	0.80	LS	1.28	LS	0.85	LS	1.15	LS	
2	Co85004	0.83	LS	1.25	LS	0.85	LS	1.07	LS	
3	CoM11081	1.02	LS	1.25	LS	0.97	LS	1.08	LS	
4	Co94008	0.73	LS	1.02	LS	0.88	LS	0.97	LS	
5	CoM11084	1.12	LS	1.12	LS	1.07	LS	0.93	LS	
6	Co11004	1.13	LS	1.00	LS	1.00	LS	0.87	LS	
7	CoM11082	0.83	LS	0.93	LS	0.87	LS	0.88	LS	
8	CoC671	0.68	LS	0.93	LS	0.70	LS	0.85	LS	

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table 4) revealed that the entries CoM 11084 and CoC671 were found less susceptible whereas, the remaining entries i.e. Co11001, Co85004, CoM 11081, Co94008, Co11004 and CoM11082 were found moderately susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60 DAP CoM 11081 was found moderately susceptible while all other entries were found less susceptible. At 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scale insect: The data (Table 5) revealed that varieties CoM 11084, Co11001 and CoM11082 were found to be Highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Pyrilla: The data (Table 6) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the eight entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 3.38 to 27.58 % infestation indicating the genotypes are Highly susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 2.40 to 15.77% infestation indicating the genotypes are moderately susceptible to less susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 3.07 to 8.59% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 2.00 to 11.61% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 25.33 to 37.33% incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.68 to 1.28 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

Experi	ment No.3 Part-I	Goner	al Information
600	Project Code	Genera	E-4.1
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their
002	Floject Illie		reaction against major insect pests of
			sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Early)
			– II Plant
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection
	Sub Group		Entomology
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research
604	Specific Area		Host Plant Resistance
605	Duration of Project		One year
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16
605.2	Period for which report		2016-17
	submitted		
	Part II	Invest	igation Profile
610	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Dr. N. K. Patke
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
	Part I	II Tech	nnical Details
620	Introduction and Objectives		
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP
			Trials for their reactions to major insect pests.
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect
			pests of sugarcane
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design
	3. Replication		Three
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row
	6. Fertilizer		175 kg N + 100 kg P ₂ O ₅ + 100 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹
	7. Date of Planting		09/01/2016
	8. Date of Harvesting		10/11/2016
	9. Treatments		Eleven varieties
			2) Co10004 2) Co10005 3) Co10006
			4) Co10024 5) Co10026 6) Co10027
			7) CoT10366 8) CoT10367 9) Co85004
			10) Co94008 11) CoC 671
	10. Observations recorded		As per the technical details given in Experiment
			E-4.1

Table 7: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in AVT Early II Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.

		ESB at 30	DAP	ESB at	60 DAP	ESB at 9	O DAP	ESB at	120
								DA	P
Sr.	Construnce	Average	Rea	Averag	Reactio	Average	Reacti	Avera	Rea
No.	Genotypes	%	ctio	e %	n	%	on	ge %	ctio
		Infestatio	n	Infesta		Infestat		Infest	n
		n		tion		ion		ation	
1	Co10004	15.33	MS	8.79	LS	4.76	LS	3.54	LS
2	Co10005	5.66	LS	2.41	LS	3.32	LS	1.52	LS
3	Co10006	29.10	MS	15.33	MS	10.97	LS	7.18	LS
4	Co10024	14.29	LS	8.99	LS	8.69	LS	5.84	LS
5	Co10026	14.73	LS	8.37	LS	6.08	LS	4.69	LS
6	Co10027	10.10	LS	5.14	LS	3.58	LS	2.29	LS
7	CoT10366	22.08	MS	11.76	LS	8.97	LS	5.76	LS
8	CoT10367	6.82	LS	4.61	LS	3.80	LS	3.15	LS
9	Co 85004 (C)	4.91	LS	2.36	LS	2.66	LS	1.61	LS
10	Co 94008 (C)	7.72	LS	3.68	LS	3.46	LS	2.52	LS
11	CoC 671 (C)	7.58	LS	4.22	LS	3.57	LS	2.58	LS

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible.

Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Table 8: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in AVT Early II Plant at Harvest

Sr. No.	Ganatunaa		Scales		
SI. NO.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction	
1	Co10004	40.00	6.56	HS	
2	Co10005	26.00	3.69	MS	
3	Co10006	34.00	5.00	MS	
4	Co10024	44.00	6.65	HS	
5	Co10026	38.00	5.39	HS	
6	Co10027	32.00	5.23	MS	
7	CoT10366	28.00	6.85	MS	
8	CoT10367	32.00	6.16	MS	
9	Co 85004 (C)	30.00	4.38	MS	
10	Co 94008 (C)	32.00	6.28	MS	
11	CoC 671 (C)	36.00	7.73	HS	

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 – 35, HS= Above 35

		Pyrilla	Reaction	Pyrilla	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymah	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymah	Reaction
Sr.	Genotypes	(Nymph &		(Nymph &		(Nymph &		(Nymph &	
No.		Adults)		Adults)		Adults)		Adults)	
		per leaf		per leaf		per leaf		per leaf	
1	Co10004	0.90	LS	1.03	LS	0.75	LS	0.80	LS
2	Co10005	1.10	LS	1.15	LS	0.95	LS	0.92	LS
3	Co10006	0.75	LS	1.35	LS	0.60	LS	0.70	LS
4	Co10024	0.90	LS	1.00	LS	0.75	LS	0.85	LS
5	Co10026	0.80	LS	1.00	LS	0.65	LS	0.75	LS
6	Co10027	1.10	LS	1.15	LS	0.95	LS	1.00	LS
7	CoT10366	0.52	LS	0.68	LS	0.37	LS	0.45	LS
8	CoT10367	1.20	LS	1.35	LS	1.05	LS	1.10	LS
9	Co 85004		LS		LS		LS		LS
9	(C)	0.75		0.80		0.60		0.65	
10	Co 94008		LS		LS		LS		LS
10	(C)	1.00		1.15		0.85		0.90	
11	CoC 671		LS		LS		LS		LS
11	(C)	0.60		0.77		0.45		0.45	

