# ALL INDIA COORDINATED RESEARCH PROJECT ON SUGARCANE AGRONOMY

# **ANNUAL REPORT**

# 2014-15



## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY**

# G. B. PANT UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE & TECHNOLOGY PANTNAGAR – 263145 (U.S. NAGAR) UTTARAKHAND

## **STAFF ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT**

- 1. Dr. Dheer Singh Professor Department of Agronomy
- 2. Shri Vijendra Singh Junior Scientist Department of Agronomy
- 3. Shri R.D. Yadav Field Assistant Department of Agronomy

## **TECHNICAL REPORT**

The following experiment related to Sugarcane Agronomy were allotted to the Pantnagar Centre during 2014-15

S. No.	Experiment	Title					
1.	AS-42 (a)	Agronomic evaluation of promising sugarcane genotypes planted in spring season					
2.	AS-42 (b)	Agronomic evaluation of promising sugarcane genotypes planted in spring season (Ratoon)					
3.	AS-64	Response of sugarcane crop to different plant nutrients in varied agro-ecological situations					
4.	AS-65	Enhancing sugarcane productivity and profitability under wheat-sugarcane cropping system					
5.	AS-66	Priming of cane nodes for accelerating germination in sugarcane					
6.	AS-68	Impact of integrated application of organics and inorganics in improving soil health and sugarcane productivity					

As per technical programme, all above experiments were conducted at the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar during 2014-15. Pantnagar is situated at 29° N latitude and 79° E longitude and at an altitude of 243.8 m above the mean sea level in the foot hills of Himalayas (Shivalik Range) in *Tarai* region. The *Tarai* belt enjoys sub-humid tropical climate with hot summers and cold winter with minimum temperature in the range of 7.6 °C during 2014 in December (**Appendix-I**). Total rainfall received 1016.5 mm from January, 2014 to February, 2015. Maximum relative humidity ranges from 74.0 % in April, 2014 to 94% in January, 2015. Sunshine period was 3.4 hrs/day in July, 2014 and 9.8 hrs/day in April, 2014 (**Appendix-I**). The physio-chemical properties of the experimental soil are given in (**Appendix-II**). The experimental soil was silty loam in texture, rich in organic carbon (1.05 - 1.11 %), medium in available phosphorus (48.5 - 49.0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha) and potassium (238 - 242 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) with soil pH 7.4 to 7.6. The cultural details of different experiments are given in (**Appendix-III**). Other details of the experiment are given experiment wise separately.

# PROJECT NO. AS-42 (a)

Title	:	Performance of Sugarcane genotypes under different fertility levels in
spring		planted season
Year of Experimentation	:	2014-15
Experimental Sit	e :	N. E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar
Experimental det	tail <u>s</u>	

#### **Treatments:**

1. Genotypes (4)

- (i) Co Pant 5224
- (ii) Co Pant 3220
- (iii) Co Pant 97222
- (iv) Co Pant 99214

#### 2. Fertility levels (3)\*

- (i) 75 % of the recommended NPK
- (ii) 100 % of the recommended NPK
- (iii) 125 % of the recommended NPK

\*Recommended dose of NPK was 120: 60:40 kg/ha

Design	:	Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.) factorial
Replication	:	3
Plot Size	:	$3.75 \ge 8.0 = 30 \text{ m}^2$
Net plot size	:	18 m <sup>2</sup> (8 m X 2.25 m)
Date of Planting	:	19.03.2014
Date of harvesting	:	23.03.2015

Setts of sugarcane varieties *i.e.* Co Pant 5224, Co Pant 3220, Co Pant 97222 and Co Pant 99214 were planted on March 19, 2014 at 75 cm apart in 10 cm deep furrow using 4 setts per meter row length. Setts were treated with 0.25 % solution of carbendazim to prevent from fungal infection if any. N, P and K were applied as per treatments. Half dose of N along with full dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were given as basal and remaining N was top dressed in two splits within 90 days after planting. Cultural operations were performed as per recommendations and need of the crop. The

experimental soil was silty loam in texture, rich in organic carbon (1.05 %) and medium in available  $P_2O_5$  (49.0 kg/ha) and K<sub>2</sub>O (240.7 kg/ha). Soil was neutral in pH (7.4). The crop was harvested on 23.03.2015.

**Results:-** Data given in Table-1(a) revealed that higher germination % was recorded in variety Co Pant 5224 which was significantly higher over Co Pant 3220 and Co Pant 97222 in planted cane. Higher net millable canes were recorded in variety Co Pant 5224 which were found significantly higher over rest of the varieties. Cane length and cane weight were also recorded higher in variety Co Pant 5224 as compared to rest of the varieties. Cane yield was higher in variety Co Pant 5224 which was found significantly higher over rest of the varieties *i.e.* Co Pant 3220 and Co Pant 97222 and was at par to Co Pant 99214. Sucrose % was higher in variety Co Pant 3220 which was significantly higher over Co Pant 99214. CCS yield (t/ha) was highest in variety Co Pant 5224 which was significantly higher over Co Pant 3220.

Germination % at 45 DAP could not influence due to fertility treatments. Cane yield was recorded higher in 125 % of the recommended dose of NPK which was significantly higher over 75 and 100 % of the recommended dose of N, P and K. Net millable canes/ha were significantly higher in 125 % of the recommended NPK over 75 % of the recommended dose of NPK. Plant height was also recorded significantly higher in 125 % of the recommended NPK over either 75 % or 100 %. However, cane girth could not influenced significantly due to fertility level. Average cane weight was higher significantly in 125 % of the recommended dose of N, P and K which was found significantly higher over 75 or 100 % of the recommended dose of NPK. Cane yield was influenced in 125 % of the recommended dose of NPK. Cane yield was influenced in 125 % of the recommended dose of NPK. Cane yield was influenced in 125 % of the recommended dose of NPK due to higher shoot population, average cane weight, cane length over 75 or 100 % of the recommended. CCS yield (ton/ha) was also higher in 125 % of the recommended NPK over rest of the fertility levels. (Table -1)

**Summary:-** Among all the sugarcane varieties Co Pant 5224 performed better for higher, NMC, cane yield, cane girth and cane length over rest of the varieties. However, cane yield was at par with variety Co Pant 99214. Cane yield, NMC, cane girth, CCS yield (t/ha) were higher in 125 % of the recommended dose of NPK over 75 or 100 % of the recommended dose.

Treatments	Germination (%)	Shoot population (000/ha)			Average cane	Plant height at	Cane girth	NMC (000/	Cane yield	Sucrose (%)	CCS (t/ha)
	45 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	weight (g)	harvest (cm)	(cm)	ha)	(t/ha)		
Genotypes											
Co Pant 5224	55.3	115.1	126.6	120.6	1033.3	388.0	8.4	104.1	88.2	14.3	7.0
Co Pant 3220	50.4	125.0	149.5	133.9	896.6	382.8	7.9	94.9	82.2	14.4	6.7
Co Pant 97222	45.7	108.0	141.7	130.2	956.7	385.0	8.4	79.3	83.5	14.3	6.8
Co Pant 99214	51.3	120.5	170.7	151.9	977.8	375.0	8.3	76.9	88.1	14.0	6.8
SEm ±	1.4	7.2	7.2	7.2	26.9	1.1	0.1	1.3	0.3	0.06	0.06
CD at 5%	4.2	21.2	21.2	21.2	78.9	3.4	NS	4.0	0.9	0.19	0.19
Fertility levels (% of I	Recommended N,	P and K)			l		1	1			
75	48.0	112.5	136.9	120.2	855.8	370.0	7.5	82.6	82.5	15.2	6.4
* 100	49.3	112.0	146.3	135.7	938.3	385.0	7.9	90.9	86.1	13.8	6.7
125	49.8	127.1	158.3	141.3	1104.2	393.2	9.3	93.0	87.8	13.6	7.8
SEm ±	1.2	6.2	6.3	6.2	23.3	1.0	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.06	0.1
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	18.4	18.3	68.3	3.0	NS	3.6	0.7	0.18	0.4

Table1 1 (a):- Effects of genotypes and fertility levels on growth and yield of spring planted sugarcane at Pantnagar

\*(Recommended dose of N, P and K were 120: 60: 40 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha)

**Pooled analysis :-** On the basis of two years pooled analysis it was observed that Co Pant 5224 performed better then rest of the genotypes (Table 1-b ) which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Significantly higher NMC were also recorded in Co Pant 5224 over rest of the genotypes. However, CCS yield was higher significant higher over Co Pant 6224. NMC, cane yield and CCS yield were higher in 125 % of the recommended dose of N as compared to either 100 % or 75 % of the recommended N.

