ALL INDIA CO-ORDINATED RESEARCH PROJECT

ON

SUGARCANE

(INDIAN COUNCIL OF AGRICULTURE RESEARCH)

TECHNICAL REPORT

OF

SUGARCANE ENTOMOLOGY

(2015-16)

CENTRE: PUSA (BIHAR)



SUGARCANE RESEARCH INSTITUTE RAJENDRA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY BIHAR, PUSA (SAMASTIPUR)-848125

Annual Report of All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Entomology Department of Entomology, Sugarcane Research Institute Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, Pusa-848125 (2015-16)

Project No. E. 4.1

| (i) | Project Title | : | Evaluation of zonal varieties/genotypes for their reaction |
|--------|---------------------|---|--|
| | | | against major insect pests of sugarcane. |
| (ii) | Objective | : | To grade entries in the zonal varietal trials for their |
| | | | behaviour towards damage by key pests in the areas. |
| (iii) | Period under report | : | 2015-16 |
| (iv) | Year of Start | : | 1985-86 |
| (v) | Location | : | Sugarcane Research Institute, Pusa, Bihar |
| (vi) | Technical Programme | : | 2015-16 |
| (vii) | Replication | : | 03 |
| (viii) | Plot Size | : | 06 Meter long. |
| (ix) | Row to row | : | 90 cm (Spacing) |

Technical Summary

Thirty eight (38) varieties/genotypes comprising of 7 IVT E, 6 AVT E I P, 9 IVT M, 10 IVT M I P and 6AVT M including standard check were evaluated against root borer, shoot borer, top borer and stalk borer at SRI, Pusa (Table 1a-e).

The cumulative incidence of early shoot borer was recorded as lowest (9.52 %) in variety CoP 11439 AVT M IP and highest (16.50%) in variety CoSe 95422(Std.) IVT E. The genotype tested under different maturity groups are graded under less to moderately susceptible reaction against early shoot borer. While, incidence of root borer was found minimum (7.15%) in variety CoP 12437 IVT E and maximum (10.74%) in variety CoSe 92423(std.) graded as less susceptible reaction. The incidence of top borer was recorded as low to moderate which varied 6.85% in variety BO 155 IVT M1P and 9.44% in variety Colk 12209 .IVT M against 3rd brood, while 8.36% in variety CoSe 10451 AVT E 1Pand 11.35% in variety Colk 12209.IVT M against 4th brood of top borer. All the genotypes evaluated under different maturity groups exhibited less to moderately susceptible reaction against top borer based on 4th brood incidence. The stalk borer infestation index was varied from untraceable to 0.63per cent and showed less susceptible reaction for all tested genotype.

| S.N | I. Varieties/ genotypes | | Early | shoot b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Top b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Sta | lk borer | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|--|---|---------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | Cumm | Reaction | III Brood 5 th month | IV Brood 7 th month | *Reactio n | % incid- ence | % intensity | Infestation index | Reaction | Root borer % incidence | Reaction |
| | | | | | | | | | Early) 5+ | 2 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Colk 1207 | 0.00 | 13.88 | 7.14 | 3.33 | 10.76 | LS | 8.70 | 9.14 | LS | 4.00 | | 0.19 | LS | 7.46 | LS |
| 2 | Colk 1208 | 0.00 | 13.09 | 8.08 | 3.93 | 13.66 | LS | 8.94 | 10.63 | MS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.98 | LS |
| 3 | CoP 12436 | 0.00 | 7.73 | 6.36 | 3.77 | 10.52 | LS | 8.13 | 9.47 | LS | 5.33 | 0.41 | 0.20 | LS | 7.45 | LS |
| 4 | CoP 12437 | 11.20 | 8.11 | 6.51 | 5.06 | 13.79 | LS | 8.02 | 8.84 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.15 | LS |
| 5 | CoSe 12451 | 7.72 | 8.37 | 8.95 | 4.87 | 15.52 | MS | 8.42 | 9.14 | LS | 2.66 | 0.00 | 0.13 | LS | 8.35 | LS |
| 6 | BO 130(Std.) | 5.73 | 6.50 | 5.35 | 4.76 | 14.21 | LS | 6.64 | 8.56 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 8.18 | LS |
| 7 | CoSe 95422 (Std.) | 6.56 | 7.85 | 8.70 | 5.65 | 16.50 | MS | 8.46 | 10.24 | MS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 8.89 | LS |
| | SEm ± | 0.402 | 0.880 | 0.543 | 0.439 | - | - | 0.471 | 0.418 | | | | | | 0.634 | |
| | CD at 5% | 1.239 | 2.712 | 1.674 | 1.355 | - | - | 1.451 | 1.289 | | | | | | 1.954 | |
| | CV % | 15.61 6 | 16.031 | 12.45 | 16.45. | - | - | 9.961 | 7.683 | | | | | | 13.862 | |