 Table 9: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf in AVT Early II Plant

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table 7) revealed that the entries Co10004, Co10006 and CoT10366 were found to be moderately susceptible whereas, the remaining entries i.e. Co10005, Co10024, Co10026, Co10027, CoT10367, Co85004, Co94008 and CoC 671 were found less susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60 DAP Co10006 was found moderately susceptible while all other entries were found less susceptible. At 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scales: The data (Table 8) revealed that varieties Co10004, Co10024 and Co10026 were found to be highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Pyrilla: The data (Table 9) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the eleven entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 4.91 to 29.10 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 2.36 to 15.33 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 2.66 to 10.97 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 1.52 to 7.18% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 26.00 to 44.00 % incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.45 to 1.35 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

варени	ment No.4	Comore	l Information
600		1	E-4.1
600	Project Code Name of the Research Station		
601.1			Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Midlate
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection Entomology
602.1	Sub Group		
603.1 604	Research Approach		Applied Research Host Plant Resistance
	Specific Area		
605	Duration of Project		One year
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16
605.2	Period for which report submitted		2016-17
	Part II	Invest	igation Profile
610	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Shri.A.B.Kandalkar
611.2	Designation		Assistant Prof. Agronomy
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
011.0		II Tech	inical Details
620	Introduction and Objectives		
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP Trials for their reactions to major insect pests.
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect pests of sugarcane
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
041.1	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design
	3. Replication		Two
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row
	6. Fertilizer		$175 \text{ kg N} + 100 \text{ kg P}_{2}\text{O}_{5} + 100 \text{ kg K}_{2}\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$
	7. Date of Planting		12/01/2016
	8. Date of Harvesting		10/01/2017
	9. Treatments		Twenty two varieties
	9. Heatments		1) Co13005 2) Co13006 3) Co13008 4) Co13009 5) Co13011 6) Co13013
			 7) Co13014 8) Co13016 9) Co13018 10) Co13020 11) CoM13082 12) Co86032 13) CoN13073 14) CoN13074 15) Co99004 16) CoSnk 13103 17) CoSnk 13104 18) CoSnk 13105 10) CoSnk 12106 20) CoT12266
			19) CoSnk 13106 20) CoT13366 21) PI 13131 22) PI13132
	10. Observations recorded		As per the technical details given in Experiment E-4.1

	I failt at 50	, 60, 90 and			(0 D 4 D				100
		ESB at 30	DAP	ESB at	60 DAP	ESB at 9	O DAP	ESB at DA	
Sr.		Average	Rea	Averag	Reactio	Average	Reacti	Avera	Rea
No.	Genotypes	Average %	ctio	e %	n	weiage %	on	ge %	ctio
NO.		Infestatio	n	Infesta		Infestat	on	Infest	n
		n		tion		ion		ation	
1	Co13005	16.90	MS	9.64	LS	3.60	LS	3.33	LS
2	Co13006	11.78	LS	6.69	LS	4.31	LS	3.03	LS
3	Co13008	13.13	LS	5.50	LS	3.59	LS	2.58	LS
4	Co13009	9.40	LS	8.09	LS	5.84	LS	3.88	LS
5	Co13011	8.61	LS	8.38	LS	3.61	LS	2.80	LS
6	Co13013	10.00	LS	4.69	LS	2.12	LS	1.85	LS
7	Co13014	10.23	LS	7.04	LS	4.31	LS	3.23	LS
8	Co13016	12.71	LS	6.93	LS	5.96	LS	3.09	LS
9	Co13018	11.17	LS	7.53	LS	4.62	LS	3.69	LS
10	Co13020	8.90	LS	4.13	LS	3.51	LS	2.48	LS
11	CoM13082	10.63	LS	8.04	LS	3.07	LS	2.92	LS
12	Co86032	11.94	LS	6.18	LS	3.36	LS	3.25	LS
13	CoN13073	12.32	LS	4.06	LS	2.34	LS	1.94	LS
14	CoN13074	6.08	LS	6.40	LS	4.27	LS	3.61	LS
15	Co99004	24.80	MS	22.36	MS	13.17	LS	8.82	LS
16	CoSnk13103	17.42	MS	9.31	LS	6.85	LS	5.73	LS
17	CoSnk13104	12.40	LS	6.34	LS	3.82	LS	2.99	LS
18	CoSnk13105	7.86	LS	11.71	LS	7.42	LS	6.60	LS
19	CoSnk13106	14.57	LS	6.90	LS	4.23	LS	3.65	LS
20	CoT13366	14.19	LS	10.76	LS	9.00	LS	6.20	LS
21	PI13131	21.49	MS	14.81	LS	7.96	LS	6.56	LS
22	PI13132	18.14	MS	9.52	LS	6.05	LS	5.11	LS

