#### ANNUAL RESEARCH REPORT OF SUGARCANE ENTOMOLOGY

# MAIN SUGARCANE RESEARCH STATION, NAVSARI AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

#### NAVSARI (YEAR: 2016-17)

#### 1. Project no. E.4.1:

1. Title	: Evaluation of zonal verities/ genotypes for their reaction against major insect pests
2. Objective	: To grade the entries in the Zonal Varietal Trials for their behavior towards damage by key pests in the area.
3. Year of start	: 2015-16
4. Location	: Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari.
5. No. of replications	: Three
6. Plot size	: 6.00 X1.00 M
7. Date of planting	: 19.01.2016
8. Verities	: IVT/AVT

#### 9. Signature of the scientistin charge of the experiment :

**10. Name and designation** : S. N. Gajjar, Assistant Research Scientist **Methodology:** 

The IVT/AVT/other sugarcane genotypes were planted separately at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari. The experimental plot was kept unsprayed throughout the period of observation for insect pest attacking on sugarcane crop. Observations on pests were recorded in the experimental trial as per details given below.

#### **Observations were recorded:**

#### 1. Early shoot borer, *Chilo infuscatellus*(S.)

Observations were recorded in post-germination phase at 30 days interval up to 120 days (At 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAP). The observation on the total number of shoots and number of dead hearts due to the early shoot borer was recorded. Calculated the per cent incidence as per the following formula:

% incidence =  $\frac{\text{Total no. of dead heart}}{\text{Total no. of shoots}}$  X 100

The Cumulative incidence of up to 120 DAP was calculated. Number of bored plants/ha was also recorded. The data were worked out on per cent basis and were statistically analyzed.

## 2. Top borer: -

*Scirpophaga excerptalis* (Wlk): Per cent incidence was recorded on 5<sup>th</sup> month, 7<sup>th</sup> month and at harvest (i.e. 12<sup>th</sup> months.). The observations were recorded, for the total number of canes and total numbers of infested canes. The data were worked out on per cent basis and were statistically analyzed.

# **3. Stalk borer:** *Diatraea saccharalis* (Fabricius) **4.Internode borer:** *Chilo sacchariphagous indicus* (Kapur) and **5. Root borer:** *Emmalocera depresella* (Swinhoe)

Minimum 25 canes were selected randomly from each plot and total number of internodes and internodes affected due to internode borer in each cane was counted at harvest.Calculated the per cent incidence on cane basis, per cent intensity on nodal basis (By considering total number of nodes on observed cane was recorded to compute infestation index).Infestation index was worked out, whereas only per cent incidence was observed for root borer on external visible symptoms up to 6 month. The data were worked out on per cent basis and were statistically analyzed.

**6.** Scale insects, *Melanaspis glomerata* (Green): and **7.Mealy bugs:** *Saccharicoccus sacchari* (Cockerell): At harvest 25 canes were selected randomly from each plots and affected internode due to scale insect and mealy bugs. Per cent incidence and intensity were calculated for both the pests. The data were statistically analyzed.

## 8. Pyrilla: pyrilla perpusilla

The population of nymph and adult were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves). Average population of nymphs and adults per leaf was noted. Observations on egg mass and cocoons of ecto-parasite, *Epiricania melanoleuca* were recorded. Observations were recorded at an interval of every fortnight and peak incidence of pyrilla and its ecto-parasitoid was also recorded.

# 9. Whitefly:

*Aleurolobus barodensis* (M): Population of nymph and puparia were recorded from a unit of 10 canes (20 leaves) from proximal, middle and distal region. Average population per 2.5 sq. cm was reported.

#### **Project E.4.1.1 IVT** (E) trial:

Sr.	Genotype		%		Cumulative	No. of				
No.		30	60 ]	DAP	90	DAP	120	DAP	incidence	bored
		DAP							%	plants/ha
1	Co 13002	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	3.81	(11.26)	2.08	(8.29)	2.74	13333
2	Co 13003	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	3.45	(10.70)	0.91	(5.47)	1.53	8333
3	Co 13004	0.00	8.57	(17.02)	6.56	(14.84)	0.88	(5.38)	5.29	30000
4	CoN 13071	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	4.50	(12.25)	1.03	(5.82)	1.97	10000
5	CoN 13072	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	5.45	(13.51)	0.86	(5.32)	2.06	11667
6	CoSnk 13101	0.00	2.75	(9.55)	2.38	(8.88)	0.84	(5.26)	1.98	11667
7	CoSnk 13102	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	12.96	(21.10)	0.97	(5.65)	4.97	25000
8	MS 13081	0.00	2.20	(8.53)	3.97	(11.49)	0.93	(5.53)	1.79	10000
9	Co 85004	0.00	15.45	(23.15)	15.24	(22.98)	3.96	(11.48)	7.01	36667
10	Co 94008	0.00	16.34	(23.84)	15.73	(23.37)	4.76	(12.60)	10.39	40000
11	Co C 671	0.00	8.99	(17.45)	11.93	(20.20)	3.64	(11.00)	8.12	41667
	S.Em.+(T)	-	0.	.29	0.31		0	.33	-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	0.	0.90 1.01		NS		-	-	
	C. V. %	-	12	.30	1	1.32	9	.94	-	-

# Table -4.1.1.1 Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB in IVT (E) trial at Main SugarcaneResearch Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Early shoot borer, Chilo infuscatellus (S.):

The data on per cent incidence of ESB showed that the differences due to various genotypes in respect of cumulative per cent infestation were significant at 60 and 90 DAP, whereas it found non-significant at 120DAP, The cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer ranged from 1.53 to 10.39 per cent. The least cumulative per cent incidence was observed in Co 13003(1.53 %) followed by MS 13081 (1.79 %), while maximum incidence was observed in standard check Co 94008 (10.39%).

Sr. No.	Genotype		% In			Borer cidence			
110.		5 <sup>th</sup> n	nonth	7 <sup>th</sup> n	nonth	At h	arvest	70 III	lucifice
1	Co 13002	3.09	(10.12)	3.13	(10.19)	3.09	(10.13)	16.00	(23.58)
2	Co 13003	3.77	(11.20)	3.74	(11.15)	2.73	(9.51)	8.00	(16.43)
3	Co 13004	2.65	(9.37)	1.77	(7.65)	2.63	(9.34)	8.00	(16.43)
4	CoN 13071	1.83	(7.77)	1.92	(7.96)	1.87	(7.86)	8.00	(16.43)
5	CoN 13072	3.88	(11.36)	3.96	(11.48)	2.88	(9.78)	16.00	(23.58)
6	CoSnk 13101	4.27	(11.93)	3.45	(10.70)	2.54	(9.17)	8.00	(16.43)
7	CoSnk 13102	2.91	(9.82)	2.94	(9.87)	2.88	(9.78)	16.00	(23.58)
8	MS 13081	7.44	(15.83)	4.35	(12.04)	5.08	(13.03)	20.00	(26.57)
9	Co 85004	6.19	(14.41)	2.78	(9.60)	5.45	(13.51)	16.00	(23.58)
10	Co 94008	7.89	(16.31)	7.59	(15.99)	7.69	(16.10)	20.00	(26.57)
11	Co C 671	10.86	(19.24)	10.94	(19.31)	10.80	(19.19)	16.00	(23.58)
	<b>S.Em.</b> +( <b>T</b> )	0	.81	0	0.56		0.65		.98
	C. D @ 5%	2.44		1	1.71		1.97		.95
	C. V. %	11	1.72	10	).68	11.38		11.62	

Table -4.1.1.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Top borer in IVT (E) trial at MainSugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

From the table 4.1.1.2, data revealed that the differences in respect of per cent incidence of top borer due to various genotypes at 5th month,7<sup>th</sup> month and at harvest were found significant among all tested genotypes.

Per cent of top borer incidence at 5<sup>th</sup> month ranged from 1.83 to 10.86per cent. The least incidence was observed in CoN13071 (1.83 %), while maximum incidence was observed in CoC671(10.86) followed by Co 94008 (7.89 %) and MS 13081(7.44 %), respectively.

Whereas, Data on the per cent incidence of top borer at harvest reflect that incidence was ranged from 1.87 to 10.80 per cent. The least incidence was observed in CoN 13071(1.87 %) while, maximum incidence was observed in CoC 671 (10.80 %). All tested genotypes were found to be with less susceptible reaction against top borer

# Root borer: Emmalocera depresella (Swinhoe)

From the table, it is seen that the differences in respect to per cent incidence in various genotypes were found significant. Per cent incidence of root borer was ranged from 8.00 to 20.00 per cent. The least per cent incidenceof root borer was observed in Co 13003, Co 13004,CoN 13071and CoSnk 13101 (8.00%), while maximum incidence was observed in Co 94008 and MS 13081(20.00%).