Table 1a. E. 4.1. Evaluation of Zonal variety/genotype of reaction against borer pest of sugarcane.

| S.N. | Varieties/ genotypes | | Early | y shoot bo | orer (% ir | ncidence) | | Top b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Sta | lk borer | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|---|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | Cumm. | Reaction | III Brood 5 th month | IV Brood 7 th month | *Reaction | % incid- ence | % intensity | Infestation index | Reaction | Root borer % incidence | Reaction |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.0.10451 | 0.00 | 7.78 | 6.63 | 2.10 | 13.04 | A LS | VT (Early 7.76 | y) 1st plant 8.36 | 4+2 LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.71 | IC |
| 1 | CoSe 10451 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 0.03 | 2.10 | 13.04 | LS | /./0 | 8.30 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 1.11 | LS |
| 2 | CoSe 10452 | 10.19 | 8.23 | 8.95 | 4.32 | 15.89 | MS | 8.80 | 10.24 | MS | 2.66 | 3.99 | 0.10 | LS | 8.27 | LS |
| 3 | CoSe 10453 | 0.00 | 7.75 | 6.61 | 2.43 | 9.77 | LS | 8.33 | 9.43 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.44 | LS |
| 4 | BO 91 Std. | 0.00 | 9.40 | 8.72 | 3.57 | 12.50 | LS | 7.41 | 9.36 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.34 | LS |
| 5 | CoP 9301 (Std.) | 10.53 | 7.93 | 7.74 | 4.10 | 14.17 | LS | 7.69 | 9.88 | LS | 4.00 | 8.03 | 0.32 | LS | 9.61 | LS |
| 6 | CoSe 92423(Std.) | 13.68 | 14.80 | 7.56 | 3.31 | 15.78 | MS | 8.65 | 10.46 | MS | 5.33 | 9.11 | 0.48 | LS | 10.74 | LS |
| | SEm ± | 0.753 | 0.943 | 0.530 | 0.475 | - | - | 0.476 | 0.545 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.940 | - |
| | CD at 5% | 2.373 | 2.971 | 1.696 | 1.296 | - | - | 1.499 | 1.719 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.964 | - |
| <u> </u> | CV % | 18.315 | 17.524 | 14.103 | 18.845 | - | - | 9.639 | 9.973 | - | - | - | - | - | 18.703 | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1b. E. 4.1. Evaluation of Zonal variety/genotype of reaction against borer pest of sugarcane.