Table 10: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in IVT midlate Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table10) revealed that the entries Co13005, Co99004, CoSnk 13103, PI 13131and PI13132 were found to be moderately susceptible whereas, the remaining entries i.e.Co13006, Co13008, Co13009, Co13011, Co13013,Co13014, Co13016, Co13018, Co13020, CoM13082 Co86032, CoN13073, CoN13074, ,CoSnk 13104, CoSnk 13105, CoSnk 13106 and CoT13366, were found less susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60 DAP Co99004 was found moderately susceptible while all other entries were found less susceptible. At 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scales: The data (Table 11) revealed that varieties Co13006, Co13008, Co13009, Co13020, CoM13082, CoN13074, CoSnk 13103, CoSnk 13104 and CoSnk 13105were found to be highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Sr. No.	Genotypes		Scales	
SI. NO.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction
1	Co13005	26.00	3.71	MS
2	Co13006	36.00	5.81	HS
3	Co13008	38.00	5.95	HS
4	Co13009	36.00	7.42	HS
5	Co13011	28.00	3.70	MS
6	Co13013	32.00	6.38	MS
7	Co13014	30.00	4.83	MS
8	Co13016	34.00	6.22	MS
9	Co13018	28.00	4.43	MS
10	Co13020	36.00	10.70	HS
11	CoM13082	40.00	8.20	HS
12	Co86032	28.00	5.18	MS
13	CoN13073	32.00	6.16	MS
14	CoN13074	36.00	7.85	HS
15	Co99004	26.00	5.89	MS
16	CoSnk13103	36.00	6.58	HS
17	CoSnk13104	38.00	7.66	HS
18	CoSnk13105	38.00	6.69	HS
19	CoSnk13106	30.00	6.06	MS
20	CoT13366	32.00	7.08	MS
21	PI13131	32.00	5.55	MS
22	PI13132	28.00	4.80	MS

Table 11: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in IVT midlate Plant at Harvest

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 - 35, HS= Above 35

Pyrilla: The data (Table 12) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the twenty two entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 6.08 to 24.80 % infestation indicating the genotypes are moderately susceptible to highly susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 4.06 to 22.36 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 2.12 to 13.17 % infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 1.85 to 8.82% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 26.00 to 40.00 % incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.40 to 1.45 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

Sr.	Genotypes	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction
No.	J	Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf	
1	Co13005	0.68	LS	0.80	LS	0.75	LS	0.88	LS
2	Co13006	0.98	LS	1.08	LS	0.85	LS	1.10	LS
3	Co13008	1.05	LS	1.20	LS	1.13	LS	1.20	LS
4	Co13009	0.98	LS	1.20	LS	0.88	LS	1.15	LS
5	Co13011	0.98	LS	0.98	LS	0.88	LS	1.13	LS
6	Co13013	1.15	LS	1.25	LS	1.25	LS	1.35	LS
7	Co13014	1.30	LS	1.45	LS	0.90	LS	1.13	LS
8	Co13016	0.85	LS	0.95	LS	0.90	LS	0.98	LS
9	Co13018	0.75	LS	0.90	LS	0.83	LS	0.95	LS
10	Co13020	0.98	LS	1.15	LS	0.98	LS	1.03	LS
11	CoM13082	0.85	LS	1.10	LS	1.15	LS	1.15	LS
12	Co86032	0.40	LS	0.55	LS	0.48	LS	0.63	LS
13	CoN13073	0.75	LS	0.90	LS	0.83	LS	0.95	LS
14	CoN13074	0.98	LS	1.13	LS	1.05	LS	1.18	LS
15	Co99004	1.10	LS	1.25	LS	1.18	LS	1.35	LS
16	CoSnk13103	1.05	LS	1.20	LS	1.20	LS	1.35	LS
17	CoSnK13104	0.75	LS	0.90	LS	1.13	LS	1.13	LS
18	CoSnK13105	0.70	LS	1.13	LS	0.75	LS	1.25	LS
19	CoSnK13106	0.85	LS	1.10	LS	0.93	LS	1.08	LS
20	CoT13366	1.10	LS	1.35	LS	1.18	LS	1.38	LS
21	PI13131	1.15	LS	1.30	LS	1.25	LS	1.35	LS
22	PI13132	1.25	LS	1.40	LS	1.23	LS	1.35	LS

Table 12: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf in IVT midlate Plant

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

	Part	I Ge	neral Information			
600	Project Code		E-4.1			
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction			
			against major insect pests of sugarcane in			
			Advanced Varietal Trial (Midlate) – I Plant			
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection			
	Sub Group		Entomology			
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research			
604	Specific Area		Host Plant Resistance			
605	Duration of Project		One year			
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16			
605.2	Period for which report submitted		2016-17			
	Part	II Inv	vestigation Profile			
610	Principal Investigator					
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande			
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology			
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
611	Co-Investigator					
611.1	Name		Shri. A.B.Kandalkar			
611.2	Designation		Assistant Prof. of Agronomy			
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
611.4	Location		Akola			
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
	Part	III 1	Sechnical Details			
620	Introduction and Objectives					
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP Trials for			
			their reactions to major insect pests.			
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect pests of			
			sugarcane			
621	Project Technical Profile					
621.1	Technical details					
	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)			
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design			
	3. Replication		Three			
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²			
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row			
	6. Fertilizer		175 kg N + 100 kg P ₂ O ₅ + 100 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹			
	7. Date of Planting		15/01/2016			
	8. Date of Harvesting		16/01/2017			
	9. Treatments		Eight varieties			
		İ	1) Co11005, 2) Co11007 3) Co86032 4) Co11019 5)			
			CoM11085 6) CoM11086 7) Co11012 and 8) Co99004			
	10. Observations recorded		As per the technical details given in Experiment E-4.1			

		ESB at 30 DAP		ESB at 60 DAP		ESB at 90 DAP		ESB at 120 DAP	
Sr. No.	Genotypes	Average % Infestatio n	Rea ctio n	Averag e % Infesta tion	Reactio n	Average % Infestat ion	Reacti on	Avera ge % Infest ation	Rea ctio n
1	Co11005	13.53	LS	9.20	LS	7.37	LS	6.77	LS
2	Co11007	14.81	LS	11.30	LS	7.44	LS	7.31	LS
3	Co86032	9.96	LS	6.45	LS	6.47	LS	4.31	LS
4	Co11019	14.32	LS	9.47	LS	5.57	LS	4.26	LS
5	CoM11085	9.12	LS	7.22	LS	6.63	LS	5.07	LS
6	CoM11086	8.95	LS	5.90	LS	5.10	LS	5.02	LS
7	Co11012	26.73	MS	16.55	MS	8.66	LS	8.94	LS
8	Co99004	16.37	MS	11.32	LS	9.34	LS	8.22	LS