Sr.	Genotype		Scale i	nsects			Mealy	Mealy bugs				
No.		% inc	idence	% int	ensity	% inc	idence	% in	tensity			
1	Co 13002	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)			
2	Co 13003	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)			
3	Co 13004	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	13.33	(21.41)	5.83	(13.97)			
4	CoN 13071	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	6.67	(14.97)	1.68	(7.45)			
5	CoN 13072	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	6.67	(14.97)	1.60	(7.27)			
6	CoSnk 13101	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)			
7	CoSnk 13102	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)			
8	MS 13081	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)			
9	Co 85004	13.33	(21.41)	4.24	(11.88)	20.00	(26.57)	12.71	(20.89)			
10	Co 94008	6.67	(14.97)	2.48	(9.06)	4.00	(11.54)	2.09	(8.31)			
11	CoC 671	14.67	(22.52)	4.46	(12.19)	20.00	(26.57)	4.46	(12.19)			
	S.Em.± (T)	0.98		0.58		0.87		1.14				
	C. D @ 5%	2.95		1.75		2.62		3.44				
	C. V. %	11	.38	12	.60		.34	13	.27			

Table -4.1.1.3 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Scales and Mealy bugs in IVT- E trialat Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Scale insects, Melanaspis glomerata (Green)

The data are presented in table 4.1.1.3 revealed that the differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent incidence of scale insect were found significant. It was ranged from 0.00 to 14.67 per cent. No incidence (0.00 %) was observed in all the tested genotypes except checks, maximum incidence was observed in CoC671 (14.67%).

Same trend was observed in data on per cent intensity of scale insects and it was found to be ranged from 2.48 to 4.46 per cent. The maximum intensity was observed in CoC 671(4.46 %).

#### Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

Data shows differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent incidence of mealy bugs were significant. Data on per cent incidence was ranged from 4.00 to20.00per cent. Maximum incidence was observed in checks CoC 671 and Co 85004 (20.00 %).

Same trend was observed in data on per cent intensity. It was found to be ranged from 1.60 to 12.71 per cent. Maximum intensity was observed in Co 85004 (12.71%).

## E.4.1.2 AVT (E) I Plant trial:

Sr.	Genotype		% Ir	ncidence o	of Early	Shoot Bo	rer		Cumulative	No. of
No.		<b>30 DAP</b>	60	DAP	90	DAP	120	DAP	%	bored
									incidence	plants/ha
1	Co 11001	0.00	15.27	(23.00)	15.83	(23.45)	14.75	(22.59)	15.28	95000
2	Co 11004	0.00	7.83	(16.25)	9.17	(17.63)	1.67	(7.42)	6.10	35000
3	CoM 11081	0.00	1.56	(7.18)	0.83	(5.22)	1.53	(7.10)	1.32	8333
4	CoM 11082	0.00	4.21	(11.84)	5.50	(13.57)	1.85	(7.82)	3.85	20000
5	CoM 11084	0.00	15.63	(23.28)	15.11	(22.87)	15.97	(23.55)	15.54	100000
6	Co 85004	0.00	10.89	(19.27)	9.80	(18.25)	7.00	(15.34)	9.24	46667
7	Co 94008	0.00	15.22	(22.96)	15.31	(23.03)	15.84	(23.45)	15.46	75000
8	CoC 671	0.00	15.56	(23.23)	15.83	(23.45)	14.43	(22.33)	15.36	71667
	S.Em±(T)	-	0.92		0	.83	1.17		-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	2	.77	2.51 3.62		-	-		
	C. V. %	-	10	).16	12	2.50	13	3.47	-	-

Table -4.1.2.1 Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB in AVT (E) I plant trial at MainSugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

## Early shoot borer, *Chilo infuscatellus* (S.):

The data on per cent incidence, cumulative per cent incidence and number of bored plant per ha. showed that differences due to various genotypes in respect of cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer at 60 DAP, 90 DAP and 120 DAP were foundsignificant. Based on the cumulative per cent incidence the least incidence was observed in CoM 11081(1.32 %) while, maximum incidence was observed in CoM 11084 (15.54 %).

Table -4.1.2.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Top borer and Root borer in AVT (E) I
P trial at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Sr.	Construng		% Ir	ncidence	e of Top B	orer		Root	Borer	
No.	Genotype	5 <sup>th</sup> month		7 <sup>th</sup> month		At harvest		% incidence		
1	Co 11001	2.68	(9.42)	2.65	(9.37)	2.40	(8.91)	8.00	(16.43)	
2	Co 11004	2.94	(9.87)	3.00	(9.97)	2.83	(9.68)	20.00	(26.57)	
3	CoM 11081	1.79	(7.69)	3.54	(10.84)	1.63	(7.34)	12.00	(20.27)	
4	CoM 11082	1.94	(8.01)	3.92	(11.42)	3.09	(10.12)	8.00	(16.43)	
5	CoM 11084	2.29	(8.70)	2.33	(8.78)	1.63	(7.34)	12.00	(20.27)	
6	Co 85004	4.46	(12.19)	5.77	(13.90)	5.77	(13.90)	16.00	(23.58)	
7	Co 94008	5.38	(13.41)	8.06	(16.49)	7.45	(15.84)	20.00	(26.57)	
8	CoC 671	10.56	(18.96)	11.41	(19.74)	10.33	(18.75)	16.00	(23.58)	
	S.Em. $\pm$ (T)	0.73		0	.39	0.52		0.86		
	C. D @ 5%	2.21		1	1.18		1.64		2.59	
	C. V. %	9	.34	10	).15	9	.68	11.83		

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

From the data it can be concluded that the per cent infestation of top borer at 5<sup>th</sup> month, 7<sup>th</sup>month and at harvest was found significant. Based on the per cent incidence of top borer at harvest

the least per cent incidence was observed in CoM 11081 and CoM 11084 (1.63 %) while maximum incidence was observed in CoC671(10.33%).

# Root borer: *Emmaloceradepresella* (Swinhoe)

From the table, it is seen that the differences in respect to per cent incidence in various genotypes were found significant. Per cent incidence of root borer was ranged from 8.00 to 20.0 per cent. The least per cent incidence of root borer was observed in Co 11001 and CoM 11082 (8.0%), while maximum incidence was observed in Co 11004 and Co 94008 (20.0%).

Sr.	Genotype		Scale ins				Mealy	huge		
	Genotype						v	0		
No.		% inci	dence	% in	tensity	% inc	cidence	% intensity		
1	Co 11001	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
2	Co 11004	20.00	(0.00)	4.55	(12.32)	13.33	(21.41)	10.91	(19.29)	
3	CoM 11081	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
4	CoM 11082	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	22.67	(28.43)	7.87	(16.29)	
5	CoM 11084	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
6	Co 85004	13.33	(21.41)	4.24	(11.88)	20.00	(26.57)	12.71	(20.89)	
7	Co 94008	6.67	(14.97)	2.48	(9.06)	4.00	(11.54)	2.09	(8.31)	
8	CoC 671	14.67	(22.52)	4.46	(12.19)	4.00	(11.54)	1.79	(7.69)	
	<b>S.Em.</b> <u>+</u> ( <b>T</b> )	0.64		0	0.79		1.31		.95	
	C. D @ 5%	1.93		2.38		3.94		2.86		
	C. V. %	10.	95	14	4.82	12	68	15.68		

Table -4.1.2.3Screening of sugarcane varieties against Scales and Mealy bugs in AVT (E) I Ptrial at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Scale insects, *Melanaspisglomerata*(Green)

The data from the table indicated differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent incidence of scale insects were significant. Data on per cent incidence was ranged from 0.00 to 20.0per cent. No incidence was observed amongst tested genotypes except Co 11004 recorded maximum (20.00).

The data also showed that the differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent intensity of scale insect were found significant. Per cent intensity was ranged from 0.00 to 4.55per cent. Maximum scale insect per cent intensity was observed in Co 11004 (4.55 %).

#### Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

Data from the table indicated differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent incidence of mealy bugs were significant. Per cent incidence of mealy bugs ranged from 4.00 to 22.67 per cent. Maximum per cent incidence was observed in CoM 11082 (22.67).

From the data it is seen that the differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent intensity of mealy bugs were significant. Per cent intensity of mealy bugs, ranged from 1.79 to 12.71per cent. Least per cent intensity was observed inCoC 671(1.79%) and maximum per cent intensity was observed in Co 85004(12.71) followed by Co 11004(10.91%) respectively.