**Summary :-** Among all the genotype Co Pant 5224 performed better for cane yield and NMC, CCS yield was recorded highest in Co Pant 4222 which was significantly higher over Co Pant 6224. NMC, cane yield and CCS yield were higher in 125 % of the recommended dose of N as compared to either 100 % or 75 % of the recommended N.

Table 1(b) :- NMC (000/ha), cane yield (t/ha) and CCS yield (t/ha) influenced by various<br/>genotypes and fertility levels (Pooled)

Treatments		NMC (00	0/ha)	Ca	ne yield (t/	ha)	CCS (t/ha)		
	2012-13	2013-14	Pooled	2012-13	2013-14	Pooled	2012-13	2013-14	Pooled
Genotypes									
Co Pant 5224	87.2	88.0	87.6	85.9	87.7	86.8	8.5	6.9	7.7
Co Pant 6224	86.6	86.4	86.5	84.8	84.5	84.7	7.8	7.3	7.5
Co Pant 4222	87.0	80.4	83.7	85.0	82.2	83.6	8.8	7.5	8.2
Co Pant 2218	80.2	87.7	83.9	81.0	85.5	83.3	8.3	7.8	8.1
SEm ±	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
CD at 5%	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.6
Fertility levels	(Nitrogen	level % o	of the rec	ommende	ed)				
75	82.9	84.4	83.7	81.4	82.9	82.2	8.1	6.9	7.5
100	82.6	85.9	84.2	81.4	85.1	83.3	8.2	7.3	7.8
125	90.1	86.6	88.4	88.0	86.8	87.4	8.9	8.0	8.5
SEm ±	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
CD at 5 %	1.1	0.5	0.8	1.6	0.6	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6

# PROJECT NO. AS-42 (b)

Title :	Performance of Sugarcane genotypes (ratoon) under different fertility levels
Year of Experimentation :	2014-15
Experimental Site :	N. E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar
<b>Experimental details</b>	

#### **Treatments:**

- 1. Genotypes (4)
  - (i) Co Pant 5224
  - (ii) Co Pant 6224
  - (iii) Co Pant 4222
  - (iv) Co Pant 2218

#### 2. Fertility levels (3) (Nitrogen levels)

- (i) 75 % of the recommended N
- (ii) 100 % of the recommended N
- (iii) 125 % of the recommended N

\*Recommended dose of N was 150 kg/ha

\*Uniform dose of  $P_2O_5$  (60 kg/ha) and  $K_2O$  (40 kg/ha) were given as basal

Design	:	Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.) factorial
Replication	:	3 (Three)
Plot Size	:	$3.75 \ge 8.0 = 30 \text{ m}^2$
Net plot size	:	18 m <sup>2</sup>
Date of ratoon initiation	:	18.03.2014
Date of harvesting (ratoon)	:	17.02.2015

Sugarcane genotype *i.e.* Co Pant 5224, Co Pant 6224, Co Pant 4222 and Co Pant 2218 were raised as plant crop during 2013-14 with the 75 %, 100 % and 125 % of the recommended dose of N/ha. Uniform dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (60 kg/ha) and K<sub>2</sub>O (40 kg/ha) was given along with different doses of N in plant cane and ratoon. Recommended dose of N was 150 kg N/ha. Half dose of N was given as basal (at ratoon initiation) and remaining half N was splitted in two parts was applied

up to June last. Cultural practices (irrigation, weeding, hoeing, earthing, tying etc.) were adopted as per recommendations. The ration was harvested on 17.02.2015.

**Results:-** Highest cane yield was recorded from sugarcane variety Co Pant 5224 which was significantly higher over rest of the genotypes *i.e.* Co Pant 6224, Co Pant 4222 and Co Pant 2218. The higher cane yield in the variety Co Pant 5224 was the result of higher millable cane, cane girth, length of cane. Number of clumps (000/ha) were also higher in variety Co Pant 5224 as compared to Co Pant 4222 and Co Pant 2218. Sucrose % in the canes of variety Co Pant 5224 was recorded higher as compared to rest of the genotypes. However CCS yield was not influenced in any of the variety/genotype significantly.

Significantly higher shoot population at different stages of crop growth *i.e.* 60, 90, 120 and 150 DAP was recorded in 125 % of the recommended N (150 kg N/ha) over 75 or 100 % of the recommended dose of N (150 kg N/ha). Cane yield was significantly higher in the treatment of 125 % of the recommended N over 75 % or 100 % of the recommended N/ha. The higher yield in 125 % of the recommended was the result of higher cane length, cane girth, millable cane. Sucrose % was recorded significantly higher in 75 % of the recommended N over either 100 % or 125 % of the recommended N. CCS yield was significantly higher in 125 % of the recommended N. Over 75 % of the recommended N. Over 75 % of the recommended N. (Table - 2)

**Summary:-** Sugarcane variety Co Pant 5224 performed better over rest of the genotypes *i.e.* Co Pant 6224, Co Pant 4222 and Co Pant 2218 as the ratoon cane yield was significantly higher in Co Pant 5224. Sucrose % was also recorded higher in the variety Co Pant 5224. 125 % of the recommended N (150 kg N/ha) was found good over 75 or 100 % of the recommended N. Cane length, cane weight, millable cane, CCS yield were also significantly higher in 125 % of the recommended N/ha over recommended or sub optimal dose (75 % of the recommended).

Table 2:- Growth, cane yield and juice quality in ratoon affected by various g	genotypes and
fertility levels	

		Shoot	populat	ion (000	/ha)	Plant	Cane	Per	Millable	Yield	Sucrose	CCS
Treatments	Clumps (000/ha)	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	height (cm)	girth (cm)	cane wt. (g)	cane (000/ha)	(t/ha)	% at harvest	(t/ha)
Genotypes												
Co Pant 5224	22.9	71.1	79.0	88.6	97.8	327.4	2.5	812.0	60.4	57.1	14.0	6.7
Co Pant 6224	22.4	96.1	113.5	118.8	99.9	313.4	1.9	677.0	58.7	53.9	12.6	6.4
Co Pant 4222	19.1	74.7	102.0	116.3	91.9	320.4	1.8	833.0	56.6	53.1	13.0	6.4
Co Pant 2218	18.8	84.9	101.1	121.1	98.9	316.9	2.1	844.0	55.9	53.4	13.5	6.5
SEm ±	0.6	3.6	4.4	3.5	1.3	6.3	0.02	16.6	0.7	0.5	0.03	0.09
CD at 5%	1.9	10.6	12.9	10.3	3.9	NS	0.09	49.0	2.1	1.6	0.1	NS
Fertility levels	s (% of Rec	ommend	ed N)				1	1	II		1	
75	20.8	72.8	94.9	104.6	88.4	303.0	1.8	708.0	54.7	51.4	14.4	6.3
100	20.4	74.4	94.7	109.8	98.2	318.0	2.1	780.0	57.4	53.6	13.0	6.5
125	21.4	95.0	106.6	120.4	104.7	337.7	2.4	887.0	61.5	58.2	12.4	6.7
SEm ±	0.6	3.1	3.8	3.0	1.2	5.4	0.02	14.4	0.6	0.5	0.03	0.08
CD at 5 %	NS	9.2	11.2	9.1	3.6	16.0	0.07	43.2	1.8	1.5	0.09	0.24

