

**Annual Report of Crop Production  
(2015-16)  
All India Co-ordinated Research Project (Sugarcane)**

**Experiment No. 1**

**AS-42**

**Title:** Agronomic evaluation of promising sugarcane genotypes

**Objective:** To work out agronomy of sugarcane genotypes of advance varietal trial (AVT)

**Location:** Shahjahanpur

**Year of start:** 2007-08

**Year of completion:** Continuing

**Treatments:**

<b>A. Genotypes</b>	
<b>V<sub>1</sub></b>	CoS 03251
<b>V<sub>2</sub></b>	CoS 07240
<b>V<sub>3</sub></b>	CoS 03261
<b>B. Fertilizer levels</b>	
<b>N<sub>1</sub></b>	75 % of recommended N
<b>N<sub>2</sub></b>	100 % of recommended N
<b>N<sub>3</sub></b>	125 % of recommended N

**Design:** Factorial RBD

**Replication:** 3

**Plot size :** 8.0 x 5.4 m<sup>2</sup>

The soil of experimental field was low in organic carbon (0.36%), medium in phosphorus (20.40 kg/ha) and potash (162 kg/ha) with pH 6.5. Experimental ratoon crop was started on 01.02.2015 and harvested on.11.12.2016

Experimental results (Table-1) revealed that genotype CoS 07240 produced significantly higher ratoon cane yield (74.9 t/ha) than that of genotype CoS 03261 (55.3t/ha) and CoS 03251 (46.5). Regarding nitrogen levels significantly higher ratoon cane yield (65.6 t/ha) was recorded with 125 % of recommended dose of N (225kg/ha) than that of 75 % of recommended N (135kg/ha) and 100 % recommended N (180 kg/ha) . CCS % in ratoon cane were more or less similar under various treatments.

### Summary

Genotype CoS 07240 gave significantly higher ratoon cane yield (74.9 t/ha) followed by genotype CoS 03261 (55.3 t/ha) and CoS 03251 (46.5 t/ha). Regarding different nitrogen levels significantly higher ratoon cane yield (65.6 t/ha) was obtained with 125 % of recommended N than that of 75 % and 100% recommended N.

**Experiment No. 3****AS-68**

**Title:** Impact of integrated application of organics and inorganics in improving soil health and sugarcane productivity

**Objective:** To develop nutrient management strategy for sustaining soil health and sugarcane production

**Location:** Shahjahanpur

**Year of start:** 2014-15

**Year of completion:** 2016-17

**Treatments:**

T <sub>1</sub>	Application of trash @ 10 tonnes/ha + 50% RDF
T <sub>2</sub>	Application of trash @ 10 tonnes/ha + 100% RDF
T <sub>3</sub>	Application of trash @ 10 tonnes/ha + soil test basis (NPK application)
T <sub>4</sub>	Application FYM @ 20 tonnes/ha +50% RDF( inorganic source)
T <sub>5</sub>	Application FYM @ 20 tonnes/ha +100% RDF( inorganic source)
T <sub>6</sub>	Application FYM @ 20 tonnes/ha + inorganic nutrient application based on soil test (NPK)
T <sub>7</sub>	Application FYM @ 10 tonnes/ha+ bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor +PSB)+ 50% RDF
T <sub>8</sub>	Application FYM @ 10 tonnes/ha+ bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor + PSB)+ 100% RDF
T <sub>9</sub>	Application FYM @ 10 tonnes/ha+ bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor + PSB)+ soil test basis (NPK)

**Design:** RBD**Replication:** 3**Plot size:** 8.0 x 5.4 m<sup>2</sup>**Variety:** CoS 08279

The soil of experimental field was low in organic carbon (0.36%), medium in phosphorus (20.40 kg/ha) and potash (162 kg/ha) with pH 6.5. Experimental first ratoon crop was started on 05.03.2015 and harvested on 08.03.2016.

Experimental data given in table-2 showed that application of FYM @ 10 tones/ha + bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor + PSB) @ 10 kg/ha each + soil test basis NPK (T<sub>9</sub>) produced significantly higher ratoon cane yield (98.84 t/ha) than that of other treatments except application FYM @ 20 tones/ha + inorganic nutrient application based on soil test (T<sub>6</sub>) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub> treatment. CCS % in cane was not significantly affected with different treatments. Maximum benefit cast ratio (1.96) was also obtained in T<sub>9</sub> treatment.

**Summary:**

Application of FYM @ 10 tones/ha + bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor + PSB) + soil test basis NPK (T<sub>9</sub>) produced significantly higher ratoon cane yield (98.84t/ha) followed by application FYM @ 20 tones/ha + inorganic nutrient application based on soil test (T<sub>6</sub>). Maximum benefit cast ratio (1.96) was also obtained in T<sub>9</sub> treatment.

**Title: Use of plant growth regulators (PGRs) for enhanced yield and quality of sugarcane****Objectives:**

- 1- To accelerate rate and extent of sugarcane germination through the use of PGRs
- 2- To assess the effect of PGRs on sugarcane growth, yield and juice quality.