Table 13: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in AVT midlate I Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Table 14: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in AVT midlate I Plant at Harvest

		Scales						
Sr. No.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction				
1	Co11005	29.33	4.85	MS				
2	Co11007	36.00	6.96	HS				
3	Co86032	22.67	2.93	MS				
4	Co11019	30.67	5.32	MS				
5	CoM11085	33.33	5.84	MS				
6	CoM11086	38.67	7.39	HS				
7	Co11012	36.00	5.45	HS				
8	Co99004	28.00	3.70	MS				

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 - 35, HS= Above 35

Table 15: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/	genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per
leaf in AVT midlate I Plant	

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf	Reaction						
1	Co11005	0.93	LS	0.83	LS	1.03	LS	0.92	LS
2	Co11007	0.73	LS	0.97	LS	0.83	LS	0.73	LS
3	Co86032	0.53	LS	0.78	LS	0.63	LS	0.58	LS
4	Co11019	0.72	LS	0.67	LS	0.82	LS	0.78	LS
5	CoM11085	0.93	LS	0.83	LS	1.03	LS	0.92	LS
6	CoM11086	1.03	LS	0.93	LS	1.12	LS	0.97	LS
7	Co11012	0.85	LS	0.98	LS	0.95	LS	0.83	LS
8	Co99004	0.95	LS	0.92	LS	1.05	LS	0.92	LS

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table13) revealed that the entries Co11012 and Co99004 were found to be moderately susceptible whereas, the remaining entries i.e. Co11005, Co11007, Co86032, Co11019, CoM11085 and CoM11086 were found less susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60 DAP Co11012 was found moderately susceptible while all other entries were found less susceptible. At 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scales: The data (Table 14) revealed that varieties Co11007, Co11012 and CoM11086 were found to be highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Pyrilla: The data (Table 15) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the eight entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 9.12 to 26.73 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 5.90 to 16.55 % infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 5.10 to 9.34 % infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 4.26 to 8.94% infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 22.67 to 38.67% incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.58 to 1.12 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

	Part-I G	ener	al Information
600	Project Code		E-4.1
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Evaluation of zonal varieties for their
			reaction against major insect pests of
			sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial (Midlate)
			– II Plant
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection
	Sub Group		Entomology
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research
604	Specific Area		Host Plant Resistance
605	Duration of Project		One year
605.1	Date of Start		2015-16
605.2	Period for which report submitted		2016-17
		nvest	tigation Profile
610	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Dr.N.K.Patke
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
		Tec	hnical Details
620	Introduction and Objectives		
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To screen the sugarcane varieties in AICRP Trials
			for their reactions to major insect pests.
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify resistant varieties to major insect
601			pests of sugarcane
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
	1. Progressive year		First (2016-17)
	2. Design		Randomized Block Design
	3. Replication		Three $(0.0 - 4.50 - 2)$
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 4.50 m ²
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row
	6. Fertilizer		$175 \text{ kg N} + 100 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 + 100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$
	7. Date of Planting		08/01/2016
	8. Date of Harvesting		15/12/2016
	9. Treatments		Thirteen varieties
			1) Co09009 2) Co10015 3) Co10017 4) Co10031 5) Co10033 6) CoM10083 7)
			(4) Co10031 5) Co10033 6) Com10083 7) CoT10368 8) CoT10369 9) CoVC10061 10)
			PI10131 11) PI10132 12) Co86032 13) Co99004
	10. Observations recorded		As per the technical details given in Experiment
			E-4.1

		ESB at 30	ESB at 30 DAP		ESB at 60 DAP		O DAP	ESB at DA	
Sr. No.	Genotypes	Average % Infestatio n	Rea ctio n	Averag e % Infesta tion	Reactio n	Average % Infestat ion	Reacti on	Avera ge % Infest ation	Rea ctio n
1	Co09009	4.98	LS	3.30	LS	3.06	LS	2.45	LS
2	Co10015	10.84	LS	5.98	LS	3.54	LS	2.87	LS
3	Co10017	16.26	MS	12.13	LS	8.66	LS	6.04	LS
4	Co10031	8.98	LS	6.11	LS	5.75	LS	3.63	LS
5	Co10033	11.53	LS	9.16	LS	7.45	LS	4.75	LS
6	CoM10083	6.87	LS	4.63	LS	4.84	LS	3.36	LS
7	CoT10368	8.14	LS	5.57	LS	4.21	LS	2.90	LS
8	CoT10369	13.70	LS	10.26	LS	10.63	LS	6.37	LS
9	CoVC10061	12.12	LS	8.61	LS	7.94	LS	4.77	LS
10	PI 10131	7.53	LS	6.13	LS	3.74	LS	2.65	LS
11	PI 10132	12.26	LS	9.29	LS	6.49	LS	4.37	LS
12	Co86032	7.38	LS	5.14	LS	4.49	LS	3.09	LS
13	Co99004	11.64	LS	7.73	LS	5.40	LS	4.01	LS

Table 16: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to major insect pests in AVT midlate II Plant at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP.

LS = Less susceptible, MS= Moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible.