\* Recommended N was 150 kg/ha + uniform dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (60 kg/ha) + K<sub>2</sub>O (40 kg/ha)

# **PROJECT NO. AS-64**

Title		:	Response of sugarcane crop to different plant nutrients in varied agro ecological situations
Objective		:	To study differential response of sugarcane crop to different nutrients
Year of start		:	2012-2013
Year of comp	letion	:	2014-2015
<u>Experimental</u>	detail	ls	
Treatments		:	1. Control (no fertilizer)
			2. N (120 kg/ha.)
			3. NP (120+60kg/ha.)
			4. NPK (120+60+40kg/ha.)
			5. NPK+S (120+60+40+40 kg/ha.)
			6. NPK+Zn (120+60+40+25 kg/ha.)
			7. NPK+Fe (120+60+40 kg/ha + 1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)
			8. NPK + Mn (120+60+40+50 kg/ha.)
			9. NPK+S+Zn (120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha.)
			10. NPK+S+Zn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)
			11. NPK+S+Zn+Mn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25+50 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)
			12. FYM @ 20 t/ha.
			13. Soil test based fertilizer application (150 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O)
Note:	S	:	40/60 kg/ha elemental sulphur (Subtropical / Tropical)
	Zn	:	25/50 ZnSO <sub>4</sub> kg /ha (Subtropical / Tropical)
	Fe	:	1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)
]	Mn	:	50/100 MnSO <sub>4</sub> kg/ha (Subtropical / Tropical)
]	NPK	:	as per recommendations

Date of planting	:	22.03.2014
Variety	:	Co Pant 99214
Experimental Design	:	RBD
Number of Replications	:	3
Number of treatments	:	13
Date of harvesting	:	23.03.2015
Gross plot size	:	$8.0 \text{ m x} 3.75 \text{ m} = 30 \text{ m}^2$
Net plot size	:	18 m <sup>2</sup>

Three budded sugarcane setts of variety Co Pant 99214 were planted on March 22, 2014 in 10 cm deep furrows after treatment with carbendazim @ 0.25 % for 10 minutes to prevent the setts from fungal infection, if any. Fertilizer was applied as per treatment. Full dose of phosphorus, potassium, sulphor, zinc and manganese were applied along with half dose of N as basal. Remaining N (half) was applied within 90 days of sowing (before monsoon). Iron (Fe) was applied @ 1.0 % spray in weekly interval at vegetative stage (tillering). Total 5 (five) irrigations were given. Cultural operations were performed as per recommendation and need of the crop. Crop was harvested on March 23, 2015.

**Results:-** Data given in table - 3 revealed that higher germination was recorded in the treatment  $T_6$  - (NPK + Zn ; 120:60:40:25 kg/ha) which was significantly higher over  $T_{1-}$  (control),  $T_2$  - (N; 120 kg/ha),  $T_3$  - (NP ; 120 : 60 kg/ha),  $T_{12}$  - (FYM @ 20 t/ha) and  $T_{13}$  - (soil test based fertilizer application ; 150:60:60 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha). Cane yield was recorded highest in  $T_9$  (NPK+S+Zn) which was found significantly higher over rest of the treatments except  $T_{10}$  - (NPK+S+Zn+Fe) and  $T_{11}$  - (NPK+S+Zn+Mn+Fe). Higher cane yield in these treatments was the cumulative effect of higher shoot population at 150 DAP, cane girth, cane length, cane weight and NMC. Sucrose % was also recorded highest in  $T_9$  - (NPK+S+Zn) which was also recorded highest in  $T_9$  - (NPK+S+Zn) which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments was the cumulative effect of higher shoot population at 150 DAP, cane girth, cane length, cane weight and NMC. Sucrose % was also recorded highest in  $T_9$  - (NPK+S+Zn) which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments was also recorded highest in  $T_9$  - (NPK+S+Zn) which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments except  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{11}$ .

**Summary:-** Germination % at 45 DAP recorded highest in  $T_9$  - (NPK+Zn+S) which was significantly higher over  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_8$ ,  $T_{12}$  and  $T_{13}$ . Cane yield was also recorded highest in  $T_9$ 

which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments except  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{11}$ . Sucrose % and CCS yield were also higher in T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>. However sucrose % and CCS yield NMC and cane yield were improved in different treatments over control. FYM alone @ 20 ton/ha could not performed better. Higher cane yield in T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> was the result of higher NMC, cane length and cane girth. Higher net returns were also calculated in T<sub>9</sub> followed by T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> (Table - 4).

Table 3: Categories of available nutrients in soil (kg/ha)

Nutrients	Low	Medium	High
Nitrogen (kg/ha)	<280	280-560	>560
Phosphorous (kg/ha)	< 10	10-22.5	>22.5
Potassium (kg/ha)	<117	117-280	>280

### Table 4: Economics of different nutrient management in sugarcane

Treatment	Common cost (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Fertilizer cost (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvesting cost ( ₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Transport ation cost (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$T_1$	63700	0	23200	5800	92700	162400	69700
$T_2$	63700	1500	25600	6450	100750	180600	79850
T <sub>3</sub>	63700	4550	26000	6500	100770	182000	81230
$T_4$	63700	5700	27600	6900	103900	193200	89300
$T_5$	63700	11900	30280	7570	113450	211960	98510
T <sub>6</sub>	63700	7550	31800	7950	111000	222600	111600
$T_7$	63700	7150	31560	7890	110300	220920	110620
$T_8$	63700	6005	26480	6620	102805	185360	82555
<b>T</b> 9	63700	13700	36600	9150	123150	256200	133050
T <sub>10</sub>	63700	15130	36400	9100	124330	254800	130470
T <sub>11</sub>	63700	15300	36200	9050	124250	253400	129150
T <sub>12</sub>	63700	15150	36200	6080	121130	170240	49110
T <sub>13</sub>	63700	6134	24320	7070	101224	197960	96736

Treatments	Germination % at		ot popula (000/ha)		Cane girth	Cane length	Cane weight	NMC (000/	Cane yield	Sucrose % at	CCS (t/ha)
	45 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	(cm)	(cm)	(g)	ha)	(t/ha)	harvest	
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (no fertilizer)	21.8	83.5	88.4	91.1	7.1	280.0	800.0	62.5	58.0	15.2	5.6
T <sub>2</sub> - N (120 kg/ha.)	25.6	105.2	109.8	114.5	7.8	291.7	850.0	66.2	64.5	15.5	6.9
T <sub>3</sub> - NP (120+60kg/ha.)	26.7	108.7	110.7	113.5	8.3	301.7	900.0	67.7	65.0	16.0	6.8
T <sub>4</sub> -NPK (120+60+40kg/ha.)	33.7	110.4	118.6	120.7	8.5	335.7	966.7	71.0	69.0	16.1	6.9
T <sub>5</sub> -NPK+S (120+60+40+40 kg/ha.)	32.7	117.3	118.1	126.5	8.1	340.7	933.3	76.4	75.7	16.5	8.5
T <sub>6</sub> -NPK+Zn (120+60+40+25 kg/ha.)	36.8	119.6	121.7	131.6	9.0	351.7	1133.3	80.7	79.5	16.8	9.7
T <sub>7</sub> -NPK+Fe (120+60+40 kg/ha + 1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)	33.8	118.1	119.5	128.9	8.0	355.3	1100.0	79.7	78.9	17.0	8.8
T <sub>8</sub> -NPK+Mn (120+60+40+50 kg/ha.)	27.3	110.6	115.8	118.7	8.0	300.7	816.7	67.6	66.2	16.7	7.8
T <sub>9</sub> -NPK+S+Zn (120+60+40+40+25kg/ha.)	37.3	132.6	133.4	136.1	10.0	341.7	1200.0	94.5	91.5	17.7	11.6
T <sub>10</sub> -NPK+S+Zn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in Weekly interval at vegetative stage)	36.1	121.5	124.4	127.0	9.0	335.3	1266.7	93.0	91.0	17.2	10.7
T <sub>11</sub> -NPK+S+Zn+Mn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25+50 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)	34.8	119.8	122.2	124.0	8.8	331.7	1166.7	92.2	90.5	17.6	10.8
T <sub>12</sub> -FYM @ 20 t/ha.	29.7	94.9	98.4	119.6	7.6	286.7	883.3	61.2	60.8	15.7	6.7
T <sub>13</sub> -Soil test based fertilizer application (150 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 60 kg K <sub>2</sub> O)	28.2	110.9	117.6	119.6	8.3	307.0	916.7	71.1	70.7	16.7	8.2
SEm±	1.4	1.9	2.1	5.3	0.20	1.6	32.12	0.84	0.81	0.08	0.3
CD at 5 %	4.2	5.6	6.1	15.3	0.60	4.7	93.8	2.45	2.39	0.25	0.9