## Project E.4.1.3 AVT (E) II Plant trial:

Sr.	Genotype		C	% Incidenc	e of Early	y Shoot Bo	orer		Cumulative	No. of
No.		30	(	50	9	0		120	%	bored
		DAP	D	AP	D	AP	] ]	DAP	incidence	plants/ha
1	Co 10004	0.00	16.67	(24.09)	15.91	(23.51)	13.19	(21.29)	15.06	60000
2	Co 10005	0.00	6.56	(14.84)	9.71	(18.15)	1.00	(5.74)	5.68	25000
3	Co 10006	0.00	2.08	(8.30)	4.30	(11.97)	1.00	(5.74)	2.49	10000
4	Co 10024	0.00	0.90	(5.45)	7.29	(15.67)	0.81	(5.15)	2.72	15000
5	Co 10026	0.00	7.45	(15.84)	3.23	(10.35)	0.90	(5.45)	3.65	20000
6	Co 10027	0.00	1.03	(5.83)	3.85	(11.31)	2.38	(8.88)	2.55	15000
7	CoT 10366	0.00	1.30	(6.54)	2.75	(9.55)	1.05	(5.89)	1.78	8333
8	CoT 10367	0.00	0.00	(0.00)	2.25	(8.62)	3.57	(10.89)	1.98	8333
9	Co 85004	0.00	5.26	(13.26)	9.18	(17.64)	0.96	(5.63)	5.05	25000
10	Co 94008	0.00	16.35	(23.85)	15.24	(22.98)	15.15	(22.91)	15.58	80000
11	CoC 671	0.00	15.53	(23.21)	15.89	(23.49)	15.60	(23.26)	15.67	83333
	$S.Em \pm (T)$	-	0	0.81		0.69		).73	-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	2.	2.44		2.17		2.21	-	-
	C. V. %	-	11	.26	13	.01	1	0.60	-	-

Table -4.1.3.1 Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB in AVT (E) II P trial at MainSugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

## Early shoot borer, Chilo infuscatellus (S.):

From the data in table 4.1.3.1., the differences due to various genotypes in respect of cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer at 60 DAP, 90 DAP and 120 DAP were found significant. Based on the cumulative per cent incidence of early shoot borer the least incidence was observed in CoT 10366 (1.78 %) while, maximum incidence was observed in Check CoC 671 (15.67 %) followed by Co 94008 (15.58 %) and Co 10004 (15.06 %).

Table -4.1.3.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against top borer and root borer in AVT (E) II
P trial at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Sr.	Genotype		% In	cidence	e of Top	Borer			Borer
No.		5 <sup>th</sup> n	nonth	7 <sup>th</sup> month		At h	arvest	% incidence	
1	Co 10004	6.10	(14.30)	3.66	(11.03)	2.67	(9.40)	8.00	(16.43)
2	Co 10005	4.55	(12.32)	4.44	(12.16)	2.22	(8.57)	8.00	(16.43)
3	Co 10006	2.47	(9.04)	3.49	(10.77)	4.05	(11.61)	16.00	(23.58)
4	Co 10024	3.39	(10.61)	3.51	(10.80)	2.36	(8.84)	8.00	(16.43)
5	Co 10026	2.73	(9.51)	2.83	(9.68)	2.80	(9.63)	12.00	(20.27)
6	Co 10027	4.07	(11.64)	2.56	(9.21)	1.96	(8.05)	16.00	(23.58)
7	CoT 10366	2.25	(8.63)	2.27	(8.67)	4.44	(12.16)	20.00	(26.57)
8	CoT 10367	5.49	(13.55)	4.35	(12.04)	4.26	(11.91)	12.00	(20.27)
9	Co 85004	4.46	(12.19)	5.77	(13.90)	5.77	(13.90)	16.00	(23.58)
10	Co 94008	5.38	(13.41)	8.06	(16.49)	7.45	(15.84)	20.00	(26.57)
11	CoC 671	10.56	(18.96)	11.41	(19.74)	10.33	(18.75)	16.00	(23.58)
	S.Em.±(T)	0.69		0	0.71		0.54		37
	C. D @ 5%	2.11		2.15		1.63		1.13	
	C. V. %	12	2.54	13	3.42	11.15		10.25	

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

From the data it can be concluded that the per cent incident of top borer at  $5^{\text{th}}$  month,  $7^{\text{th}}$  month and also at harvest was significant. Based on the per cent incidence of top borer at harvest least per cent incidence was observed in Co 10027 (1.96 %) while maximum incidence was observed in CoC 671 (10.33 %).

#### Root borer: Emmalocera depresella (Swinhoe)

From the data differences in respect to per cent incidence in various genotypes were found significant. Per cent incidence of root borer was ranged from 8.00 to 20.00 per cent. The lowest per cent incidence of root borer was observed in Co 10004, Co 10005 and Co 10024 (8.00 %).

Sr. No.	Genotype		Scale i	nsects			Mealy	v bugs	
		% inc	idence	% in	tensity	% in	cidence	% in	tensity
1	Co 10004	6.67	14.97	0.87	5.35	4.00	(11.54)	0.87	(5.35)
2	Co 10005	5.33	13.35	0.82	5.20	5.33	(13.35)	8.00	(16.43)
3	Co 10006	4.00	11.54	0.80	5.13	2.67	(9.40)	0.90	(5.44)
4	Co 10024	6.67	14.97	0.82	5.20	2.67	(9.40)	0.89	(5.41)
5	Co 10026	6.67	14.97	0.80	5.13	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
6	Co 10027	2.67	9.40	0.80	5.13	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
7	CoT 10366	1.33	6.62	0.80	5.13	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
8	CoT 10367	2.67	9.40	0.80	5.13	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
9	Co 85004	13.33	21.41	4.24	11.88	20.00	(26.57)	12.71	(20.89)
10	Co 94008	6.67	14.97	2.48	9.06	4.00	(11.54)	2.09	(8.31)
11	CoC 671	14.67	22.52	4.46	12.19	4.00	(11.54)	1.79	(7.69)
	<b>S.Em.</b> ± ( <b>T</b> )	0.59		0	.75	1.18		0.74	
	C. D @ 5%	1.79		2.26		3.54		2.22	
	C. V. %	9.	84	15	5.76	9	.72	12	2.30

Table -4.1.3.3 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Scales and Mealy bugs in AVT (E) II Ptrial at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Scale insects, Melanaspis glomerata (Green)

Differences in respect of per cent incidence of scale insects of various genotypes were found significant. On the basis of data per cent incidence ranged from 1.33 to 14.67 per cent. Maximum per cent incidence (14.67.0%) was observed in CoC 671 followed by Co 85004 (13.33%).

The data shows that per cent intensity of various genotypes against scale insect was found significant. Per cent intensity of scale insect ranged from 0.80 to 4.46 per cent. Maximum scale insect per cent intensity was observed in CoC 671 (4.46 %).

#### Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

The data are presented in table indicate that per cent incidence of mealy bugs in various genotypes were significant. Per cent incidence ranged from 2.67 to 20.0 per cent. No incidence was observed in Co 10026, Co 10027, CoT 10366 and CoT 10367. Maximum per cent incidence was observed in Co 85004 (20.0 %).

From the data it is seen that differences due to various genotypes in respect of per cent intensity of mealy bugs were significant. Per cent intensity of mealy bugs, ranged from 0.87 to 12.71 per cent. Least per cent intensity was observed in Co 10004 (0.87%) and maximum per cent intensity was observed in Co 85004 (12.71 %) followed by Co 10005 (8.0 %), respectively