Grades LS = Below 15.0 MS= 15.1 to 30.0 HS = above 30.0

Table 17: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to scales in AVT midlate II Plant at Harvest

			Scales	
Sr. No.	Genotypes	% incidence	% intensity	Reaction
1	Co09009	46.00	7.74	HS
2	Co10015	38.00	8.20	HS
3	Co10017	42.00	17.32	HS
4	Co10031	34.00	6.26	MS
5	Co86032	34.00	5.79	MS
6	Co10033	30.00	3.94	MS
7	CoM10083	40.00	5.78	HS
8	CoT10368	30.00	4.36	MS
9	CoT10369	32.00	5.23	MS
10	CoVc10061	30.00	3.46	MS
11	Co99004	28.00	4.66	MS
12	PI10131	22.00	3.34	MS
13	PI10132	26.00	2.80	MS

LS = Less susceptible, MS= moderately susceptible and HS = Highly susceptible. Grades: LS= below 10, MS= 10.1 – 35, HS= Above 35

Sr.	Genotypes	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction	Pyrilla (Nymph &	Reaction
No.	denotypes	Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf		Adults) per leaf	
1	Co09009	0.90	LS	1.20	LS	0.78	LS	0.75	LS
2	Co10015	1.95	LS	1.65	LS	1.83	LS	1.25	LS
3	Co10017	0.98	LS	1.05	LS	0.85	LS	0.83	LS
4	Co10031	1.43	LS	1.65	LS	1.30	LS	1.30	LS
5	Co10033	1.13	LS	0.90	LS	1.00	LS	0.98	LS
6	CoM10083	1.95	LS	1.50	LS	1.83	LS	1.38	LS
7	CoT10368	1.43	LS	2.10	LS	1.30	LS	1.50	LS
8	CoT10369	1.78	LS	1.95	LS	1.65	LS	1.55	LS
9	CoVC10061	1.95	LS	1.80	LS	1.83	LS	1.78	LS
10	PI 10131	1.95	LS	1.65	LS	1.83	LS	1.33	LS
11	PI 10132	2.18	LS	1.73	LS	1.78	LS	1.50	LS
12	Co86032 ©	1.05	LS	1.38	LS	0.93	LS	0.90	LS
13	Co99004 ©	0.83	LS	0.83	LS	0.58	LS	0.68	LS

Table 18: Reaction of Sugarcane varieties/genotypes to Pyrilla (Nymph & Adults) per leaf in AVT midlate II Plant

LS = Less susceptible Below 5, MS= Moderately susceptible 5.1-20.0 and HS = Highly Susceptible Above 20.

Results :

Early Shoot Borer: The data (Table 16) revealed that the entry Co10017was found to be moderately susceptible whereas, the remaining entries i.e. Co09009, Co10015, Co10031, Co10033, CoM10083, CoT10368, CoT10369, CoVC10061, PI10131, PI10132, Co86032 and Co99004were found less susceptible at 30 DAP. At 60, 90 and 120 DAP all the entries were found less susceptible.

Scales: The data (Table 17) revealed that varieties Co09009, Co10015, Co10017 and CoM10083 were found to be highly susceptible and remaining varieties were found moderately susceptible.

Pyrilla: The data (Table 18) of pyrilla at 15 days interval revealed that all the thirteen entries showed less susceptible reaction to pyrilla at fortnightly intervals.

Conclusions: The early shoot borer infestation at 30 DAP was ranging from 4.98 to 16.26% infestation indicating the genotypes are less susceptible to moderately susceptible, at 60 DAP was ranging from 3.30 to 12.13 % infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible, at 90 DAP it was ranging from 3.06 to 10.63% infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible and at 120 DAP it was ranging from 2.45 to 6.37% infestation indicating the genotypes were less susceptible.

The infestation of the scales was ranging from 22.00 to 46.00% incidence indicating the genotypes to be moderately susceptible to highly susceptible and the infestation of pyrilla in all varieties was ranging from 0.58 to 2.18 per leaf indicating less susceptible.

622.4 Utility of results obtained so far: The genotypes showing tolerant/resistant reaction to different insect pests will be utilized in resistant breeding programme in future.

DAPCIN	nent No.7		1.7.0 //
			l Information
600	Project Code		E-28
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
602	Project Title		Survey and surveillance of sugarcane insect pests
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection
	Sub Group		Entomology
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research
604	Specific Area		Population Dynamics
605	Duration of Project		Long term
605.1	Date of Start		2003-2004
605.2	Period for which report submitted		2016-17
	Part II I	nvest	igation Profile
610	Principal Investigator		
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611	Co-Investigator		
611.1	Name		Dr.N.K.Patke
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
611.4	Location		Akola
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola
		Tecr	nical Details
620	Introduction and Objectives		
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To identify key insect pests of sugarcane in the area
620.2	Specific objectives		To identify key insect pests of sugarcane in the area
621	Project Technical Profile		
621.1	Technical details		
	1. Progressive year		2016-17
	2. Design		Non-replicated
	3. Replication		Non-replicated
	4. Method of observation		Roving survey of sugarcane fields of Vidarbha districts be recorded. Report containing information on location, variety, date of planting, spacing, fertilizer doses and inter crops, if any. Observations on incidence of borers be recorded by examining 25 canes at five places (four corners and one in middle), sucking pests were recorded by examining 20 canes and others as mentioned in technical programme of E. 4.1

	I CIIIdi	a (2016-17) 			· ·	Internode	borer		
Sr. No.	Varieties	Location	Name of the farmers	Name of Pest	Per cent inciden ce	Per cent Intensi ty	Infe stat ion Ind ex	Rea ctio n	Pyril la per leaf
1.	Co-265 (Ratoon)	Jalgaon Tal. Arvi	Sau. Sarita Manohar Sawarkar Sau.Sunita Prabhakar Nehare Viay Panjabrao Deshmukh Manohar Mahadeo Sawarkar	Internode borer Pyrilla (<i>Pyrilla</i> <i>perpusilla</i>)	16.00 12.00 8.00 20.00	4.24 4.50 3.58 4.71	0.68 0.54 0.29 0.94	LS LS LS	7.00 7.50 7.48 7.65
2.	Co-265 (Ratoon) for seed purpose	Dhanoli Tal. Karanja	Shri. Pradip Wamanrao Dive	Internode borer Pyrilla (Pyrilla perpusilla)	12.00	3.98	0.48	LS	4.00
3.	Co-265 (Ratoon) for seed purpose	Talegaon Farm Tal. Talegaon	Agril. Asstt. Jetendra Patil	Internode borer Pyrilla (<i>Pyrilla</i> <i>perpusilla</i>) Whitefly (<i>Aleurolobus</i> <i>barodensis</i>)	16.00	3.71	0.59	LS	4.10 3-5/ cm ²
4.	Co86032	Chikali Tal. Darvha Dist. Yavatmal	Shri. Ghive	Whitefly	4.00	2.45	0.10	LS	20- 24/ cm ²
5.	Local variety Paturda	Tal. Telhara Dist . Akola	Shri. Ramkrishna More	Pyrilla Whitefly					7.00 26- 28/ cm ²
6.	Local Chewing variety Paturda	Mahispu r Tal. Akola Dist Akola	Balkrishna Patekhede	Early Shoot borer	20.34 % ESB infestat ion				