## Table 5:- Response of sugarcane to different plant nutrients

**Pooled analysis :-** The experiment was conducted in Randomized block design in three replications having thirteen (13) treatments during 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15. Pooled analysis shows that sugarcane vield significantly higher in the was treatment T<sub>9</sub> - (NPK+S+Zn ; 120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha) over rest of the treatments except  $T_{10}$  - (NPK+S+Zn+Fe; 120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha + 1% spray of Fe thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage). However, all the treatments increased cane yield in various treatments over control (no fertilizer). FYM @ 20 ton/ha alone could not produced higher yield as compared to various nutritional combinations. FYM @ 20 ton/ha produced cane yield almost equivalent to 120 kg N/ha. Role of S, Zn and Fe application was also seen positive in combination with NPK (at recommended dose) which produced higher cane yield over soil test based fertilizer application (150 kg N + 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 60 kg  $K_2O/ha$ ). Higher cane yield was the result of higher NMC in different treatments and CCS yield was also affected by higher cane yield and quality of juice (Table- 6).

- **Summary:** On the basis of pooled analysis of the experiment conducted three years in randomized block design it may be concluded that-
- (i) Along with recommended dose of NPK (120: 60: 40 kg/ha), S (40 kg/ha) and Zn (25 kg/ha) application increased cane yield.
- (ii) Beneficial effects of the 1 % Fe spray (thrice weekly interval) at vegetative stage were recorded for the better cane yield and juice quality.

(iii)FYM (20 ton/ha) alone produced cane yield similar to 120 kg N/ha application.

## Table 6 :- Sugarcane productivity influenced by different nutritional treatments over the year (Pooled)

		NMC	(000/ha)			Cane y	ield (t/ha	)	CCS (t/ha)				
Treatments	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (no fertilizer)	91.2	64.6	62.5	72.8	67.0	60.4	58.0	61.8	7.2	7.8	5.6	6.9	
T <sub>2</sub> - N (120 kg/ha.)	99.3	67.5	66.2	77.7	84.4	67.5	64.5	72.1	9.6	7.4	6.9	7.8	
T <sub>3</sub> - NP (120+60kg/ha.)	102.4	68.2	67.6	79.4	88.5	67.9	65.0	73.9	11.0	9.2	6.8	9.0	
T <sub>4</sub> -NPK (120+60+40kg/ha.)	103.0	69.5	70.9	81.2	90.6	68.4	69.0	76.0	12.1	9.5	6.9	9.5	
T <sub>5</sub> -NPK+S (120+60+40+40 kg/ha.)	108.4	73.8	76.4	86.2	98.6	70.1	75.7	81.4	12.4	9.6	8.5	10.2	
T <sub>6</sub> -NPK+Zn (120+60+40+25 kg/ha.)	110.8	77.5	80.6	89.4	101.0	72.1	79.5	84.2	13.3	8.9	9.7	10.7	
T <sub>7</sub> -NPK+Fe (120+60+40 kg/ha + 1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)	110.2	76.1	79.6	88.7	100.3	71.7	78.9	83.6	13.0	9.5	8.8	10.4	
T <sub>8</sub> -NPK+Mn (120+60+40+50 kg/ha.)	104.1	72.0	67.6	81.2	90.8	67.2	66.1	75.1	12.1	9.6	7.8	9.8	
T <sub>9</sub> -NPK+S+Zn (120+60+40+40+25kg/ha.)	121.5	93.4	94.4	103.1	109.4	84.3	91.5	95.1	15.2	10.6	11.6	12.5	
T <sub>10</sub> -NPK+S+Zn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in Weekly interval at vegetative stage)	116.4	81.6	93.1	97.0	106.5	84.2	91.0	93.9	14.9	9.6	10.7	11.7	
T <sub>11</sub> -NPK+S+Zn+Mn+Fe (120+60+40+40+25+50 kg/ha+1% spray thrice in weekly interval at vegetative stage)	115.9	78.8	92.4	95.6	102.0	75.4	90.5	89.2	13.6	10.3	10.9	11.6	
T <sub>12</sub> -FYM @ 20 t/ha.	96.6	65.4	61.2	74.4	80.0	73.4	60.8	71.4	8.4	11.1	6.7	8.7	
T <sub>13</sub> -Soil test based fertilizer application (150 kg N + 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> + 60 kg K <sub>2</sub> O)	99.8	70.8	71.1	80.6	92.3	67.9	71.8	77.4	11.1	9.2	8.2	9.5	
SEm±	1.2	0.8	1.9	2.3	0.6	1.6	1.3	0.9	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.3	
CD at 5 %	3.5	2.4	5.4	6.5	1.8	4.7	3.8	2.6	0.5	1.7	1.0	0.9	

# **PROJECT NO. AS-65**

Title	:	Enhancing su	ugarcane	productivity	and	profitability	under	wheat-sugarcane
		cropping syste	em					

- **Year of start** : 2012-13
- **Under report** : 2014-15

:

#### **Experimental details**

#### Treatments

- T<sub>1</sub> Autumn planted sugarcane
- $T_2 T_1 + Wheat (1:2)$
- $T_3 T_1 + Wheat (1:3)$
- $T_4\mbox{ Wheat sown on } 15\mbox{ }^{th}\mbox{ November late sugarcane}$
- $T_5$  Wheat sown on  $15^{th}\,\text{December}$  late sugarcane
- $T_6$  FIRB sowing of wheat 15<sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + Sugarcane in furrow in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of February
- T<sub>7</sub> FIRB sowing of wheat 15<sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + Sugarcane in furrow in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of March
- T<sub>8</sub>- FIRB sowing of wheat  $15^{th}$  December (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + Sugarcane in furrow in  $3^{rd}$  week of February
- $T_9$  FIRB sowing of wheat  $15^{th}$  December (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + Sugarcane in furrow in  $3^{rd}$  week of March

Design	:	Randomized Block Design
Replication	:	3
Treatment	:	9
Wheat variety	:	UP 2565
Sugarcane Variety	:	Co Pant 90223
Plot size	:	$8.0 \text{ x } 5.4 = 43.2 \text{ m}^2$
Net plot	:	28.8 m <sup>2</sup>

Sowing of sugarcane	Harvesting of sugarcane	Sowing of wheat
$T_1, T_2 \& T_3 - 20.10.2013$	$T_1, T_2 \& T_3 - 10.01. 2015$	as per treatment
$T_4 \& T_5 - 16.04.2014$	$T_4 \& T_5 - 06.04.2015$	
T <sub>6</sub> &T <sub>8</sub> - 14.02.2014	T <sub>6</sub> &T <sub>8</sub> - 16.02.2015	
T <sub>7</sub> & T <sub>9</sub> - 22.03.2014	T <sub>7</sub> & T <sub>9</sub> - 24.03.2015	

Wheat variety UP 2565 and sugarcane variety Co Pant 90223 were planted as per technical programme (details are given). Wheat and sugarcane crops were raised as per recommended practices and as per need of the crop. The soil of experimental plots was silty loam in texture having organic carbon (1.05 %) and available  $P_2O_5$  (49.0 kg/ha) and  $K_2O$  (240.0 kg/ha) and soil was neutral in (pH 6.9).