| S.N. | Varieties/ genotypes | | Earl | y shoot b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Top b | oorer (% i | ncidence) | | Sta | alk borer | | | |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|---|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | Cumm. | *Reaction | III Brood 5 th month | IV Brood 7 th month | *Reaction | % incid- ence | % intensity | Infestation index | Reaction | Root borer % incidence | Reaction |
| | | | | | | | | IVT (M | idlate) | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Colk 09204 | 0.00 | 8.37 | 8.74 | 2.64 | 12.69 | LS | 9.09 | 10.46 | MS | 1.33 | 4.12 | 0.05 | LS | 8.56 | LS |
| 2 | Colk 12209 | 14.48 | 8.66 | 8.69 | 3.49 | 1507 | MS | 9.44 | 11.35 | MS | 6.66 | 9.57 | 0.63 | LS | 8.70 | LS |
| 3 | CoP 12438 | 11.20 | 9.22 | 7.47 | 2.66 | 14.06 | LS | 7.32 | 8.74 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.75 | LS |
| 4 | CoP 12439 | 10.06 | 6.15 | 7.21 | 3.90 | 11.62 | LS | 8.41 | 9.38 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 8.51 | LS |
| 5 | CoP 12452 | 0.00 | 11.66 | 7.86 | 2.74 | 10.83 | LS | 8.59 | 9.48 | LS | 5.33 | 9.25 | 0.49 | LS | 7.66 | LS |
| 6 | CoSe 12453 | 0.00 | 9.78 | 6.53 | 2.87 | 11.4 | LS | 8.44 | 9.39 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.62 | LS |
| 7 | BO 91 (Std.) | 0.00 | 9.40 | 8.72 | 3.57 | 12.50 | LS | 7.41 | 9.36 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.34 | LS |
| 8 | CoP 9301(Std.) | 10.53 | 7.93 | 7.74 | 4.10 | 14.17 | LS | 7.69 | 9.88 | LS | 4.00 | 8.03 | 0.32 | LS | 9.61 | LS |
| 9 | CoSe 92423(std.) | 13.68 | 14.80 | 7.56 | 3.31 | 15.78 | MS | 8.65 | 10.46 | MS | 5.33 | 9.11 | 0.48 | LS | 10.74 | LS |
| | SEm ± | 0.679 | 1.043 | 0.644 | 0.306 | - | - | 0.3.65 | 0.784 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.877 | - |
| | CD at 5% | 2.036 | 3.128 | 1.953 | 0.917 | - | - | 1.097 | 2.350 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.563 | - |
| | CV % | 17.661 | 18.949 | 14.241 | 17.601 | | | 7.703 | 13.950 | - | - | - | - | - | 18.440 | - |

Table 1C. E. 4.1. Evaluation of Zonal variety/genotype of reaction against borer pest of sugarcane.

| S.N. | Varieties/ genotypes | | Early | y shoot bo | orer (% ir | ncidence) | | Top b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Sta | lk borer | | | |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | Cumm. | m. Reaction | III Brood | IV Brood | *Reaction | % incid- ence | % intensity | Infestation index | Reaction | Root borer | Reaction |
| | | | | | | | | 5 th month | | | | | | | % incidence | |
| | | | | | | | IVT | (Midlate |) 1 st plant | 7+3 | | | | | | |
| 1 | BO 155 | 6.56 | 9.03 | 6.16 | 2.23 | 12.84 | LS | 6.84 | 8.60 | LS | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 7.47 | LS |
| 2 | CoP 11439 | 0.00 | 7.63 | 6.56 | 2.58 | 9.52 | LS | 7.74 | 9.09 | LS | 1.33 | 4.54 | 0.06 | LS | 8.61 | LS |
| 3 | CoP 11440 | 8.37 | 5.23 | 6.21 | 3.19 | 12.35 | LS | 8.26 | 9.32 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 8.30 | LS |
| 4 | CoSe 11453 | 0.00 | 10.24 | 8.86 | 2.72 | 12.50 | LS | 8.87 | 10.38 | MS | 4.00 | 5.67 | 0.22 | LS | 8.45 | LS |
| 5 | CoSe 11454 | 0.00 | 8.88 | 8.18 | 5.08 | 10.76 | LS | 8.80 | 9.42 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 8.82 | LS |
| 6 | CoSe 11455 | 0.00 | 10.74 | 8.42 | 3.23 | 11.36 | LS | 8.84 | 9.48 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.40 | LS |
| 7 | CoSe 11456 | 13.66 | 9.73 | 6.68 | 4.76 | 15.06 | MS | 8.72 | 9.31 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.36 | LS |
| 8 | BO 91(Std.) | 0.00 | 9.40 | 8.72 | 3.57 | 12.50 | LS | 7.41 | 9.36 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.34 | LS |
| 9 | CoP 9301(Std.) | 10.53 | 7.93 | 7.74 | 4.10 | 14.17 | LS | 7.69 | 9.88 | LS | 4.00 | 8.03 | 0.32 | LS | 9.61 | LS |
| 10 | CoSe 92423(Std.) | 13.68 | 14.80 | 7.56 | 3.31 | 15.78 | MS | 8.65 | 10.46 | MS | 5.33 | 9.11 | 0.48 | LS | 10.74 | |
| | SEm ± | 0.436 | 0.809 | 0.606 | 0.449 | - | - | 0.276 | 0.804 | - | - | | - | - | 0.768 | - |
| | CD at 5% | 1.295 | 2.406 | 1.801 | 1.308 | - | - | 0.820 | 2.389 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.282 | - |
| | CV % | 14.297 | 14.978 | 13.676 | 19.910 | | | 10.674 | 14.757 | - | - | - | - | - | 15.485 | - |