Table-19: Survey and surveillance of insect pests of sugarcane at Wardha, Yavatmal and Telhara (2016-17)

Table-20: Survey and surveillance of insect pests of sugarcane at Nagpur and Bhandara(2016-17)

		(2010-17)			Intern	ode bore	er		
Sr. No.	Varieties	Location	Name of the farmers	Name of Pest	Per cent incid ence	Per cent Inten sity	Infes tatio n Index	Re act io n	Pyrill a per leaf
1.	Co86032	Sawali Tal. Kamthi Dist. Nagpur	Chandrabhan Shriram Ingole	Internode borer Pyrilla (Pyrilla perpusilla)	12.00	3.81	0.46	LS	13.35
2.	Co-265 (Ratoon) for seed purpose Co86032	Wadoda Tal. Kamthi Dist. Nagpur	Shri. Ramu Bhagwan Bhure Shri. Sunil Bhagwan Bhure Shri. Raju Bhagwan Bhure	Internode borer Pyrilla (Pyrilla perpusilla)	16.00 8.00 12.00 12.00	3.57 3.35 3.15 3.00	0.57 0.27 0.38 0.36	LS LS LS LS	15.30 13.35 13.65 13.88
3.	Co-03102 Co-92005 NR-9805 Co-86032	Devhada Tal. Tumsar Dist. Bhandara	Shri. Rameshwar Topale Nursery Incharge Manas Agro Industries Unit No.4 Sugar factory farm	Internode borer Pyrilla (Pyrilla perpusilla)	12.00 8.00 12.00 12.00	3.77 3.07 2.55 2.82	0.33 0.25 0.31 0.34	LS LS LS LS	3.38 2.98 2.45 4.40
4.	Co-92005	Madgi Tal. Tumsar	Shri. Deoramji T. Bodge	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	3.43	0.41	LS	27.90
5.	Co-92005	Sukdi Tal. Tumsar	Shri. Dharmapal T. Chaudhary	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	2.75	0.33	LS	29.43
6.	Co-92005	Madgi Tal. Tumsar	Shri. Jagan S. Wahile	Internode borer Pyrilla Aphids	16.00	2.99	0.48	LS	28.98 28- 32/ cm ²
7.	Co-03102 NR-9805	Madgi Tal. Tumsar	Shri. Manohar Chindu Wahile	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00 8.00	3.20 2.58	0.38 0.21	LS LS	29.23 29.18
8.	Co-86032	Tal. Sakoli Dist. Bhandara	Shri. Sharad Gobhade	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	3.16	0.38	LS	2.98
9.	Co-86032	Tal. Sakoli Dist. Bhandara	Shri. Gulab Chaganji Kapgate	Internode borer Pyrilla	8.00	2.80	0.22	LS	3.38

Sr.	Varieties	Location	Name of	Name of	Internode borer					
No.			the farmers	Pest	Per cent incidence	Per cent Intensity	Infestation Index	Reaction		
1.	Co- 86032	Mahakal Tal Wardha	Shri. Pavane	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	2.70	0.32	LS	4.40	
2.	Co- 86032	Mahakal Tal. Wardha	Shri. Mahdeo Patil	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	3.06	0.37	LS	4.35	
3.	Co- 86032	Mahakal Tal. Wardha	Shri. Purshottam Tonape	Internode borer Pyrilla Aphids	12.00	2.95	0.35	LS	5.35	
4.	Co-265	Mahakal Tal. Wardha	Shri. Babanrao Karmore	Internode borer Pyrilla Aphids Whitefly	12.00	3.46	0.42	LS	4.93 32- 34/ cm ² 28- 30/ cm ²	
5.	CoVSI- 8005 Co-265	Jamni Tal Deoli	Sugar Factory Nursery	Internode borer Pyrilla	8.00 8.00	3.16 2.78	0.25 0.22	LS LS	2.45 2.28	
6.	Co-265	Jamni Shindi Tal. Deoli	Shri. Ashokrao C. Karotkar	Internode borer Pyrilla	12.00	3.81	0.46	LS	2.98	
7.	Co- 86032	Bhidi Tal. Deoli	Shri. Ajay Dashrathji Zade	Internode borer Pyrilla	16.00	3.57	0.57	LS	3.38	

Table-21: Survey	v and surveillance of ir	nsect pests of sugarc	ane at Wardha (2016-17)
Tuble ALL build	y and barronnanoo or m	The posts of sugard	ano at warana (2010 1)