#### **Results:**

(i) Wheat:- Data given in Table - 7 revealed that highest grain yield was recorded from the treatment  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  (November, 15 sown) followed by FIRBS sowing (3 rows of wheat on 75 cm) in treatment  $T_6$  and  $T_7$ . Reduction in grain yield due to late planting was recorded in (15<sup>th</sup> December sown wheat).

(ii) Sugarcane:- Highest cane yield was recorded in the treatment  $T_1$  - (Autumn planted cane) without (wheat inter crop). Reduction in cane yield by 14 % and 15 % in the treatment  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  (2 rows of wheat and 3 rows of wheat planted in between two rows of sugarcane, respectively. Cane yield was reduced in  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  (in late planted sugarcane after wheat harvest irrespective of wheat sowing either in 15<sup>th</sup> November or 15<sup>th</sup> December). Reduction in cane yield was recorded 36 % due to late planting of sugarcane. Sugarcane yield was improved in  $T_8$  and  $T_9$  in which sugarcane was planted in furrows in standing crop of wheat (planted on FIRBS) either on Feb. or March. NMC, sugarcane girth, cane weight were also affected badly in late planted sugarcane and these parameters were improved when sugarcane was planted in furrows in Feb/March in standing crop of wheat. However, reduction in cane yield was compensated with wheat intercropping with wheat 2 or 3 rows in between two rows of sugarcane over autumn planted alone. Even sugarcane equivalent yield was higher in  $T_6$  - (FIRBS sowing wheat 3 rows and sugarcane planted in furrows in  $3^{rd}$  week of February). Highest CCS yield 9.5 ton/ha was recorded in autumn planted sugarcane which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments (Table-8).

(iii) Summary: Sugarcane yield, NMC, cane girth, cane length, cane weight, CCS yield and sucrose % at harvesting were higher in autumn planted sugarcane as compared to rest of the

treatments. Though, reduction in cane yield (14 % and 15 %) was recorded in wheat planting as intercrop 2 or 3 rows in between of two rows of sugarcane as compared to autumn planted cane without wheat intercropping. However, this reduction in cane yield was compensated by intercrop wheat and thus equivalent yield was higher in 2 rows wheat planted in between two rows of autumn planted sugarcane. Reduction in cane yield was highest in late planted cane after wheat harvest (36 %). The reduction in cane yield was minimized in T<sub>6</sub> - (18 %), T<sub>7</sub> - (24 %) or T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> - (25 %) by planting of sugarcane in furrows in standing crop of wheat either in the month of February or March.

Treatments	Wheat population/m <sup>2</sup>	Whea	t tillers	Wheat straw	Wheat grain
	(30 DAS)	60 DAS	90 DAS	yield (q/ha)	yield (q/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> -Autumn planted sugarcane	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$T_2-T_1 + wheat (1:2)$	180.0	191.3	297.3	36.8	33.4
$T_3-T_1 + wheat$ (1:3)	206.0	246.7	315.3	30.2	32.9
T <sub>4</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> November – late sugarcane	320.3	337.0	341.0	47.2	43.8
T <sub>5</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> December – late sugarcane	174.0	186.0	271.7	29.3	27.3
$T_6$ -FIRB sowing of wheat $15^{th}$ November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + sugarcane in furrow in $3^{rd}$ week of February	260.7	186.0	281.7	34.1	35.6
T <sub>7</sub> -FIRB sowing of wheat 15 <sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + sugarcane in furrow in 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of March	176.7	187.0	76.7	29.7	30.9
T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>6</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat +Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of February	172.3	183.7	268.0	27.3	26.3
T <sub>9</sub> -T <sub>7</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat + Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of March	170.0	182.7	263.3	26.3	25.5
SEm±	5.4	6.9	5.8	2.3	2.7
CD at 5 %	16.1	20.9	17.5	6.9	8.1

Table 7:- Enhancing sugarcane productivity and profitability under sugarcane -wheat cropping system 2014-15

Treatments	Sugarcane germination (%) 45 DAS	rmination (000/ha)			PlantPlantheightgirth(cm)(cm)		NMC 000/ha	Cane weigh t (g)	Yield (t/ha)	Sucrose % at Nov.	Sucrose % at harvest	CCS (t/ha)	Equivalent yield of sugarcane
	(70) 10 2115	90 DAS	120 DAS	180 DAP	(011)	(011)		• (8)		11011			(q/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> -Autumn planted sugarcane	22.2	51.3	57.3	164.6	313.3	9.7	102.3	1050. 0	95.9	14.3	15.2	9.5	959.0
$T_2-T_1 + wheat (1:2)$	23.5	38.6	56.5	149.5	310.0	10.0	91.4	950.0	82.1	14.4	14.8	7.6	982.0
$T_3-T_1 + wheat (1:3)$	25.2	36.3	55.0	143.9	301.7	10.0	85.0	966.7	81.3	14.2	14.3	7.1	971.6
T <sub>4</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> November – late sugarcane	27.4	30.2	38.2	141.0	270.0	7.5	63.1	816.6	61.3	13.4	14.2	5.4	824.2
T <sub>5</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> December – late sugarcane	27.1	30.4	37.5	138.7	283.3	7.1	61.6	783.3	60.1	13.7	13.8	5.1	738.6
T <sub>6</sub> -FIRB sowing of wheat 15 <sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + sugarcane in furrow in 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of February	29.9	31.8	42.7	52.9	290.0	8.3	67.7	733.3	79.0	14.2	14.2	6.3	961.6
T <sub>7</sub> -FIRB sowing of wheat 15 <sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + sugarcane in furrow in 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of March	31.7	31.9	43.9	57.9	266.7	9.0	76.8	883.3	72.0	14.4	14.4	6.1	869.0
T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>6</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat +Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of February	29.8	36.5	44.1	47.4	280.0	8.7	73.8	850.0	71.7	14.0	14.5	5.1	843.8
T <sub>9</sub> -T <sub>7</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat + Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of March	32.7	29.3	35.7	47.5	280.0	8.0	73.3	833.3	71.1	14.0	14.5	6.2	833.9
SEm±	1.4	0.6	1.8	1.5	13.6	0.4	2.8	52.7	0.1	0.16	0.10	0.3	-
CD at 5 %	4.2	1.7	5.4	4.6	41.0	1.1	8.4	158.2	0.3	0.48	0.30	0.9	-

 Table 8:- Enhancing sugarcane productivity and profitability under wheat-sugarcane cropping system

**Pooled analysis :-** Experiment was conducted during three consecutive years *i.e.* 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 in Randomized block design in three replications. Both the crops wheat and sugarcane were grown as per recommended package and practices. On the basis of pooled analysis data given in table - 9 revealed that highest cane yield was recorded in autumn planted crop which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Cane yield was affected in 3 rows planted wheat significantly as compared to 2 rows of wheat planted in between two rows of sugarcane. Reduction in cane yield was recorded higher 26.1 % in late planted sugarcane (sown after wheat harvesting) Sugarcane yield could not affect due to planting of wheat on FIRB and sugarcane planted either in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of March or 3<sup>rd</sup> week of February when sugarcane was planted in furrows in between FIRB. Lowest cane yield was recorded when wheat was planted on December 15<sup>th</sup> (late) and sugarcane was planted after wheat harvesting. The cane yield was directly related to NMC in different treatments. As indicated in (table - 9) that higher cane yield in different treatments was due to higher NMC in all the three years and pooled analysis also shown the similar results. Lowest NMC/ha were recorded in late planted sugarcane (sown after wheat harvest). NMC were also affected due to wheat intercropping (1: 3 ratio). Ultimately CCS yield was also recorded highest in autumn planted cane which was significantly higher were over rest of the treatments except intercropping of wheat (1: 2). Equivalent yield was recorded highest in the treatment of wheat sown on FIRB on 15<sup>th</sup> November and sugarcane was planted in blank furrows in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of March. Late planted sugarcane after wheat harvest was not good and lowest cane yield was recorded.