Table 1d. E. 4.1. Evaluation of Zonal variety/genotype of reaction against borer pest of sugarcane.

| S.N. | Varieties/ genotypes | | Early | y shoot bo | orer (% ir | ncidence) | | Top b | orer (% i | ncidence) | | Sta | lk borer | | | |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|---|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | Cumm. | Reaction | III Brood 5 th month | IV Brood 7 th month | *Reaction | % incid- ence | % intensity | Infestation index | Reaction | Root borer % incidence | Reaction |
| | | | | | | | | AVT | (Midlate) | | | | | | | |
| 1 | CoSe 10451 | 7.49 | 7.78 | 6.63 | 2.10 | 13.04 | LS | 8.25 | 9.56 | MS | 2.66 | 5.33 | 0.14 | LS | 10.50 | LS |
| 2 | CoSe 10452 | 10.19 | 8.23 | 8.95 | 4.32 | 15.89 | MS | 8.05 | 9.22 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 10.33 | LS |
| 3 | CoSe 10453 | 0.00 | 7.75 | 6.61 | 2.43 | 9.77 | LS | 8.86 | 10.41 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 10.67 | LS |
| 4 | BO 91(Std.) | 0.00 | 9.40 | 8.72 | 3.57 | 12.50 | LS | 7.41 | 9.36 | LS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | LS | 9.34 | LS |
| 5 | CoP 9301 (Std.) | 10.53 | 7.93 | 7.74 | 4.12 | 14.17 | LS | 7.69 | 9.88 | LS | 4.00 | 8.03 | 0.32 | LS | 9.61 | LS |
| 6 | CoSe 92423(std.) | 13.68 | 14.80 | 7.56 | 3.32 | 15.78 | MS | 8.65 | 10.46 | MS | 5.33 | 9.11 | 0.48 | LS | 10.74 | LS |
| | SEm ± | 0.753 | 0.943 | 0.530 | 0.475 | - | - | 0.369 | 0.529 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.100 | - |
| | CD at 5% | 2.373 | 2.971 | 1.696 | 1.296 | - | - | 1.163 | 1.666 | - | - | - | - | - | 3.469 | - |
| | CV % | 18.315 | 17.524 | 14.103 | 18.845 | - | - | 7.741 | 9.335 | - | - | - | - | - | 18.698 | - |
| | | Ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1e. E. 4.1. Evaluation of Zonal variety/genotype of reaction against borer pest of sugarcane.

:

| (i) | Project Title | : | Survey and surveillance of sugarcane insect pests. |
|-------|---------------------|---|--|
| (ii) | Objective | : | To identify key insect pests of sugarcane in the area. |
| (iii) | Period under report | : | 2015-16 |
| (iv) | Year of start | : | 2003-04 |
| (v) | Location | : | Sugarcane Research Institute, Pusa, Bihar |
| (vi) | Technical programme | : | 2015-16 |

Technical summary

A Survey was conducted on the insect pests of sugarcane under different village of reserved area ofHasanpur sugar factory during cropping season 2015-16. The percent incidence of early shoot borer (5 to 17%), root borer (3 to 8%), top borer (8 to 20%), stalk borer below 10%, army worm (3 to 25%) and pyrilla (15 to 85) per leaf were observed as the key pests of sugar factory reserved area of sugarcane .The incidence of other pest like Plassey borer, Mealy bug, Termite, Grass hopper, Scale insect, White fly, etc. were also recorded in traces. Besides, sugar mills reserved area, a roving survey was also conducted at sugarcane field in and around Pusa at monthly interval. The per cent incidence of early Shoot borer, Root borer, Top borer and stalk borer were varied from 3.2 to 17.2%, 2.5 to 11.6% and 3.2 to 17.2%, and 1.3 to 9.7%, respectively. While, Pyrilla was observed 1.3-65 per leafat Pusa Farm.