#### Project E.4.1.4 IVT (ML):

Sr.	Genotype		%	Incidence of	of Early	Shoot Bor	er		Cumulative	No. of
No.		<b>30 DAP</b>	60	DAP	90	DAP	120	DAP	% incidence	bored plants/ha
1	Co 13005	0.00	15.79	(23.41)	15.53	(23.21)	16.51	16.51	15.97	76667
2	Co 13006	0.00	4.60	(12.38)	8.82	(17.28)	3.23	3.23	5.24	21667
3	Co 13008	0.00	2.04	(8.21)	6.31	(14.54)	1.87	1.87	3.48	18333
4	Co 13009	0.00	10.11	(18.54)	1.89	(7.90)	2.02	2.02	4.42	21667
5	Co 13011	0.00	0.93	(5.55)	6.92	(15.26)	1.57	1.57	3.30	20000
6	Co 13013	0.00	2.06	(8.26)	5.30	(13.31)	0.79	0.79	2.81	16667
7	Co 13014	0.00	3.90	(11.38)	5.04	(12.98)	1.83	1.83	3.61	18333
8	Co 13016	0.00	1.09	(5.98)	5.00	(12.92)	1.98	1.98	2.88	15000
9	Co 13018	0.00	15.53	(23.21)	15.38	(23.09)	15.97	15.97	15.63	91667
10	Co 13020	0.00	5.08	(13.03)	3.65	(11.01)	1.54	1.54	3.38	21667
11	CoM 13082	0.00	13.00	(21.13)	1.85	(7.82)	1.92	1.92	5.45	28333
12	CoN 13073	0.00	2.90	(9.80)	9.41	(17.87)	2.33	2.33	5.00	20000
13	CoN 13074	0.00	15.96	(23.54)	15.15	(22.91)	15.32	15.32	15.43	90000
14	CoSnk 13103	0.00	0.98	(5.68)	3.45	(10.70)	2.31	2.31	2.39	15000
15	CoSnk 13104	0.00	15.96	(23.54)	16.67	(24.09)	15.97	15.97	16.22	91667
16	CoSnk 13105	0.00	2.78	(9.59)	2.99	(9.95)	1.60	1.60	2.45	15000
17	CoSnk 13106	0.00	3.28	(10.43)	2.07	(8.27)	1.43	1.43	2.21	15000
18	CoT 13366	0.00	15.28	(23.01)	16.22	(23.75)	16.35	16.35	16.00	66667
19	PI 13131	0.00	16.42	(23.90)	15.56	(23.23)	17.05	17.05	16.33	66667
20	PI 13132	0.00	3.53	(10.83)	5.00	(12.92)	2.08	2.08	3.56	16667
21	Co 99004	0.00	9.59	(18.04)	2.22	(8.57)	2.25	2.25	4.37	18333
22	Co 86032	0.00	15.63	(23.28)	16.67	(24.09)	17.20	17.20	16.49	78333
	S.Em.+(T)	-	1	.20	0	.91	0.	79	-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	3	.63	2	.79	2.	31	-	-
	C. V. %	-	14	4.34	1.	3.46	11	.83	-	-

Table -4.1.4.1 Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB in IVT (ML) at Main Sugarcane
Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Early shoot borer, *Chilo infuscatellus* (S.):

From the table, it is concluded that differences in respect of cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer in various genotypes at 60, 90 and 120 DAP were found significant. Based on the cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer the least incidence was observed in CoSnk 13106 (2.21%) while, maximum incidence was observed in check Co 86032 (16.49) followed by PI 13131 (16.33 %) and CoT 13366 (16.00%).

Sr.	Genotype		% iı	ncidence	of Top B	orer		Root Borer		
No.		5 <sup>th</sup> n	nonth	7 <sup>th</sup> n	nonth	At h	arvest	% inc	cidence	
1	Co 13005	10.25	(18.67)	10.25	(18.67)	10.05	(18.48)	16.00	(23.58)	
2	Co 13006	3.67	(11.04)	2.80	(9.63)	3.60	(10.94)	8.00	(16.43)	
3	Co 13008	3.25	(10.39)	4.17	(11.78)	4.10	(11.68)	8.00	(16.43)	
4	Co 13009	2.54	(9.17)	4.42	(12.14)	3.42	(10.66)	16.00	(23.58)	
5	Co 13011	2.48	(9.06)	2.56	(9.21)	2.50	(9.10)	20.00	(26.57)	
6	Co 13013	3.25	(10.39)	2.56	(9.21)	3.23	(10.35)	8.00	(16.43)	
7	Co 13014	4.21	(11.84)	4.17	(11.78)	4.30	(11.97)	8.00	(16.43)	
8	Co 13016	2.52	(9.13)	2.65	(9.37)	3.45	(10.70)	8.00	(16.43)	
9	Co 13018	2.38	(8.87)	3.33	(10.51)	2.42	(8.95)	12.00	(20.27)	
10	Co 13020	3.03	(10.02)	3.15	(10.22)	3.08	(10.11)	8.00	(16.43)	
11	CoM 13082	2.63	(9.33)	4.46	(12.19)	2.61	(9.30)	8.00	(16.43)	
12	CoN 13073	2.27	(8.67)	2.41	(8.93)	2.33	(8.78)	16.00	(23.58)	
13	CoN 13074	5.17	(13.14)	4.46	(12.19)	5.22	(13.21)	16.00	(23.58)	
14	CoSnk 13103	4.80	(12.66)	3.31	(10.48)	4.07	(11.64)	8.00	(16.43)	
15	CoSnk 13104	10.46	(18.87)	10.34	(18.76)	10.41	(18.82)	8.00	(16.43)	
16	CoSnk 13105	3.82	(11.27)	3.20	(10.30)	3.08	(10.11	8.00	(16.43)	
17	CoSnk 13106	3.73	(11.14)	2.33	(8.78)	3.76	(11.18)	16.00	(23.58)	
18	CoT 13366	10.42	(18.83)	10.53	(18.94)	10.35	(18.77)	8.00	(16.43)	
19	PI 13131	6.74	(15.05)	5.62	(13.71)	6.67	(14.97)	8.00	(16.43)	
20	PI 13132	6.06	(14.25)	5.15	(13.12)	7.00	(15.34)	16.00	(23.58)	
21	Co 99004	11.08	(19.44)	10.92	(19.30)	10.06	(18.49)	20.00	(26.57)	
22	Co 86032	6.67	(14.97)	5.26	(13.26)	6.42	(14.68)	16.00	(23.58)	
	<b>S.Em.</b> +( <b>T</b> )	0	.58	0	.64	0.47		0.49		
	C. D @ 5%	1	.76	1	1.93		1.43		1.48	
	C. V. %	10	).29	9.68			).93	11	.32	

Table -4.1.4.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against top borer and root borer in IVT (M) at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

The data are presented in table shows that difference in respect of per cent incidence of top borer in various genotypes at 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> month and at harvest shows significant reaction.

Per cent incidence of top borer infestation at 5<sup>th</sup> month ranged from 2.27 to 11.08 per cent. Maximum incidence was observed in Co 99004 (11.08 %). Whereas, at 7<sup>th</sup>month per cent incidence ranged from 2.33 to 10.92 per cent. Highest incidence was observed in Co 99004 (10.92 %).

Based on the per cent incidence of top borer at harvest infestation was ranged from 2.33 to 10.41 per cent.

# Root borer: Emmalocera depresella (Swinhoe)

The data are presented in table shows that differences in respect of per cent incidence of root borer in various genotypes were significant. Per cent incidence of root borer ranged from 8.00 to 20.00 per cent. Highest per cent incidence was observed in Co 99004 and Co 13011(20.00 %)

Sr. No.	Genotype		Mealy	bugs		
		% inc	idence	% in	tensity	
1	Co 13005	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
2	Co 13006	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
3	Co 13008	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
4	Co 13009	20.00	(26.57)	9.92	(18.36)	
5	Co 13011	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
6	Co 13013	13.33	(21.41)	8.26	(16.70)	
7	Co 13014	20.00	(26.57)	12.98	(21.12)	
8	Co 13016	22.67	(28.43)	12.10	(20.36)	
9	Co 13018	13.33	(21.41)	9.32	(17.78)	
10	Co 13020	22.67	(28.43)	12.00	(20.27)	
11	CoM 13082	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
12	CoN 13073	13.33	(21.41)	9.15	(17.61)	
13	CoN 13074	20.00	(26.57)	11.11	(19.47)	
14	CoSnk 13103	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
15	CoSnk 13104	26.67	(31.09)	12.28	(20.51)	
16	CoSnk 13105	28.00	(31.95)	13.27	(21.36)	
17	CoSnk 13106	29.33	(32.79)	12.90	(21.05)	
18	CoT 13366	17.33	(24.60)	8.27	(16.71)	
19	PI 13131	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
20	PI 13132	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	
21	Co 99004	4.00	(11.54)	1.59	(7.24)	
22	Co 86032	13.33	(21.41)	3.42	(10.66)	
	<b>S.Em.</b> <u>+</u> ( <b>T</b> )	1.	43	0.	.87	
	C. D @ 5%	4.	30	2.	2.62	
	C. V. %	15	.94	11	.13	

 Table -4.1.4.3 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Mealy bugs in IVT (M) trial at Main

 Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

\* Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

# Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

Per cent incidence of mealy bugs among various genotypes was found significant. Maximum per cent incidence was observed in CoSnk 13106 (29.33%)

The data on of per cent intensity of mealy bugs were found significant and ranged from 1.59 (Co 99004) to 13.27 (CoSnk 13105).