Summary :- On the basis of pooled analysis of three years of the data it may be concluded that-

- Autumn planted sugarcane without intercropping of wheat was not economical inspite of highest cane yield over the year.
- (ii) In between two rows of sugarcane wheat sown (1: 2) was found economical in terms of higher equivalent yield of sugarcane.
- (iii) Sugarcane yield was reduced in the treatment of 1: 3 sown of wheat in between two rows of sugarcane
- (iv) Cane yield can be increased if wheat planted on FIRB (timely sown or late sown) and sugarcane planted in blank furrows either in the month of February or March in standing crop of wheat.
- (v) Sugarcane planting after wheat harvesting should be restricted as the yield reduction was reduced upto 26 % in sugarcane-wheat cropping system.

Treatments		NMC	(000/ha)			Cane yi	eld (t/ha)		CCS (t/ha)				
i reatments	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	2012- 13	2013- 14	2014 -15	Pooled	
T <sub>1</sub> -Autumn planted sugarcane	88.8	90.4	102.3	93.8	74.7	76.5	95.9	82.4	8.0	8.2	9.5	8.6	
$T_2-T_1 + wheat (1:2)$	79.2	76.0	91.4	83.8	70.3	69.1	82.1	73.8	7.1	7.4	7.6	7.4	
$T_3-T_1 + $ wheat (1:3)	69.2	70.4	85.0	74.9	62.7	63.2	81.3	69.0	6.6	6.5	7.1	6.7	
T <sub>4</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> November – late sugarcane	63.3	62.5	63.2	63.0	60.7	60.8	61.3	60.9	5.3	5.8	5.5	5.5	
T <sub>5</sub> -Wheat sown on 15 <sup>th</sup> December – late sugarcane	53.4	54.7	61.6	56.3	51.3	51.9	60.1	54.4	4.6	4.9	5.1	5.0	
$\begin{array}{rl} T_6\mbox{-FIRB} & sowing & of & wheat & 15^{th} \\ November (75 \mbox{ cm with 3 row of} \\ wheat) & + \mbox{ sugarcane in furrow in} \\ 3^{rd} & week \mbox{ of February} \end{array}$	73.1	74.0	67.7	71.6	67.3	67.8	79.0	71.3	6.0	6.8	6.3	6.4	
T <sub>7</sub> -FIRB sowing of wheat 15 <sup>th</sup> November (75 cm with 3 row of wheat) + sugarcane in furrow in 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of March	73.2	74.4	76.8	74.8	69.8	70.4	72.0	70.5	6.1	7.6	6.1	6.6	
T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>6</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat +Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of February	71.8	70.9	73.8	72.2	68.8	69.3	71.7	70.0	6.0	6.7	5.1	6.0	
T <sub>9</sub> -T <sub>7</sub> with 15 <sup>th</sup> Dec. Sowing of wheat + Sugarcane III <sub>rd</sub> week of March	71.5	71.3	73.3	72.1	68.8	69.1	71.1	70.0	6.3	6.9	6.2	6.2	
SEm±	2.7	1.7	2.8	1.6	1.7	1.0	2.2	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.3	0.4	
CD at 5 %	8.0	5.0	8.0	4.8	5.1	3.1	6.2	2.9	NS	0.4	0.9	1.3	

 Table 9 :- Effect of various treatments on the productivity of sugarcane over the years (Pooled)

# **PROJECT NO. AS-66**

Title	:	Priming of cane node for accelerating germination in Sugarcane
Observation	:	(i) To find out suitable cane node priming technique
		<ul><li>(ii) To assess the effect of cane node on acceleration of germination and productivity of sugarcane</li></ul>
Year of start	:	2012-13
Treatments (6)		
T1	:	Un-primed cane node.
T2	:	Treating cane node in hot water at 50 °C for 2 hours.
Т3	:	Treating cane node in hot water at $(50 ^{\circ}\text{C})$ + urea solution (3 %) for 2 hours.
T4	:	Priming cane node with cattle dung, cattle urine and water in 1:2:5 ratio.
T5	:	Conventional 3 bud sett planting.
*T6	:	Primed and sprouted cane node (Incubated for 4 days after priming).

(\*) Put the single cane node in the slurry of cattle dung, cattle urine and water for 15 minutes. Take out the buds and put in decomposed FYM and cover it with sugarcane trash for 4-5 days for sprouting.

Date of planting	:	27.3.2014
Variety	:	Co Pant 99214
Design	:	Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.)
Treatments	:	6
Replication	:	4
Date of harvesting	:	30.3.2014
Plot size	:	3.75 x 8.0 = 30.0 m <sup>2</sup>

Three budded setts of variety Co pant 99214 were sown in furrows at 75 cm distance on 27 March, 2014. Experiment was conducted in Randomized block design with four replications. Before sowing setts were treated with carbendazim solution (0.2 %) to avoid fungal infection if any. Setts

were treated as per technical programme and sown. Other cultural practices were adopted as per need of the crop. Sugarcane crop was harvested on 30.3.2015

**Results:** - To see the effect of different treatments on germination observation were recorded from 20 DAP onward (30 and 40 DAP). Germination % however was found non-significant at 20 DAP but there was variation in different treatments and was recorded highest in conventional (3 bud setts planting) followed by seed treatment of cane node in hot water 50  $^{0}$ C + urea @ 3% for 2 hours and in T<sub>4</sub> - (Priming cane node with cattle dung, cattle urine and water (1: 2: 5) ratio. Lowest germination % was recorded in unprimed cane node at 20 DAP (Table - 10).

At 40 DAP stage the germination % was highest in  $T_5$  - (conventional 3 bud setts) which was significantly higher over unprimed cane node ( $T_1$ ) and  $T_6$  - (Primed and sprouted cane node (incubated for 4 days after priming). Highest shoot population at 150 DAP was recorded in  $T_2$ . Cane node treated in hot water at (50  $^{0}$ C) for 2 hours which produced significantly higher shoot population over  $T_1$ - (unprimed),  $T_3$  - (cane node treated in hot water (50  $^{0}$ C) + urea (3 % for 2 hours) and  $T_6$  - (Primed and sprouted cane node (incubated foe 4 days after priming). Cane yield was recorded highest in  $T_5$  - (conventional 3 budded setts) which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments except  $T_2$  - (cane node treated in hot water (50  $^{0}$ C). NMC, cane weight, cane length and cane girth were also higher in  $T_5$  - (conventional 3 bud setts) as compare to rest of the treatments.

Summary:- Germination %, shoot population, NMC, cane yield, CCS yield, cane length, cane girth were highest in  $T_5$  – (conventional 3 bud setts). Cane yield was also higher in  $T_2$  - (cane node treated in hot water at 50  $^{0}$ C for 2 hours). CCS yield, Sucrose % was higher in conventional 3 bud setts ( $T_5$ ).

Treatments	Geri	ninatio	on %	S	Shoot population (000/ha)			NMC (000/ha)	Average cane	Plant height	Cane girth	Cane yield	Sucrose % at	Sucrose % at	CCS (t/ha)
	20 DAP	30 DAP	40 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP		weight (g)	(cm)	( <b>cm</b> )	(t/ha)	Nov.	harvest	
T <sub>1</sub> -Un-primed cane node	4.3	18.3	24.9	24.3	33.4	39.1	102.8	68.3	1012.5	375.8	8.0	65.5	15.5	17.3	7.6
T <sub>2</sub> -Treating cane node in hot water at 50°C for 2 hours	10.0	35.7	42.3	39.8	82.7	92.5	130.7	100.5	1250.0	380.8	10.1	98.5	14.9	17.4	11.4
T <sub>3</sub> -Treating cane node in hot water at (50°C) + urea solution (3 %) for 2 hours	14.0	37.4	44.0	50.1	84.0	95.7	107.3	71.8	1062.5	352.5	8.0	69.5	15.9	17.5	8.2
T <sub>4</sub> -Priming cane node with cattle dung, cattle urine and water in 1:2:5 ratio	13.6	37.4	44.7	48.3	56.4	66.9	122.5	74.7	1125.0	384.5	8.3	72.6	15.6	16.9	7.9
T <sub>5</sub> -Conventional 3 bud setts planting	15.0	47.1	48.3	57.1	91.5	96.7	124.7	105.3	1300.0	400.5	10.1	90.1	15.4	17.3	11.8
*T <sub>6</sub> -Primed and sprouted cane node (Incubated for 4 days after priming)	3.3	17.6	23.3	21.3	29.7	34.3	86.8	82.5	1200.0	389.5	9.0	81.2	14.9	16.5	8.7
SEm±	3.6	5.1	5.1	1.8	5.3	4.4	0.7	0.6	18.8	9.9	0.09	0.3	0.3	0.03	0.07
CD at 5 %	NS	15.2	15.5	5.4	16.1	13.3	2.0	1.7	57.7	29.8	0.27	0.9	0.8	0.08	0.22