| Sl. | | | | 0 | % incide | ence | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------|----------|---------|--|------------|-----|------|-----|
| No. | Variety | Location | Name of pest | Min. | Max. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Average | | | | | |
| 1. | C- 229 DO 110 C-195 | | Pyrilla/leaves | 15 | 60 | 37.5 | | | | | |
| | Co 238,BO 110,CoJ 85 | Sawat | Shoot borer | 5 | 17 | 11 | | | | | |
| | | Sawat | Top borer | 8 | 20 | 14 | | | | | |
| 2. | | Narayan | Pyrilla | 10 | 40 | 25 | | | | | |
| | Co 238, Co 98014, Co 235 | piper | Shoot borer | 3 | 11 | 7 | | | | | |
| | | | Root borer | 3 | 8 | 5.5 | | | | | |
| 3. | | Sujanpur | Pyrilla | 8 | 20 | 14 | | | | | |
| | Co 239,BO 91,CoVSi 3102 | | Army warm | 3 | 12 | 7.5 | | | | | |
| 4. | | 4.1 1 | Pyrilla | 35 | 85 | 60 | | | | | |
| | CoJ 85 ,Co 239, CoPk 5191 | Akemba | | | 10 | <i></i> | | | | | |
| | | | Top borer | 5 | 12 | 6.5 | | | | | |
| 5. | DO 01 Co 228 DO 110 | Ijraha | Pyrilla | 15 | 35 | 25 | | | | | |
| | BO 91, Co 238,BO 110, | | Army worm | 15 | 25 | 20 | | | | | |
| | | | Shoot borer | 8 | 17 | 12.5 | | | | | |
| | | | Pyrilla | 40 | 70 | 55 | | | | | |
| | Co 238, Co 98014, Co 235 | Bardha | Shoot borer | 15 | 25 | 20 | | | | | |
| | Co 239, CoPk 5191, CoVSi | | Pyrilla | 20 | 45 | 32.5 | | | | | |
| | 3102 | Musepur | Army warm | 12 | 25 | 17.5 | | | | | |
| | | Dharmpur | Pyrilla | 15 | 25 | 20 | | | | | |
| | BO 91, Co 238 ,CoJ 85 | | Top borer | 7 | 15 | 11 | | | | | |
| | | | Shoot borer | 5 | 12 | 7.5 | | | | | |
| | BO 110, Co 238, CoPk 5191 | Sakarpura | Pyrilla | 30 | 70 | 50 | | | | | |
| | | | Pyrilla | 1.3 | 65 | 33.15 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Root borer | 2.5 | 11.9 | 7.2 |
| | BO 153 | Pusa farm | Shoot borer | 3.2 | 17.2 | 10.2 | | | | | |
| | | | Top borer | 1.3 | 17 | 9.15 | | | | | |
| | | | Stalk borer | 1.3 | 9.7 | 5.5 | | | | | |

| 1. | Project Title | : | Monitoring of insect pests and bio-agents in sugarcane agro- |
|----|---------------------|---|--|
| | | | ecosystem. |
| 2. | Objective | : | To grade entries in the zonal varietal trials for their |
| | | | behaviour towards damage by key pests in the area. |
| 3. | Project No. | : | E-30 |
| 4. | Period under report | : | 2015-16 |
| 5. | Year of start | : | 2007-08 |
| 6. | Location | : | Sugarcane Research Institute, Pusa, Bihar |
| 7. | Plot size | : | 0.2 hectare |
| 8. | Duration | : | Long term |
| 9. | Variety | : | BO 141 |
| 10 | Metrological data | : | Recorded Monthly average |

Technical Summary:-

Sugarcane variety BO 141was planted in 0.2 hectare area. The population of Root borer, Shoot borer, Top borer, Stalk borer, Pyrilla, and their natural enemies were recordedat monthly interval during cropping season 2015-16 at Pusa Farm of Sugarcane Research Institute. The data on monitoring of insect pest and its bio-agent revealed that the mean per cent incidence of Root borer, Shoot borer ,Top borer and Stalk borer were varied from 2.5 to11.5 % ,3.2% to 15.6%, 1.3 to 17.2% and 1.3 to 9.7%,respectively.Whereas,the incidence of sugarcane Pyrilla was recorded which varied from 1.3 to 65/leaf.