#### Project E.4.1.5 AVT (ML): I Plant

Sr.	Genotype		%Iı	ncidence	of Early	Shoot Bo	orer		Cumulative	No. of
No.		30	60	DAP	90	DAP	120	DAP	%	bored
		DAP							incidence	plants/ha
1	Co 11005	0.00	2.75	(9.55)	2.88	(9.77)	3.13	(10.19)	2.93	18333
2	Co 11007	0.00	5.00	(12.92)	5.95	(14.12)	4.29	(11.95)	5.14	18333
3	Co 11012	0.00	4.17	(11.78)	6.58	(14.86)	2.82	(9.67)	4.62	15000
4	Co 11019	0.00	3.70	(11.09)	8.18	(16.62)	4.12	(11.71)	5.56	26667
5	CoM 11085	0.00	5.06	(13.00)	6.36	(14.61)	8.24	(16.68)	6.57	30000
6	CoM 11086	0.00	4.76	(12.60)	6.42	(14.68)	7.69	(16.10)	6.34	30000
7	Co 99004	0.00	3.45	(10.70)	6.25	(14.48)	7.07	(15.42)	5.70	28333
8	Co 86032	0.00	10.17	(18.60)	10.32	(18.74)	11.11	(19.47)	10.51	61667
	<b>S.Em.</b> +( <b>T</b> )	-	0	.58	0	.89	0	.63	-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	1	.75	2	.68	1	.90	-	-
	C. V. %	-	11	1.47	12	2.56	1(	).38	-	-

Table -4.1.5.1 Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB borer in AVT (ML) I Plant at MainSugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Early shoot borer, Chilo infuscatellus (S.):

From the table, it is concluded that cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer in various genotypes at 60, 90 and 120 DAP were found significant. Data of cumulative per cent infestation shows that least incidence was observed in Co 11005 (2.93 %). Whereas, incidence was observed maximum in Co 86032 (10.51 %).

Table -4.1.5.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against top borer and root borer in AVT (ML) I
Plant at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Sr.	Genotype		% i	ncidence o	of Top Bo	rer		Root Borer	
No.		5 <sup>th</sup> month		7 <sup>th</sup> m	onth	At h	arvest	% incidence	
1	Co 11005	3.03	(10.02)	3.17	(10.26)	2.36	(8.84)	8.00	(16.43)
2	Co 11007	3.66	(11.03)	3.66	(11.03)	3.70	(11.09)	16.00	(23.58)
3	Co 11012	5.33	(13.35)	9.09	(17.55)	8.00	(16.43)	8.00	(16.43)
4	Co 11019	2.94	(9.87)	4.04	(11.60)	5.10	(13.05)	8.00	(16.43)
5	CoM 11085	2.91	(9.82)	3.92	(11.42)	3.54	(10.84)	16.00	(23.58)
6	CoM 11086	3.88	(11.36)	4.00	(11.54)	3.77	(11.20)	12.00	(20.27)
7	Co 99004	10.14	(18.57)	10.19	(18.62)	10.24	(18.66)	20.00	(26.57)
8	Co 86032	4.17	(11.78)	4.35	(12.04)	3.94	(11.45)	16.00	(23.58)
	<b>S.Em.</b> +( <b>T</b> )	0.49		0.	0.57		.34	0.76	
	C. D @ 5%	1.49		1.73		1.05		2.29	
	C. V. %	13	.28	12	.73	10.51		11.53	

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

The data are presented in table shows that difference in respect of per cent incidence of top borer in various genotypes at 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> month and at harvest shows significant reaction.

Per cent incidence of top borer infestation at  $5^{\text{th}}$  month ranged from 2.91 (CoM 11085) to 10.14 (Co 99004) per cent. Whereas, at  $7^{\text{th}}$  month per cent incidence ranged from 3.17 to 10.19 per cent. Highest incidence was observed in Co 99004 (10.19 %).

Based on the per cent incidence of top borer at harvest infestation was ranged from 2.36 to 10.24 per cent.

#### Root borer: Emmalocera depresella (Swinhoe)

The data presented in table shows that difference in per cent incidence of root borer in various genotypes were significant. Per cent incidence of root borer ranged from 8.00 to 20.00 per cent. Highest per cent incidence was observed in Co 99004 (20.00 %).

Sr.	Genotype	Mealy bugs							
No.		% inc	idence	% intensity					
1	Co 11005	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
2	Co 11007	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
3	Co 11012	20.00	(26.57)	11.63	(19.94)				
4	Co 11019	22.67	(28.43)	13.27	(21.36)				
5	CoM 11085	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
6	CoM 11086	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
7	Co 99004	4.00	(11.54)	1.59	(7.24)				
8	Co 86032	13.33	(21.41)	3.42	(10.66)				
	<b>S.Em.</b> <u>+</u> ( <b>T</b> )	0.4	43	0.	.78				
	C. D @ 5%	1.	30	2.35					
	C. V. %	12.	.84	13	.65				

 Table -4.1.5.3 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Mealy bugs in AVT (ML) I Plant trial at

 Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

\* Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

Per cent incidence as well as per cent intensity of mealy bugs among various genotypes was found significant. Per cent incidence and per cent intensity was recorded maximum in Co11019 (22.67%) and (13.27%), respectively.

#### Project E.4.1.6. AVT (ML): II Plant

Sr.	Genotype		%Iı	ncidence	of Early	Shoot Bo	orer		Cumulative	No. of
No.		30	60	DAP	90	DAP	120	DAP	%	bored
		DAP							incidence	plants/ha
1	Co 09009	0.00	4.96	12.87	2.82	9.67	3.08	10.11	3.56	23333
2	Co 10015	0.00	2.74	9.53	6.54	14.82	6.41	14.67	5.43	23333
3	Co 10017	0.00	3.23	10.35	8.89	17.35	8.70	17.15	7.24	26667
4	Co 10031	0.00	6.31	14.55	2.11	8.35	2.27	8.67	3.38	21667
5	Co 10033	0.00	7.53	15.93	3.25	10.39	8.51	16.96	6.13	31667
6	CoM 10083	0.00	3.08	10.11	2.08	8.29	2.53	9.15	2.50	10000
7	CoT 10368	0.00	4.08	11.65	2.52	9.13	3.92	11.42	3.45	18333
8	CoT 10369	0.00	2.75	9.55	3.45	10.70	3.57	10.89	3.26	18333
9	CoVC 10061	0.00	4.35	12.04	1.95	8.03	3.77	11.20	3.04	16667
10	PI 10131	0.00	6.06	14.25	3.13	10.19	4.94	12.84	4.53	18333
11	PI 10132	0.00	4.49	12.23	3.17	10.26	4.44	12.16	3.93	20000
12	Co 99004	0.00	3.45	(10.70)	6.25	(14.48)	7.07	(15.42)	5.70	28333
13	Co 86032	0.00	10.17	(18.60)	10.32	(18.74)	11.11	(19.47)	10.51	61667
	S.Em. $+(T)$	-	0	.78	0	.89	0	.38	-	-
	C. D @ 5%	-	2	.36	2	.68	1	.15	-	-
	C. V. %	-	11	.65	13	3.56	12	2.82	-	-

# Table -4.1.6.1Screening of sugarcane varieties against ESB borer in AVT (ML) II Plant at MainSugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

## Early shoot borer, Chilo infuscatellus (S.):

From the table, it is concluded that cumulative per cent infestation of early shoot borer in various genotypes at 60, 90 and 120 DAP were found significant. Data of cumulative per cent infestation indicated that least incidence was found in CoM 10083 (2.50 %). Whereas, incidence was observe maximum in Co 86032 (10.51 %).

Table -4.1.6.2 Screening of sugarcane varieties against top borer and root borer in AVT (ML)
II Plant at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

-	II I fait at Main Sugarcale Research Station, Navsari (2010-17).											
Sr.	Genotype		% iı	ncidence o		rer		Root I	Borer			
No.		5 <sup>th</sup> month		7 <sup>th</sup> month		At harvest		% incidence				
1	Co 09009	3.03	(10.02)	3.15	(10.22)	3.05	(10.06)	8.00	16.43			
2	Co 10015	1.92	(7.96)	2.80	(9.63)	1.69	(7.47)	16.00	23.58			
3	Co 10017	3.70	(11.09)	3.74	(11.15)	3.60	(10.94)	8.00	16.43			
4	Co 10031	2.29	(8.70)	3.13	(10.19)	2.40	(8.91)	8.00	16.43			
5	Co 10033	2.65	(9.37)	4.39	(12.09)	3.23	(10.35)	16.00	23.58			
6	CoM 10083	4.44	(12.16)	3.30	(10.47)	3.33	(10.51)	8.00	16.43			
7	CoT 10368	1.74	(7.58)	3.60	(10.94)	2.54	(9.17)	8.00	16.43			
8	CoT 10369	3.20	(10.30)	3.17	(10.26)	3.25	(10.39)	8.00	16.43			
9	CoVC 10061	2.21	(8.55)	3.08	(10.11)	2.22	(8.57)	8.00	16.43			
10	PI 10131	3.41	(10.64)	4.65	(12.45)	3.30	(10.47)	16.00	23.58			
11	PI 10132	4.17	(11.78)	3.45	(10.70)	2.92	(9.84)	8.00	16.43			
12	Co 99004	10.14	(18.57)	10.19	(18.62)	10.24	(18.66)	20.00	26.57			
13	Co 86032	4.17	(11.78)	4.35	(12.04)	3.94	(11.45)	16.00	23.58			
	<b>S.Em.</b> +( <b>T</b> )	0.38		0.:	0.58		.29	0.47				
	C. D @ 5%	1.15		1.′	1.76		.88	1.42				
	C. V. %	11	.24	13.	.33	12	2.45	12.35				

Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

## Top borer: - Scirpophaga excerptalis (Wlk):

The data presented in table shows difference in respect of per cent incidence of top borer in various genotypes at 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> month and at harvest was significant.