Experiment:8

	Part-I	Genera	al Information			
600	Project Code		E-30			
601.1	Name of the Research Station		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr. PDKV, Akola			
601.2	Location of the Project		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr. PDKV, Akola			
602	Project Title		Monitoring of insect pests and bio-agents in			
			sugarcane agro-ecosystem.			
603	Priority Area- Main Group		Plant Protection			
	Sub Group		Entomology			
603.1	Research Approach		Applied Research			
604	Specific Area		Population Dynamics			
605	Duration of Project		Long term			
605.1	Date of Start		2000-2001			
605.2	Period for which report		2016-17			
	submitted					
	Part II	Invest	igation Profile			
610	Principal Investigator					
610.1	Name		Dr. Gajanan K. Lande			
610.2	Designation		Assistant Professor of Entomology			
610.3	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
611	Co-Investigator					
611.1	Name		Dr.N.K.Patke			
611.2	Designation		Senior Research Scientist			
611.3	Department		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
611.4	Location		Akola			
611.5	Address		Sugarcane Research Centre, Dr.PDKV, Akola			
	Part I	II Tecl	nnical Details			
620	Introduction and Objectives					
620.1	Immediate Objectives		To Study the seasonal occurrence and Peak			
			periods of pest infestation			
620.2	Specific objectives		To prepare forewarning models			
621	Project Technical Profile					
621.1	Technical details					
	1. Progressive year		2016-17			
	2. Design		Non-replicated			
	3. Replication		Non-replicated			
	4. Plot Size		6.00 x 13.50 m ²			
	5. Spacing		90 cm row to row			
	6. Variety		Co-86032			
	7. Date of Planting		08/01/2016			
	8. Date of Harvesting		15/12/2016			
	9. Method of observation					

 Early Shoot borer: Four middle rows were selected from the plot and total germinated shoots were counted. The shoots affected by early shoot borer showing "dead hearts" were counted. Calculated the % incidence as per the following formula

> % Incidence = Number of Dead Hearts Total number of shoots

2) **Scale Insects:** Twenty five canes were selected randomly from the plot and affected internodes due to the scale insects and total internodes in each cane were counted. Calculated the % incidence and % intensity as per the following formula.

Number of affected canes % Incidence =------ x100 25 canes

Number of affected Internodes % Intensity =------ x100 Total number of internodes

- 3) **Pyrilla :** Ten canes were selected and two leaves per cane were selected in all Twenty leaves were selected randomly from the plot and pyrilla per leaf were counted.
- 4) White fly: Population of nymph and puparia were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves) and average population on per 3 leaves was reported.
- 5) **Aphids :** Population of Aphid were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves) and average population per 3 leaves was reported.

Results: The insect pests recorded on sugarcane var. Co-86032 during 2016-17 were early shoot borer, scales, pyrilla, White fly and aphids.

However, the incidence of aphids, white fly and pyrilla was patchy and very meager.

Early shoot borer: The seasonal incidence data (Table 22) revealed that the damage due to early shoot borer was initiated during the 7th meteorological week i.e. 12th Feb 2016 (12.96% dh) and it was continued up to 30th MW. The maximum damage due to early shoot borer was observed during 7th MW i.e. 12th Feb 2016 (12.96% dh) during which met parameters were in the range of 15.9 to 33.9°C temperature, 21 to 51% RH and 0.0 mm rainfall.

Scales: The incidence of scales was initiated during 37^{th} MW (40% incidence and 4.96% intensity) and it was continued up to 52^{nd} MW. The % incidence and % intensity increased at 37^{th} MW and then % intensity decreased but the % incidence of scales increased during last met week and was maximum on 52^{nd} MW (44%).

Pyrilla: The incidence of pyrilla was initiated during 30th MW (0.90 per leaf) and it was continued up to 42nd MW. The maximum pyrilla per leaf was observed on 33rd MW (1.60 per leaf).

Aphids : The meager population of aphids was noticed. The incidence started from 27th MW and it was continued up to 47th MW the maximum incidence was noticed on 33rd MW i.e. 28 aphids per 3 leaves.

White fly: The meager population of White fly was noticed. The incidence started from 27th MW and it was continued up to 45th MW the maximum incidence was noticed on 41st MW i.e. 10 white flies per 3 leaves.

Natural Enemies/Bio-agents: The Bio-agents such as Lady bird beetles, spiders and *Apanteles* Spp. in traces were observed as bioagents against early shoot borer and *Epiricania*

against pyrilla were also observed. Mostly the unidentified spiders as a predator were also observed feeding on nymphs of pyrilla during 7th MW and continued up to 52nd MW.

Sr. No		Per cent infestat	Per cent incid	Per cent intensit y of	Bio age		Aphids per 3 leaves	White fly per 3	Pyrill a Nymp	Rainfall (mm)	Temperature (OC)		RH I (%)	RH II (%)
	MW	ion of early shoot borer	ence of scale s	Scale insects				leave s	h adult per leaf					
		50101	3		LBB	Sp			icui		Max	Min		
						id								
						er								
						s								
1	1									0.0	32.3	10.7	66	21
2	2									0.0	31.4	10.9	60	23
3	3									0.0	29.9	13.7	70	29
4	4									0.0	29.5	8.5	52	16
5	5									0.0	33.7	12.6	58	21
6	6									0.0	32.8	14.5	51	25
7	7	12.96			6	7				0.0	33.9	15.9	51	21
8	8	6.43			13	11				0.0	36.1	17.6	53	26
9	9	6.12			7	9				0.0	35.8	19.2	70	32
10	10	7.44			15	4				0.0	36.5	19.0	48	20
11	11	7.27			16	5				0.4	36.8	20.2	44	20
12	12	6.25			12	6				0.0	39.0	20.2	29	11
13	13	6.61			12	3				0.0	40.4	22.2	32	15
14	14	7.00			10	4				0.0	41.2	25.4	37	18
15	15	7.02			12	5				0.0	41.8	24.9	33	17
16	16	4.19			10	9				0.0	44.0	27.5	34	14
17	17	4.53			8	10				0.0	41.7	25.6	38	18
18	18	4.17			10	12				0.0	42.9	27.6	37	14
19	19	3.73			8	7				19.9	40.7	26.1	50	17
20	20	4.23			7	4				0.6	45.2	30.7	33	12
21	21	3.42			6	2				0.0	42.0	29.4	52	21
22	22	3.44			6	4				0.0	41.4	29.9	51	21
23	23	3.08			3	6				8.6	41.2	28.0	56	27
24	24	2.68			7	5				0.0	38.9	29.0	55	28
25	25	3.08			6	6				88.0	34.5	24.7	83	47
26	26	2.69			5	4				49.9	32.8	24.6	80	58
27	27	2.67			2	3	4	3	0.00	53.1	30.7	24.8	86	70
28	28	2.27			4	4	9	5	0.00	212.8	28.1	23.6	90	73
29	29	1.52			7	5	6	4	0.00	5.7	31.5	24.9	83	62
30	30	1.52			6	5	6	4	0.90	104.5	29.8	23.6	91	72
31	31	0.00			4	4	14	8	1.50	65.3	29.4	23.9	86	71
32	32	0.00			3	4	19	5	1.50	10.5	30.4	24.0	84	66
33	33	0.00			6	4	28	8	1.60	0.0	31.0	23.4	85	58
34	34				5	4	10	4	0.65	13.7	30.0	23.4	83	64
35	35				6	5	11	5	0.70	6.5	31.9	24.5	85	62
36	36				8	6	10	6	0.75	1.5	31.3	22.6	85	47
37	37		40	4.96	9	5	11	6	0.70	28.5	31.8	23.6	86	59
38	38		40	4.35	8	4	10	7	0.70	62.9	30.9	23.3	94	70
39	39		20	2.04	10	5	11	6	0.65	30.3	30.8	23.0	92	71
40	40		40	3.31	6	6	8	8	0.65	61.5	29.1	22.8	92	73