## Table 10:- Priming of cane node for accelerating germination

**Pooled analysis :-**The pooled analysis of 3 years experiments revealed that germination of sugarcane at 40 days stage was recorded highest in  $T_3$  - (Cane node treated in hot water (50 °C) + urea solution (3 %) for 2 hours which was significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Germination % was recorded lowest in unprimed cane node which was significantly lower than rest of the treatments. Significantly higher NMC were recorded in  $T_5$  - (Conventional 3 bud setts) over rest of the treatments. However, NMC were improved in all the priming treatments except in un-primed cane node. Cane yield was recorded highest in conventional 3 bud setts planting which produced significantly higher cane yield over rest of the treatments except an node treated in hot water (50 °C) for 2 hours. CCS yield was also recorded highest in both the treatments (Table - 11).

**Summary:-** On the basis of three years pooled analysis of data it may be concluded that to enhance the germination in sugarcane cane node should be treated with hot water (50 °C) + urea solution 3 % for 2 hours. However, the cane yield was recorded highest in conventional 3 bud setts planting followed by cane node treated in hot water (50 °C) for 2 hours. CCS yield was also higher in both the treatments. However, highest CCS yield was recorded in conventional 3 bud setts planted sugarcane.

# Table 11:- Germination %, NMC (000/ha), cane yield (t/ha) and CCS yield (t/ha) as influenced by various treatments (Pooled analysis)

Treatments	Germination % at 40 DAP		NMC (000/ha)			Cane yield (t/ha)			CCS (t/ha)							
	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Poole d	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Poole d	2012 -13	2013 -14	2014 -15	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> -Un-primed cane node	45.0	44.7	24.9	38.1	68.7	71.3	68.2	69.4	64.8	69.1	65.3	66.4	7.0	9.0	7.6	7.8
T <sub>2</sub> -Treating cane node in hot water at 50°C for 2 hours.	69.3	60.3	42.4	57.3	82.1	82.7	100.6	88.4	96.6	86.1	98.5	93.7	11.4	10.0	11.4	10.9
T <sub>3</sub> -Treating cane node in hot water at (50°C) + urea solution (3 %) for 2 hours	73.6	65.6	44.0	61.0	89.3	88.6	71.9	83.3	67.4	72.6	69.4	69.8	7.5	9.4	8.2	8.4
T <sub>4</sub> -Priming cane node with cattle dung, cattle urine and water in 1:2:5 ratio	52.5	57.8	44.8	51.7	73.6	78.6	74.6	75.6	70.4	73.7	72.7	72.3	8.0	9.7	7.9	8.5
T <sub>5</sub> -Conventional 3 bud setts planting	56.0	59.0	48.4	54.3	148.5	136.0	105.4	129.9	101.3	101.7	90.1	97.7	11.6	12.9	11.7	12.1
*T <sub>6</sub> -Primed and sprouted cane node (Incubated for 4 days after priming)	50.5	55.7	23.4	43.1	85.9	82.1	82.5	83.7	78.4	79.3	81.2	79.6	8.9	10.0	8.6	9.2
SEm±	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.0	4.8	4.5	0.5	3.2	3.4	2.7	0.4	2.1	0.2	0.6	0.06	0.3
CD at 5 %	4.4	4.3	4.5	3.0	14.5	13.5	1.5	9.5	10.3	8.3	1.3	6.3	0.6	1.7	0.18	0.9

## **Project No. AS-68**

Title	:	Impact of integrated application of organics and inorganics in improving soil health and sugarcane productivity
Objective	:	To develop nutrient management strategy for sustaining soil health and sugarcane production
Year of start	:	2014-2015
Location	:	All the participating centers
Cropping system	:	Sugarcane – Ratoon-I, Ratoon-II

### **Treatments & Methodology**

Treatments	Sugarcane (plant crop)	Ratoon-I	Ratoon-II
T <sub>1</sub>	No organic + 50% RDF	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + 50 % RDF	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + 50 % RDF
$T_2$	No organic + 100% RDF	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF
T <sub>3</sub>	No organic + soil test based recommendation	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + soil test basis (NPK application)	Application of trash at 10 tonnes/ha + soil test basis (NPK application)
T4	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 50 % RDF (inorganic source)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 50 % RDF (inorganic source)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 50 % RDF (inorganic source)
T <sub>5</sub>	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF (inorganic source)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF (inorganic source)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF (inorganic source)
Τ <sub>6</sub>	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + in organic nutrient application based on soil test (rating chart)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + in organic nutrient application based on soil test (NPK application)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + in organic nutrient application based on soil test (NPK application)
Τ <sub>7</sub>	Application of FYM/compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + <i>PSB</i> ) + 50 % RDF	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer (Azotobacter/Acetobactor + PSB) + 50 % RDF	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer (Azotobacter/Acetobactor + PSB) + 50 % RDF
Τ <sub>8</sub>	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + PSB) + 100 % RDF	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + PSB) + 100 % RDF	Application of FYM/compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer (Azotobacter/Acetobactor + PSB) + 100 % RDF
Т9	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + PSB) + soil test basis	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + PSB) + soil test basis (NPK application)	Application of FYM/Compost @ 10 tonnes/ha + biofertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter/Acetobactor</i> + PSB) + soil test basis (NPK application)

<b>Details of experiment:</b>		
Design	:	Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.)
Sugarcane variety	•	Co Pant 5224
Treatments	:	9
Replication	:	3
Date of planting	:	March 25, 2014
Date of harvesting	:	30.3.2015
Plot size	:	8.0 x 3.75 =30.0 m <sup>2</sup>

Setts of sugarcane variety Co Pant 5224 were planted at 75 cm apart row to row by flat method planting on March 25, 2014. Sugarcane setts were treated with carbendazim (0.2 % for 10 minutes to prevent the setts from fungal infection if any). Treatments were given as per technical programme. Crop was raised with recommended package and practices and as per need of the crop. Soil of the experiment was silty loam in texture, rich in organic matter (1.05 %) and medium in available  $P_2O_5$  (79.0 kg/ha) and K<sub>2</sub>O (240.7 kg/ha). Soil was neutral pH (7.5) crop was harvested on 30.3.2015

**Results :-** Highest germination at 45 DAP recorded in the treatment  $T_5$  - (FYM/compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + 100 % RDF, inorganic source (120: 60: 40 NPK/ha) and  $T_6$  - (FYM/compost @ 20 tonnes/ha + in organic nutrient based on soil test) which were significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Higher cane yield was also recorded from the treatment  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  which were significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Higher cane yield in these treatments was due to higher shoot population, NMC, cane girth and heavier cane recorded in these treatments. Sucrose % was also significantly higher in these treatments over  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ . Thus CCS yield was recorded highest in  $T_6$  which was found significantly higher over  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_9$  (Table - 12.)

**Summary :-** Highest cane yield was recorded from  $T_5$  - (FYM @ 20 ton/ha + 100 % RDF (120: 60: 40) followed by T6 - (FYM 20 ton/ha + inorganic nutrients based on soil test). The higher cane yield in these treatments was due to higher NMC, cane girth, cane length and weight of individual cane. Sucrose % and CCS yield were also higher in these treatments.