Per cent incidence of top borer infestation at 5<sup>th</sup> month ranged from 1.74 (CoT 10368) to 10.14 (Co 99004) per cent. Whereas, at 7<sup>th</sup> month per cent incidence ranged from 2.80 to 10.19 per cent. The highest per cent incidence was observed in Co 99004 (10.19 %).While; top borer incidence at harvest was ranged from 1.69 to 10.24 per cent.

#### Root borer: Emmalocera depresella (Swinhoe)

The data shows difference in per cent incidence of root borer in various genotypes were significant. Per cent incidence of root borer ranged from 8.00 to 20.00 per cent. Highest per cent incidence was observed in Co 99004 (24.00 %).

Sr.	Genotype	Mealy bugs							
No.		% inc	idence	% int	tensity				
1	Co 09009	21.33	(27.51)	10.64	(19.04)				
2	Co 10015	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
3	Co 10017	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
4	Co 10031	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
5	Co 10033	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
6	CoM 10083	18.67	(25.60)	11.36	(19.70)				
7	CoT 10368	20.00	(26.57)	12.71	(20.89)				
8	CoT 10369	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
9	CoVC 10061	22.67	(28.43)	10.79	(19.18)				
10	PI 10131	20.00	(26.57)	11.36	(19.70)				
11	PI 10132	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)				
12	Co 99004	4.00	(11.54)	1.59	(7.24)				
13	Co 86032	13.33	(21.41)	3.42	(10.66)				
	<b>S.Em.</b> <u>+</u> ( <b>T</b> )	0.1	37	0.	59				
	C. D @ 5%	1.	12	1.78					
	C. V. %	12.	.83	11.86					

 Table -4.1.6.3 Screening of sugarcane varieties against Mealy bugs in AVT (ML) II Plant trial at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

\* Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

#### Mealy bugs: Saccharicoccus sacchari (Cockerell)

Per cent incidence as well as per cent intensity of mealy bugs among various genotypes was found significant. Per cent incidence was ranged from 4.00 to 22.67 %.Whereas, per cent intensity was ranged from 1.59 to 12.71 per cent.

# Project E. 28:

Title	:	Survey and surveillance of Sugarcane insect pests.
Objectives	:	To identify key insect pests of Sugarcane in the area.
Duration	:	Long term.
Year of start	:	2015–2016
Location	:	Main Sugarcane Research Station N.A.U, Navsari and South Gujarat area.
Methodology	:	Roving Survey was carried out of sugarcane fields South Gujarat. Observations on incidence of sugarcane borer pests and sucking pests were recorded.

# Table 1: Survey and surveillance of insect pests of sugarcane in South Gujarat during 2016-17.

Name of	Varieties	Location	Per cent	Remarks
pest			Incidence	
White fly	Co 86032	Ganpatpara, Vebhardi, Mangrol,	40 to 60 %	April-
	Co 86002	Karmal, Nishaliya, Alampuraand Karjan,		2016
	Co M 265	(Vadodara sugar factory)		
	MC 707			
	Co 86032		More than	
	CoM 0265		90%	
	(ratoon)			
	Co 86032	Other villages surrounding to vadodara	30 to 35 %	April-
	CoM 0265	sugar factory		2016
	Co 86032	Valvada, Butwada and vanskui of	8 to 15 %	Feb-2016
	Co 86002	Mahuva Sugar factory		
	CoM 0265	Dungar, Chikhali, Ten, Movasa and	20 to 40 %	Aug-
	Co 86032	Kharvasa village, Bardoli sugar factory		2016
	Co86002			
	Co 86032	Mohni,Kharvasa,Magob and	10 to 20 %	Jan-2016
	CoM 0265	surrounding villages of Chalthan Sugar		
		factory		
Early shoot	Co 97009	Kachholi, Gandevi, Vanzana and	5 to 10 %	Janu-
borer &	(MC-707)	Surkhai.		2016
Top borer	CoC 671	Gandevi sugar factory		
-	Co 86032			
	CoN 07072			
	CoM 0265			
Root borer		Chalthan sugar :Mohni,Niyol,Magob		May-
	CoM 0265	and surrounding villages Vihan, Rundh	10 to 18 %	2016
	Co 86002	Vaktana, Vanz and Vav.		
	Co86032	Kamrej sugar : Kanyasi, Navi Pardi,	5 to 10 %	
		Karjan, Ghala and Bodhan.		
White woolly	Co 86032	Valvada, vanskui and Butwada Madhi	2 to 3 %	-
aphid	Ratoon	sugar factory		

#### **Result:-**

In South Gujarat incidence of insect pest was found in trace to moderate intensity. During the period of survey incidence of early shoot borer and top borer was ranged from 5 % to 10 % in Co 86032, Co 97009 (MC 707),Co 86032, Co 86002, and CoM 0265, respectively. White fly incidence varies from 8 to 60 % in plant sugarcane and in ratoon it was more than 90 %. Incidence of root borer found to be increased in the area and varies from 5 to 18 %. Rodent damage was ranged from 5-10%.

Title	: Monitoring of insect pests and bio-agents in sugarcane agro-
	ecosystem.
Objective	: To monitor the key insect pests and natural enemies in the area.
Locations	: M.S.R.S., N.A.U., Navsari
Year of start	: 2015-16
Duration	: Long term
Date of Planting	:13-01-2016
Variety	: Co 86032
Methodology	<b>:</b> 1. Planting of sugarcane variety recommended for the region in 0.2 ha.area.
	2. All recommended agronomical practices was followed without application of insecticide.
<b>Observations were recorded</b>	: 1.Observations on incidence of borers were recorded by
	examining 20 canes at five places (four corners and in the
	middle), sucking pests by examining 25 canes.
	2. Observations for all the bio-agents were recorded.

Project No. E. 30. Monitoring	of insect pests and bio-agents in sugarcane	agro ecosystem
	of model pests and bio-agents in sugar cane	agio ccosystem

#### A. Early shoot borer (ESB)

Period of	% incidence of	% Parasitism					
Observation (SMW)	ESB	T. Chilonis	E. annulipes	S. inferens			
7	2.34	9.73	-	-			
11	1.43	4.25	-	-			
16	0.98	2.43	-	-			

Incidence of early shoot bore in 7, 11 and 16 SMW was 2.34, 1.43 and 0.98 respectively. During period of study only *T. chilonis* was found to parasitized early shoot borer. Parasitism ranged from 2.43 to 9.73 per cent.

# **B.** Top borer (TSB)

Period of	% incidence	% Parasitism						
Observation (SMW)	of TSB	T. japonicum	T. chilonis	Apanteles flavipes	B. bassiana			
20	1.68	5.69	1.48	1.25	1.18			
28	1.42	3.25	2.42	2.34	1.26			
50	2.53	1.63	1.19	1.87	1.32			

Incidence of top shoot bore in 20, 28 and 50 SMW was 1.68, 1.42 and 2.53 respectively. During period of study per cent parasitism by *T. japonicum* was 5.69, 3.25 and 1.63, respectively. Whereas *T. chilonis* found to be parasitizing at the rate of 1.48, 2.42 and 1.19 per cent, Parasitism done by *Apanteles flavipes* was ranged from 1.25 to 2.34 per cent. Fungus parasitism ranged from 1.18 to 1.32 % caused by *B. bassiana*.