The bio-agents of Root and Early shoot borer were not observed during cropping season 2015-16. While, parasitization of bio-agents such as, *Apantelis flavipes*, *Rhanconotus. scirpophagae and Stenobracon deesae* were recorded against top borer. The data presented in table 3a-b revealed that population of S. *deesae* varied from 2.7 to 13.7% during May to October. Whereits peaks (13.7%) noticedin September. Population of *Apantelis flavipes* was ranged between 3.1 to 15.9% during May to November with its highest population (15.9%) was recorded in month of September. The activity of *R. scirpophagae* was recorded from July to November with its peak (7.8%) in month of September. The parasitization of *T. pyrillae* and *E. melanoleuca* were recorded from May to November and their highestparasitization per cent was recorded 42.7% and 100%, in the month of August and October, respectively. In case of Stalk borer, the parasitization of *Apantalis flavipes* was recorded from 4.1 to 18.1%.

| Period of | % incidence | % Paras | stitism (Top borer) | | % incidence of | % incidence of | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| observation | top borer | A. flavipes | R. scripophagae | S. deesae | shoot borer | root borer | (root and shoot borer) if any | |
| January | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| February | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| March | 2.1 | - | - | - | 7.3 | 4.1 | | |
| April | 8.9 | - | - | - | 12.7 | 7.3 | | |
| May | 13.7 | 4.3 | - | 3.3 | 15.6 | 11.9 | | |
| June | 17.2 | 8.7 | - | 6.9 | 7.4 | 6.3 | | |
| July | 13.5 | 11.6 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 | Not observed | |
| August | 9.6 | 13.1 | 4.1 | 11.3 | - | - | | |
| September | 6.3 | 15.9 | 7.8 | 13.7 | - | - | | |
| October | 2.9 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 6.8 | - | - | | |
| November | 1.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.7 | - | - | | |
| December | Trace | - | | - | - | - | | |

Table: 3a. E.30 Monitoring of insect pest and natural enemies of Sugarcane (0.2 ha area)

| Period of observation | Pyrilla/leaf | % Para | sitism (Pyrilla) | % incidence of stalk borer | % parasitism (stalk borer) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | T. pyrillae | E. melanoleuca | | A. flavipes | | |
| January | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| February | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| March | 2.7 | - | - | - | • | | |
| April | 7.3 | - | - | - | - | | |
| May | 18.1 | - | 5.3 | - | - | | |
| June | 32.3 | - | 11.2 | - | - | | |
| July | 25.7 | 13 | 29.5 | 4.3 | - | | |
| August | 11.2 | 37 | 42.7 | 7.1 | 12.5 | | |
| September | 6.5 | 77 | 34.0 | 9.7 | 18.1 | | |
| October | 2.3 | 100 | 17.03 | 6.4 | 15.3 | | |
| November | 0.3 | 100 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 6.6 | | |
| December | - | - | - | 1.3 | 4.7 | | |

Table : 3b. E.30 Monitoring of insect pest and natural enemies of Sugarcane (0.2 ha area)

| Month | Temp | oerature | Relative | Rainfall (mm) | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------------|-------|
| | Max. | Min. | 7 hrs. | 14 hrs. | () |
| March, 2015 | March, 2015 27.6 15.4 | | 83.5 | 47.5 | 16.3 |
| April, 2015 | 33.9 | 19.9 | 82 | 42.5 | 16.6 |
| May, 2015 | 35.5 | 23.5 | 81.5 | 45.5 | 21.9 |
| June, 2015 | 36.6 | 25.5 | 84 | 49.5 | 27.7 |
| July, 2015 | 33.7 | 25.5 | 87.5 | 70.5 | 74.8 |
| August, 2015 | 33.6 | 24.3 | 90.5 | 67.5 | 228.4 |
| September, 2015 | 33.6 | 23.9 | 89 | 64.0 | 77.9 |
| October, 2015 | 33.0 | 25.2 | 89 | 49.5 | 2.1 |
| November, 2015 | 29.3 | 14.5 | 89 | 51.5 | 0 |
| December, 2015 | 23.3 | 8.6 | 86 | 53.0 | 0 |
| January, 2016 | 22.0 | 7.95 | 88.5 | 59.0 | 0 |
| February, 2016 | 26.5 | 12.0 | 87.5 | 51.5 | 1.4 |

 Table 4. Meteorological data during crop season 2015-16

| 1. | Project Title | : | Management of borer complex of sugarcane through lures. |
|----|---------------------|---|---|
| 2. | Objective | : | To manage sugarcane borer (early shoot borer, top borer and |
| | | | stalk borer) through pheromone traps. |
| 3. | Period under report | : | 2015-16 |
| 5. | Year of Start | : | 2012-13 |
| 6. | Location | : | Sugarcane Research Institute, Pusa, Bihar |
| 7. | Plot size | : | 01 acre |
| 8. | Duration | : | Long term |
| 9. | Variety | : | CoP 2061 |