Table 22: Monitoring of insect pests and bio-agents in sugarcane agro-ecosystem on Co-86032 during 2016-2017

		0												
41	41		40	3.45	8	6	10	10	0.65	29.0	31.2	21.3	90	59
42	42		28	2.21	9	7	11	6	0.65	0.0	32.9	16.5	80	29
43	43		40	3.35	10	8	10	6	0.00	0.0	32.4	15.8	80	34
44	44		40	1.85	10	9	10	7	0.00	0.0	31.4	14.3	81	34
45	45		32	1.69	11	8	11	8	0.00	0.0	31.4	11.2	77	27
46	46		40	1.88	10	7	10	0	0.00	0.0	30.3	11.9	84	33
47	47		28	1.88	9	8	9	0	0.00	0.0	30.7	9.7	85	32
48	48		32	1.59	8	7	0	0	0.00	0.0	31.9	10.9	85	31
49	49		32	1.45	11	6	0	0	0.00	0.0	30.1	10.7	88	35
50	50		28	1.53	10	7	0	0	0.00	0.0	30.2	10.7	79	32
51	51		36	1.62	10	8	0	0	0.00	0.0	29.2	8.6	85	35
52	52		44	1.76	10	9	0	0	0.00	0.0	29.5	8.4	83	29
							Total R	ainfall (Jan to	853.2				
							Dec							

MW: Meteorological Week

Table 23: Correlation of incidence of insect pests on sugarcane at Akola with the weather parameters during the year 2016-17.

Variety		Rainfall	Max. Temp	Min. Temp	RH I (%)	RH II (%)						
-		(mm)	_	-								
Early shoot	borer											
	r		0.388*									
0- 86020												
Co 86032	t (cal)	-0.80	7.72	2.98	-10.60	-4.8						
	n=24	NS	NS	-NS	NS	NS						
Scales												
	r		0.468*									
0- 86020			0.590**									
Co 86032	t (cal)	-0.65	-4.03	-6.61	3.98	0.79						
	n=16	NS	-S	-S	S	NS						
Pyrilla												
-	r			0.497*								
a			0.623**									
Co 86032	t (cal)	1.18	-3.15	1.09	3.34	5.50						
	n=14	NS	-S	-NS	S	S						
Here r= coeff	ficient of con	relation, t = cal	culated t	NS = Non s	significant							

Here r= coefficient of correlation, t = calculated t S = significant at 0.05%* and 0.01%**

Results:

The data presented in Table 23 revealed that the early shoot borer incidence on Co-86032 has shown non-significant correlation with morning relative humidity, evening relative humidity, rainfall and Maximum temp. It showed negative non-significant in Min.Temp.at 5% level. Similarly, in case of scales the incidence of scales has also shown non-significant correlation with rainfall and Relative humidity at evening. But it showed Significant correlation with relative humidity at morning hours and negative significant with Max temp and min temp. In case of pyrilla it showed significant correlation with Relative humidity at morning and evening hours and non-significant with rainfall. It showed negative significant and negative nonsignificant with max. temp. and min. temp., respectively.

Sr.No.	Insect Pests	Infestation period (MW)	Highest infestation		Meteorol	ogical paı	ameters	
				RF (mm)	T max °C	T min ℃	RH I (%)	RH II (%)
1	Early Shoot Borer % infestation	7 th to 30 th MW	(12.96) 7 th MW	0.0	33.9	15.9	51	21
2	Pyrilla per leaf	30 th to 42 nd MW	(1.60 per leaf) 33 rd MW	0.0	31.0	23.4	85	58
3	Scale insect % incidence	37 th to 52 nd MW	(44%) 52 nd MW	0.0	29.5	8.4	83	29
4	Scale insect % intensity	37 th to 52 nd MW	(4.96%) 37 th MW	28.5	31.8	23.6	86	59

 Table 24: Monitoring of major insect pests of sugarcane recorded during 2016-17

PART III

Project Code	Title of the Projects / Experiments
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Early
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial – Early I Plant
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial – Early II Plant
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Initial Varietal Trial – Midlate
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial – Midlate I Plant
E-4.1	Evaluation of zonal varieties for their reaction against major insect pests of
	sugarcane in Advanced Varietal Trial – Midlate II Plant
E-28	Survey and surveillance of sugarcane insect pests
E-30	Monitoring of insect pests and bio-agents in sugarcane agro-ecosystem

List of ongoing projects to be undertaken during 2017-18.