# Project No. E. 36

Project Title	: Management of borer complex of sugarcane through lures
Objective	: To manage sugarcane borers (Early shoot borer, top borer, and internode borer) through pheromone traps and influence of weather parameters on moth catches.
Year of start	: 2015-16
Variety	: Co 86032
Location	: Main Sugarcane Research Station, NAU, Navsari
Date of planting	: 25.01.2016
Treatments	: Pheromone lures of sugarcane early shoot borer, top borer, and internode borer
Plot size	: 1 acre
Methodology	: The test insect- pests were early shoot borer, top borer, and internode borer. Three pheromone traps for each pest were installed in the second fortnight of the February till harvest of crop in one acre of sugarcane crop. The pheromone lure was changed after 2 months.
Observation to be recorded	<ul> <li>1. Observation on number of moths trapped was recorded atweekly interval.</li> <li>2. The mean number of moth capture was worked out.</li> <li>3. The correlation and regression of moth captures with weekly meteorological parameters was worked out.</li> <li>4. Each borer infestation was recorded in both blocks.</li> </ul>

	1	p year 201		DI	0/	a 11	<b>D · D U</b>		EGD	TD	DD
STW	Date	-	ature <sup>0</sup> C	RH		Sun shine	Rain Fall	Rainy days	ESB	ТВ	INB
		Max <sup>0</sup> C	Min <sup>0</sup> C	Mor.	Eve.	(hrs/day)	(mm)				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1-7	33.1	26.8	82.8	24.8	8.9	0.0	0.0	2	2	2
2	8-14	30.9	12.5	86.5	31.9	7.8	0.0	0.0	2	2	1
3	15-21	28.1	11.3	82.6	35.2	6.9	0.0	0.0	1	2	2
4	22-28	30.1	10.4	69.1	30.9	9.6	0.0	0.0	1	3	2
5	29-4	31.8	12.9	84.6	30.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	3	2	2
6	5-11	30.3	12.9	86.7	38.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	1	2	1
7	12-18	29.5	13.8	85.1	35.1	7.7	0.0	0.0	1	2	2
8	19-25	32.6	15.9	84.3	34.7	8.6	0.0	0.0	2	2	2
9	26-4	34.3	18.6	79.5	34.9	8.6	0.3	0.0	2	3	2
10	5-11	33.9	17.4	88.3	27.7	8.6	0.0	0.0	2	2	2
11	12-18	33.5	18.4	84.7	35.9	9.0	0.0	0.0	1	2	2
12	19-25	36.3	19.0	82.5	21.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	1	4	1
13	26-1	38.0	19.6	87.5	29.7	8.2	0.0	0.0	3	3	1
14	2-8	34.8	21.5	91.4	41.7	7.5	0.0	0.0	1	2	2
15	9-15	36.8	21.0	83.0	30.6	9.4	0.0	0.0	2	2	1
16	16-22	36.5	22.9	84.9	39.4	9.8	0.0	0.0	2	1	1
17	23-29	33.8	22.8	69.6	45.5	10.5	0.0	0.0	1	4	2
18	30-6	34.9	24.1	86.7	53.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	1	3	3
19	7-13	33.8	25.2	86.8	59.6	9.3	0.0	0.0	2	2	2
20	14-20	36.0	26.4	87.5	54.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	1	2	1
21	21-27	33.5	28.4	78.5	62.7	8.6	0.0	0.0	2	2	1
22	28-3	34.7	28.1	74.2	60.0	9.4	0.0	0.0	3	3	4
23	4-10	34.2	28.3	83.9	76.9	7.5	0.0	0.0	0	2	1
24	11-17	34.3	28.3	82.3	69.1	7.2	0.1	0.0	2	3	1
25	18-24	33.6	27.2	83.6	62.4	3.4	0.7	0.1	1	2	3
26	25-1	32.7	25.2	91.1	79.9	4.8	12.2	0.3	1	1	3
27	2-8	30.0	25.6	93.8	85.1	1.9	28.7	0.7	1	2	1
28	9-15	30.1	25.5	91.6	83.0	0.7	2.9	0.4	2	1	2
29	16-22	29.9	24.4	92.1	79.6	2.7	12.2	1.0	1	2	1
30	23-29	29.5	24.3	95.4	83.2	0.4	12.3	0.4	1	2	2
31	30-5	28.3	24.5	95.3	90.0	0.6	30.4	1.0	2	4	3
32	6-12	29.2	24.5	94.5	87.9	1.7	16.7	1.0	1	2	1
33	13-19	30.2	25.5	90.5	77.5	3.4	0.3	0.0	1	2	2
34	20-26	29.9	25.0	86.6	80.1	2.6	1.8	0.4	2	1	1
35	27-2	30.4	24.8	95.4	69.1	4.1	3.1	0.4	0	2	2
36	3-9	30.1	23.9	88.4	73.3	6.4	21.4	0.3	1	1	1
37	10-16	30.3	23.6	103.7	73.4	4.9	2.9	0.3	1	1	1
38	17-23	28.7	23.6	98.6	88.3	0.4	41.4	0.7	1	3	2
39	24-30	31.1	23.0	95.5	74.6	4.5	9.4	0.4	1	2	1
40	1-7	29.8	23.9	97.4	81.8	2.9	8.4	0.4	2	2	1
40	8-14	30.4	22.7	95.7	72.9	5.6	5.3	0.0	3	2	2
42	15-21	33.5	19.9	93.9	46.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	2	3	2
43	22-28	33.1	19.9	84.4	37.7	9.3	0.0	0.0	2	2	3
44	22-28	31.9	17.2	67.6	58.8	9.5	0.0	0.0	3	5	1
44	5-11	33.5	17.2	82.2	21.6	9.3	0.0	0.0	<u> </u>	3	4
45	12-18	33.2	14.3	75.4	32.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	2	1	5
40	12-18	33.1	13.9	69.3	24.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	3	2	2
47	26-2	33.7	13.4	79.2	24.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	3 4	5	$\frac{2}{3}$
48	3-9	32.6	15.1	69.5	31.8	9.7	0.0	0.0	4	2	2
49 50	3-9 10-16	32.0	13.2	69.5 71.7	27.4	9.0	0.0	0.0	$\frac{2}{2}$	2 1	<u> </u>
50	10-10			72.0		9.4 8.7			$\frac{2}{2}$	<u> </u>	4
		32.1	14.5		27.4		0.0	0.0		<u> </u>	$\frac{2}{3}$
52	24-31	31.5	12.2	72.4	27.3	9.0	0.0	0.0	2	3	3

Table: A The meteorological data recorded at Meteorological observatory College farm, N.M.C.A., N.A.U., Navsari for the crop year 2016-2017.

Pests	Temper	Temperature <sup>0</sup> C   Relative Humidity     %		Rain- Fall	No.of rainy days	Sun shine hours	
	Max.	Min.	Morning	Evening	(mm)		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ESB	0.2409*	-0.2744*	-0.3503**	-0.3250**	-0.2343*	-0.2610*	0.3170**
TB	0.1501	-0.2316*	-0.3583**	-0.2266*	-0.0163	-0.1125	0.2480*
INB	0.0497	-0.2456*	-0.3119*	-0.2728*	-0.1065	-0.2028	0.1723

# Table: 1 Correlation between populations of early shoot borer, top borer and internode borer with weather parameters (2016-2017)

\* Significant at 0.05 (0.2262), \*\* Significant at 0.01 (0.3158)

#### ESB=Early shoot borer, TB= Top borer and INB=Internode borer

#### Early shoot borer:

Maximum (4.0) moths of early shoot borer were collected in 48<sup>th</sup> SMW. Data from the Table-1 revealed that there is negative significant correlation between early shoot borer with minimum temperature (-0.2744), rain fall (-0.2343) and no. of rainy days (-0.2610), negative highly significant relation with relative humidity per cent at morning (-0.3503) and evening (-0.3250), whereas it shows positive significant correlation with maximum temperature (0.2409) and sunshine hours (0.3170)

# **Top borer:**

Maximum moths (5.0) of top borer were catches during 44<sup>th</sup>, 48<sup>th</sup> and 52<sup>nd</sup>SMW. From the Table-1 it is raveled that there is significant negative correlation between top borer and minimum temperature (-0.2316), non-significant negative relation with no. of rainy days and rainfall. Whereas, negative highly significant relation with minimum morning relative humidity (-0.2266). Whereas, it is shows positive significant correlation with Sunshine hours 0.2480, (Table-1).

# Internode borer:

Maximum number of moth (20) was trapped during 46<sup>th</sup> SMW. From the Table-1 it is stated that there is positive non-significant correlation between internode borer moth catches and maximum temperature (0.0497) and sunshine hours (0.1723).Whereas negative significant relation with minimum temperature (-0.2456) and morning (-0.3119) and evening (-0.2728) relative humidity per cent. Rainfall (-0.1065) and rainy days (-0.2028) were exhibited negative non-significant relation with internode borer incidence.