Technical Summary:-

The experiment was conducted with variety CoP 2061 at Pusa to study the management of borer complex of sugarcane (ESB, TB and SB) through lures. The data presented in table 4a revealed that the activity of ESB started from 1st fortnight of March to 1st fortnight of July and its maximum 5.66/trap of moths were catch in 2nd fortnight of May.The incidence of ESB in treated plot and untreated plots were 11.63 and 15.87 per cent, respectively.The activity of TB started from 2nd fortnight of March to 1st fortnight with maximum 7.33 moth/trap catch in 1st fortnight of June. However, their incidences in treated and untreated plot were 15.71 and 18.44 per cent, respectively.The activity of stalk borer started from 1st fortnight of July to 1st fortnight of October with maximum 1.66 moth/trap catch in 2nd fortnight of August.The incidence of stalk borer in treated and untreated plots were 5.56 and 7.23 per cent, respectively.

| Months/year | Fortnightly Interval | Temperature (⁰ C) | | Relative humidity (%) | | Rainfall (mm) | No of moth trapped | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|------|--|
| | | Maximum | Minimum | 07 00 | 14 00 | | ESB | ТВ | SB | |
| | Ι | 27.5 | 13.3 | hrs. 83 | hrs. 48 | 10.8 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Mar, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | II | 31.7 | 17.6 | 84 | 47 | 21.8 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Apr, 2015 | Ι | 33.3 | 19.1 | 80 | 35 | 0.6 | 2.66 | 0.33 | 0.00 | |
| | II | 32.9 | 20.7 | 84 | 50 | 32.6 | 3.00 | 1.66 | 0.00 | |
| May, 2015 | Ι | 34.6 | 23.0 | 82 | 43 | 15.2 | 4.33 | 2.33 | 0.00 | |
| 111uy, 2010 | II | 36.4 | 24.1 | 81 | 48 | 28.6 | 5.66 | 4.66 | 0.00 | |
| Jun, 2015 | Ι | 38.2 | 25.5 | 83 | 44 | 19.8 | 2.33 | 7.33 | 0.00 | |
| Jun, 2015 | II | 35.1 | 25.5 | 85 | 55 | 35.6 | 1.33 | 4.00 | 0.00 | |
| Jul, 2015 | Ι | 33.7 | 25.2 | 87 | 77 | 97.6 | 0.66 | 2.66 | 0.00 | |
| | II | 33.8 | 24.9 | 88 | 64 | 52.0 | 0.00 | 1.66 | 1.00 | |
| Aug, 2015 | Ι | 34.1 | 24.4 | 89 | 60 | 56.4 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.66 | |
| 11ug, 2015 | II | 33.1 | 24.3 | 92 | 75 | 400.4 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 2.33 | |
| Sep,2015 | Ι | 34.3 | 24.5 | 89 | 65 | 112.2 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.66 | |
| 569,2015 | II | 33.0 | 23.4 | 89 | 63 | 43.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| Oct, 2015 | Ι | 34.1 | 21.6 | 89 | 51 | 4.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | |
| 000, 2015 | II | 32.0 | 18.8 | 89 | 48 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | |
| Nov,2015 | Ι | 30.4 | 15.4 | 90 | 51 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| · - · , | II | 28.3 | 13.7 | 88 | 52 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Dec,2015 | Ι | 24.4 | 12.2 | 85 | 62 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Dec,2015 | II | 22.3 | 5.1 | 87 | 44 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Jan,2016 | Ι | 23.6 | 7.4 | 88 | 54 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Jan,2010 | II | 20.4 | 8.5 | 89 | 60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Feb, 2016 | Ι | 24.7 | 10.0 | 89 | 52 | 2.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 100, 2010 | II | 28.4 | 14.1 | 86 | 51 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

 Table 5a: Moth Catch of borer complex of sugarcane through lures at Pusa (2015-16)