Treatments		ination %)	Shoot population (000/ha)		Cane yield	Millable cane	Cane girth at	Cane length	Per cane weight	Sucrose % at harvest	CCS (t/ha)	
	35 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	(t/ha)	(000/ha)	harvest (cm)	(cm)	(g)		
T1-No organic + 50% RDF	27.2	31.2	111.5	126.8	128.6	65.9	73.2	8.0	348.3	800.0	14.3	7.0
T2- No organic + 100% RDF	29.4	31.7	119.2	134.8	137.3	66.8	73.2	8.7	358.7	833.3	14.0	7.2
T3- No organic + Soil test based recommendation (150:60:60)	29.4	31.7	125.7	132.6	145.6	68.8	75.7	8.7	358.3	833.3	15.5	7.3
T4- Appication of FYM/compost @ 20 t/ha + 50 % RDF (inorganic source)	33.0	34.4	138.8	130.4	135.6	70.6	78.6	9.0	418.3	916.7	15.6	7.9
T5-Application of FYM/compost @ 20 t/ha +100 % RDF (inorganic source) (120 : 60: 40)	33.2	36.0	139.1	150.4	151.2	77.9	83.0	9.3	429.0	1216.7	16.6	7.9
T6- Application of FYM/compost @ 20 t/ha + in organic nutrient application based on soil test (rating chart)	33.5	35.8	135.9	144.8	150.2	77.9	82.9	9.2	440.0	1250.0	16.7	8.3
T7- Application of FYM/compost @ 10 t/ha + bio fertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter</i> / <i>Acetobacter</i> + PSB) + 50 % RDF	31.4	32.1	141.5	156.8	134.9	69.3	75.7	8.5	366.7	1066.7	16.4	7.4
T8- Application of FYM/compost @ 10 t/ha +bio fertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter</i> / <i>Acetobacter</i> + PSB) + 100 % RDF	31.7	33.7	136.1	142.8	146.3	71.7	79.1	9.0	372.0	1033.3	16.4	7.8
T9- Application of FYM/compost @ 10 t/ha +bio fertilizer ( <i>Azotobacter</i> / <i>Acetobacter</i> + PSB) + soil test basis	31.5	32.3	137.8	160.4	154.0	69.8	76.1	9.0	382.0	1083.3	16.6	7.5
SEm±	0.3	0.3	5.5	5.1	7.0	0.5	1.0	0.2	2.2	19.7	0.07	0.2
CD at 5 %	1.1	0.9	16.6	15.5	20.8	1.5	3.1	0.6	6.5	59.2	0.24	0.7

 Table 12:- Impact of integrated application of organics and inorganics in improving soil health and sugarcane productivity

Month	Temperature ( <sup>0</sup> C)		Rel. Humidity (%)		Rainfall (mm)	No. of rainy/	Wind speed	Sunshine hrs./day	Evap. (mm)
	Max.	Min.	0712	1412	(11111)	days	Km/h	1115./uay	(IIIII)
February, 2014	21.1	9.2	93	58	120.4	3	5.2	05.5	2.0
March, 2014	27.4	13.0	86	42	69.0	5	5.2	07.7	3.3
April, 2014	34.0	15.9	74	25	013.0	4	6.4	09.8	6.9
May, 2014	37.3	21.9	63	28	17.8	2	9.5	09.9	9.4
June, 2014	37.8	26.1	73.6	45.5	113.8	4	7.7	8.1	7.7
July, 2014	32.4	25.9	89	71	428.0	18	6.2	03.4	4.6
August, 2014	33.4	25.9	89	67	89.0	8	5.7	06.7	5.1
September, 2014	32.8	23.5	88	62	38.2	8	5.3	07.5	4.9
October, 2014	30.4	17.8	88	54	45.4	5	2.8	06.3	3.0
November, 2014	27.5	10.2	92	40	0.0	0	2.3	07.6	2.5
December, 2014	20.5	7.6	94	59	40.1	2	3.6	04.9	1.6
January, 2015	17.1	8.8	94	74	32.8	4	4.5	02.9	1.1
February, 2015	23.9	10.5	90	53	8.2	2	4.2	05.7	2.1

## Appendix I : Metrological observations recorded during experimental period (February, 2014 to April, 2015) at Pantnagar

Appendix - II: Physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil

Attributes	AS-42 (a)	AS-42 (b)	AS-63	AS-64	AS-65	AS-66	AS - 68
	Silty	Silty	Silty	Silty	Silty	Silty	Silty
Texture	loam	loam	loam	loam	loam	loam	loam
Organic carbon %	1.05	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.05	1.08	1.04
Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg/ha)	49.0	48.6	47.6	47.0	49.0	48.6	47.8
Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg/ha)	240.7	239.3	240.7	240.5	240.7	240.7	237.5
Soil pH	7.4	7.2	7.4	7.2	7.5	7.4	7.3

Experiment	Varieties	Planting date	Date of	Fertilizer dose
			harvesting	(kg/ha)
	Co Pant 5224			NPK as per treatment (Recommended dose
	Co Pant 3220	19.03.2014	23.03.2015	N 120 kg/ha P 60
AS - 42 (a)	Co Pant 97222			kg/ha and K 40 kg/ha)
	Co Pant 99214			Kg/IIu)
	Co Pant 5224			N as per treatment
	Co Pant 6224	18.03.2014	19.03.2015	(Recommended dose N 120 kg/ha P 60
AS – 42 (b)	Co Pant 2218			kg/ha and K 40 kg/ha)
	Co Pant 4222			Kg/110)
				NPK and micro
AS-64	Co Pant 99214	22.03.2014	23.03.2015	nutrients as per recommended dose
AS-65	Co Pant 90223	As per	As per	NPK as per
		treatment	treatment	recommended dose
AS-66	Co Pant 99214	27.03.2014	30.03.2015	NPK as per recommended dose
				NPK as per
AS-68	Co Pant 5224	25.03.2014	30.3.2015	treatments and FYM
115 00				as per treatments, bio-fertilizer as per
				treatments.

**Appendix III : Details of different experiments** 

## Appendix IV : Price, cost and man power used in the experiment

Name of operation	No. of operation	Price (₹)
1. Land preparation		
Pre-sowing irrigation	01	1000 ₹/irrigation/ha
Labour for pre-sowing irrigation	02	-
Harrowing	05	225 ₹/labour
Planking	02	
2. Fertilization application		1000 ₹/harrowing/ha
Nitrogen (Urea)	120 kg/ha	500 ₹/planking/ha
Phosphorus (DAP)	60 kg/ha	
Potassium (MOP)	40 kg/ha	5.7 (₹/kg)
Sulphur (Elemental sulphur)	40 kg/ha	17.0 (₹/kg)
Iron (Iron sulphate)	25 kg/ha	24.16 (₹/kg)
Zinc (Zinc sulphate)	25 kg/ha	155 (₹/kg)
Manganese (Manganese sulphate)	50 kg/ha	55 (₹/kg)
FYM	20 t/ha	72 (₹/kg)
Labour	05	50 (₹/kg)
3. Sowing		75 (₹/kg)
Furrow opening	01	225 ₹/labour
Seed cost	60q	
Labour (planting + covering)	30	2500 ₹/ha
4. Irrigation		300 ₹/q
0		225 ₹/labour
Irrigation	05	
Labour	10	
5. Weeding		1000 ₹/irrigation/ha
I	25 (labour)	225 ₹/labour
II	25 (labour)	
III	25 (labour)	225 ₹`/labour
6. Chemical treatments		225 ₹/labour
Seed treatment	500gm/ha (Emissan)	225 ₹/labour
Pest control	30kg/ha (Furadan)	
Labour	03	520 ₹`/kg
		70 ₹/kg
	25 (labour)	225 ₹/labour
	25 (labour)	
7. Tying		225 ₹/labour
8. Earthing up		225 ₹/labour
9. Miscellaneous		2500 ₹
10. Harvesting		40 ₹/q
11. Transportation		40 √/q 10 ₹/q
1. Tumportution		10 1/4