# Project E. 37:

Title: Bio-efficacy of new	Title: Bio-efficacy of new insecticides for the control of sugarcane early shoot borer						
Objective	: To find out effective strategy for the management of sugarcane early shoot borer						
Yearly of started	: Co 86032						
Location	: MSRS farm, Navsari Agricultural University Navsari.						
Design	: RBD						
No. of treatment	: 8 (Eight)						
No. of replication	: 3 (Three)						
Date of Planting	: 04.01.2016						
Plot size	: Gross plot: 6.0 m x 5.4 m						
Ν	Jet: 5.0 m x 4.5 m						
Spacing	: Between two row; 0.9 m (R-R)						
Seed rate	: Recommended						
Fertilizer application	: Recommended dose						

# **Treatment detail:**

- 1. Soil application of Fipronil 0.3 G @ 25 kg a.i./ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP
- 2. Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP
- 3. Spraying of Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC 375 ml/ha at 30 and 60 DAP
- 4. Spraying of Spinosad 45 SC @ 90 ml/ha at 30 and 60 DAP
- 5. Spraying of Flubendiamide @ 250 ml/ ha at 30 and 60 DAP
- 6. Soil application of Phorate 10 G @ 15 kg /ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP
- 7. Soil application of Carbofuran 3 G @ 33 kg /ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP
- 8. Untreated control

# **Observation were recorded**

(A) Early shoot borer:

- ESB infestation will be recorded by counting number of dead hearts easily pulled out and emitting offensive odour as well as total number of shoots/plant in each net plot on 45, 60, 90, and 120 DAP.
- > The per cent incidence of shoot borer will be worked out by following formula:

Per cent incidence = <u>Number of dead hearts</u> x100

Total number of shoots

The cumulative per cent infestation will be worked out by taking progressive total of infested shoots in proportion to total of infested shoots in proportion to total shoot formed.

# Yield, Growth and quality parameter:

- (a) Germination (%)
- (b) Tillering per cent at 120 DAP
- (c) Number of millable cane
- (d) Cane yield (kg/ha)
- (e) Growth parameter [total cane height (cm), Millable cane height (cm), number of internodes (10 canes / treatment/replication) and girth of cane (10 canes/ treatment/replication).
- (f) Quality parameters.

# **Research result**

The data in table 1 revealed that difference due to application of various insecticides in respect of per cent incidence of early shoot borer at 45 DAS, 60 DAP, 90 DAP and 120 DAP were found significant. Incidence of early shoot borer at 45 DAP was ranged from 20.59 to 28.46 per cent. The least incidence was observed in Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP (20.59%).

Incidence of early shoot borer was ranged at 60 DAP 23.23 to 30.58 per cent and at 90 DAP was 24.55 to 31.45 per cent, respectively. It is also seen from the table per cent incidence of early shoot borer at 120 DAS was found significant and ranged from 24.89 to 32.44 per cent. The least incidence was observed in Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP during period of study.

The same trend was observed in pooled data, indicates differences between various treatment of insecticide in respect of per cent incidence of early shoot borer were significant. The per cent incidence of early shoot borer was ranged from 23.48 to 30.81 per cent. The least incidence was observed in Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting (23.48 %),while maximum incidence was observed in Untreated control (30.81 %).

Table -1 Bio-efficacy of new insecticide for the control of sugarcane ESB trial at Main	
Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).	

Sr.	Treatments	% Inc	idence of Ear	Pooled	Cumulative		
No		45 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP		% incidence
1	Soil application of Fipronil 0.3 G @ 25 kg a.i./ha at the time of planting and 60	15.14 (22.90)	16.16 (23.70)	18.34 (25.36)	20.24 (26.74)	17.57 (24.78)	20.12
2	DAP Soil application of						
2	Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	12.37 (20.59)	(23.23)	(24.55)	17.72 (24.89)	15.88 (23.48)	13.94
3	Spraying of	15.58	15.27	16.64	19.47	16.82	
	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC 375 ml/ha at 30 and 60 DAP	(23.25)	(23.00)	(24.08)	(26.18)	(24.21)	16.47
4	Spraying of Spinosad 45 SC @ 90 ml/ha at 30 and	17.20	16.38	19.06	21.76	18.71	22.26
	60 DAP	(24.50)	(23.87)	(25.89)	(27.80)	(25.63)	
5	Spraying of Flubendiamide @ 250 ml/	16.58	16.11	17.74	21.19	18.02	21.36
	ha at 30 and 60 DAP	(24.03)	(23.66)	(24.91)	(27.41)	(25.12)	
6	Soil application of Phorate 10 G @ 15 kg /ha at the	18.05)	17.57	20.91	22.89	19.96	04.41
	time of planting and 60 DAP	(25.14)	(24.78)	(27.21)	(28.59)	(26.54)	24.41
7	Soil application of Carbofuran 3 G @ 33 kg	18.63	18.81	18.73	22.25	19.67	25.10
	/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	(25.57)	(25.71)	(25.64)	(28.14)	(26.33)	25.19
8	Untreated control	22.71	25.89	27.23	28.78	26.23	34.85
		(28.46)	(30.58)	(31.45)	(32.44)	(30.81)	
	<b>S.Em</b> ± ( <b>T</b> )	1.72	0.39	0.44	0.48	0.42	-
	C. D @ 5%	1.18	1.21	1.33	1.46	1.28	-
	C. V. %	11.44	11.63	12.27	10.81	11.13	-

\*Figures in the parenthesis are arcsine transformed values and those outside are original values

On the basis of cumulative per cent incidence treatment of Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP recorded minimum cumulative per cent incidence (13.94%). while, maximum cumulative per cent incidence (34.85%) was recorded in untreated control.

Sr.	Treatments	Yield	Quality parameter (%)			
No.		(t/ha)	Brix	Sucrose	Purity	C.C.S.
1	Soil application of Fipronil 0.3 G @ 25 kg a.i./ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	123.63	20.78	18.32	89.01	12.67
2	Soil application of Chlorantraniliprole 0.4 G @ 22.5 kg/ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	132.21	21.27	18.51	87.85	12.71
3	Spraying of Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC 375 ml/ha at 30 and 60 DAP	128.61	21.85	18.85	87.00	12.89
4	Spraying of Spinosad 45 SC @ 90 ml/ha at 30 and 60 DAP	120.36	19.93	17.60	89.21	12.18
5	Spraying of Flubendiamide @ 250 ml/ ha at 30 and 60 DAP	121.44	21.74	18.58	86.15	12.65
6	Soil application of Phorate 10 G @ 15 kg /ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	104.87	21.98	20.01	90.42	13.94
7	Soil application of Carbofuran 3 G @ 33 kg /ha at the time of planting and 60 DAP	94.76	20.78	18.32	89.01	12.67
8	Untreated control	80.06	21.27	18.51	87.85	12.71
	<b>S.Em</b> $\pm$ ( <b>T</b> )	1.82	1.42	1.51	1.21	1.12
	C. D @ 5%	5.52	NS	NS	NS	NS
	C. V. %	12.81	11.24	12.17	13.42	12.17

# Table -2 Effect of new insecticide on yield and quality parameter at Main Sugarcane Research Station, Navsari (2016-17).

# Yield and Quality parameters viz., Brix %, Sucrose %, Purity %, C.C.S %

The highest millable cane yield of sugarcane was recorded in T2 (132.21 t/ha) and it was at par with T3 (128.61t/ha).The significant lowest yield was found in untreated control T8 (80.06 t/ha).Brix per cent, Sucrose per cent, Purity per cent and C.C.S per cent were found non-significant. The treatment did not show any significant difference in quality parameter.

# Appendix-I

# Grades of insect pests infestation

Pest	LS	MS	HS				
Early shoot borer	Below 15.0	15.1 - 30.0	Above 30.0				
Top borer	Below 10.0	10.1 - 20.0	Above 20.0				
Internode borer	Below 30.0	30.1 - 50.0	Above 50.0				
Root borer	Below 15.0	15.1 - 30.0	Above 30.0				
Scale insect	Below 10.0	10.1-35.0	Above 35.0				
Mealy bugs	Below 5.0	5.1 - 30.0	Above 30.0				
Woolly aphid	0 (Resistant)	:Free	1				
	1 (Moderately Resistant) : Less than 25% leaf area covered						
	2 (Moderately susceptible): 25% leaf area covered						
	<b>3 (Susceptible )</b> : 25%-50% leaf area covered						
	<b>4</b> ( <b>Highly susceptible</b> ) : More than 50% leaf area covered						

\*\*\*\*\*