Table 5b: Correlation analysis between moth catches and weather parameters at Pusa(2015-16)

| Borer complex | Temper | ature ⁰ C | Relative h | Rainfall (mm) | |
|------------------|----------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| | Max. | Min. | 7hrs. | 14hrs. | |
| ESB | 0.4854** | 0.3696 | -0.7937 | -0.4570* | -0.1098 |
| ТВ | 0.6070** | 0.5581** | -0.4819 | -0.1076 | 0.0146 |
| SB | 0.3249 | 0.4710** | 0.5673 | 0.5900** | -0.7609 |
| | | gnificant at 5% gnificant at 1% | | | |

Table 5c: Impact of moth catches of borer complex of sugarcane through lures at pusa(2015-16)

| Treatment | % incidence of borer complex | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Early shoot borer | Top borer | Stalk borer | | | | | | |
| With pheromone traps | 11.63 | 15.71 | 5.56 | | | | | | |
| Without pheromone traps | 15.87 | 18.44 | 7.23 | | | | | | |

| 1. | Project Title | : | Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides for the control of |
|----|---------------------|---|---|
| | | | sugarcane early shoot borer. |
| 2. | Objective | : | To find out effective strategy for the management of |
| | | | sugarcane early shoot borer. |
| 3. | Period under report | : | 2015-16 |
| 5. | Year of Start | : | 2013-14 |
| 6. | Location | : | Sugarcane Research Institute, Pusa, Bihar |
| 7. | Plot size | : | 6 x 5.4 M ² |
| 8. | Design | : | RBD |
| 9. | Variety | : | CoP 2061 |

Technical Summary:-

Data summarized in table 6, it reveals from the tablethat Chlorantraniliprol 18.5 SC@375ml/ha was superior when it was sprayed at 30DAP and 60DAP as recorded maximum germination (33.7 %),lest cumulative incidence of ESB (5.28%) and highest yield (85.8 t/ha) followed by Chlorantraniliprol 0.4 G and Flubendiamide being32.3%, 6.05%,84.5t/ha and 30.9%, 6.78% and 83.3t/ha,respectively.However,remaining treatments were significant over control.

The present study among the insecticides, the percent incidence, yield and quality parameterin order of performance were Chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC> Chlorantraniliprole 0.4G> Flubendiamide> Fipronil 0.3G> Carbofuran 3 G> Phorate 10 G> Spinosad 45SC

| Treat. | Treatment details | Germination | Cumulative | Brix | Pol | Purity | CCS | Yield |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| No. | | (%) | % | % | % | % | % | (t/ha) |
| | | | incidence | | | | | |
| | | | of ESB | | | | | |
| T ₁ - | Fipronil 0.3G@ | 31.1 | 7.54 | 19.15 | 16.82 | 87.83 | 11.60 | 82.2 |
| | 25kg/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₂ - | Chlorantraniliprole | 32.2 | 6.05 | 19.34 | 17.14 | 88.62 | 11.87 | 84.5 |
| | 0.4G @ 22.5 kg/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₃ - | Chlorantraniliprole | 33.7 | 5.28 | 19.50 | 17.37 | 89.07 | 12.06 | 85.8 |
| | 18.5SC @ 375ml/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₄ - | Spinosad 45SC@ | 29.5 | 9.36 | 18.86 | 16.30 | 86.42 | 11.15 | 77.8 |
| | 90ml/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₅ - | Flubendiamide | 30.9 | 6.78 | 19.23 | 16.92 | 87.98 | 11.68 | 83.3 |
| | @250ml/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₆ - | Phorate 10 G | 29.1 | 8.40 | 19.00 | 16.54 | 87.05 | 11.36 | 78.7 |
| | @15kg/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₇ - | Carbofuran 3 G @ | 30.6 | 7.93 | 19.06 | 16.69 | 87.56 | 11.49 | 80.4 |
| | 33 kg/ha | | | | | | | |
| T ₈ - | Untreated Control | 29.4 | 18.66 | 17.76 | 15.36 | 86.48 | 10.58 | 68.1 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | SEm ± | 1.82 | 0.40 | 0.341 | 0.367 | 0.568 | 0.631 | 3.24 |
| | CD at 5% | NS | 1.23 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 9.84 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | CV % | 10.35 | 11.76 | 3.106 | 3.808 | 2.120 | 3.674 | 7.63 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table6.Bioefficacy of new insecticides for the control of sugarcane early shoot borer at Pusa (2015-16)