**Location:** Shahjahanpur

**Year of start:** 2014-15

**Year of completion:** 2017-18

**Treatments-**

- T<sub>1</sub>- Conventional planting/ farmers practices (3 budded setts)
- T<sub>2</sub>- Planting of setts after overnight soaking in water.
- T<sub>3</sub>- Planting of setts after overnight soaking in 50 ppm ethral solution.
- T<sub>4</sub>- planting of setts after overnight soaking in 100 ppm ethral solution.
- T<sub>5</sub>- T<sub>1</sub> + GA<sub>3</sub> spray (35 ppm) at 90,120 and 150 DAP.
- T<sub>6</sub> - T<sub>2</sub>+ GA<sub>3</sub> spray (35 ppm) at 90,120 and 150 DAP.
- T<sub>7</sub> – T<sub>3</sub>+ GA<sub>3</sub> spray (35 ppm) at 90,120 and 150 DAP.
- T<sub>8</sub> – T<sub>4</sub>+ GA<sub>3</sub> spray (35 ppm) at 90,120 and 150 DAP.

This experiment was conducted at research farm of Shahjahanpur to find out the extent of sugarcane germination , yield and quality through the use of plant growth regulators like ethral and gibberlic acid in randomized block design with three replications using test variety CoS 03251. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.36 %), phosphorus (6.0kg/ha) and potassium (112kg/ha) with soil P<sup>H</sup> 6.8. The experimental crop was planted on 30.03.15 and harvested on 11.03.2016.

The experimental results (Table 3) showed that significantly higher leaf area , root dry weight , plant height , number of tillers, millable canes and cane yield were observed with planting of setts after overnight soaking in 100 ppm ethral solution + Gibberlic acid (35 ppm) spray at 90, 120 and 150 DAP than that of other treatments. Germination % recorded at different stages under overnight soaking in 100 ppm ethral solution were significantly superior to conventional and overnight soaking in water but it was at par with overnight soaking in 50 ppm ethral solution. CCS % in cane was not significantly affected with various treatments.

**Summary:**

Planting of setts after overnight soaking in 100 ppm ethral solution + Gibberlic acid (35 ppm) resulted significantly higher leaf area , root dry weight , plant height , tillers, millable canes and cane yield than that of other treatments. Germination % recorded at different stages under overnight soaking in 100 ppm ethral solution were significantly superior to conventional and overnight soaking in water but it was at par with overnight soaking in 50 ppm ethral solution.

**Table –1: Effect of treatments on stubbles, mother shoots, shoots, NMC, cane yield and CCS% in ratoon cane**

Treatments	Stubbles (000ha)	M. Shoots (000ha)	Shoots (000ha)	NMC (000ha)	Cane Yield (t/ha)	CCS (%)
<b>A - Varieties</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> -CoS 03251	16.32	40.82	130.87	70.91	46.5	11.19
V <sub>2</sub> -CoS 07240	22.03	55.09	160.84	105.13	74.9	11.40
V <sub>3</sub> -CoS 03261	21.03	52.58	159.38	75.08	55.3	11.30
<b>SE±</b>	1.93	1.54	2.65	1.33	0.34	0.11
<b>CD (5%)</b>	NS	3.28	5.64	2.84	0.72	NS
<b>B - Fertilizer levels:</b>						
F <sub>1</sub> - 75% RDN	19.02	47.57	140.67	78.20	50.8	11.24
F <sub>2</sub> - 100% RDN	19.98	49.86	151.39	84.49	60.3	11.21
F <sub>3</sub> - 125% RDN	20.37	50.96	158.49	88.39	65.6	11.41
<b>SE±</b>	1.92	1.54	2.65	1.33	0.34	0.11
<b>CD (5%)</b>	NS	NS	5.64	2.84	0.72	NS

**Table 2: Effect of treatments on stubbles, mother shoots, shoots, NMC, cane yield, CCS% and B: C ratio in first ratoon cane**

Treatments	Stubbles (000ha)	M. Shoots (000ha)	Shoots (000ha)	NMC (000ha)	Cane Yield (t/ha)	CCS %	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	21.64	35.65	159.26	93.98	74.19	12.53	1.72
T <sub>2</sub>	21.18	35.19	161.81	97.22	83.56	12.54	1.83
T <sub>3</sub>	23.15	38.66	149.42	103.01	82.41	12.26	1.82
T <sub>4</sub>	23.03	37.85	159.84	96.06	79.74	12.16	1.40
T <sub>5</sub>	24.07	39.93	162.62	104.05	78.01	12.32	1.36
T <sub>6</sub>	23.95	39.47	151.04	107.06	94.21	12.52	1.74
T <sub>7</sub>	22.11	36.34	149.54	103.59	81.83	12.69	1.70
T <sub>8</sub>	21.53	35.76	148.15	108.72	88.77	12.68	1.72
T <sub>9</sub>	23.26	39.58	165.79	111.11	98.84	12.44	1.96
<b>SE±</b>	1.21	1.35	6.03	2.83	4.23	0.15	-
<b>CD (5%)</b>	NS	2.87	NS	6.04	8.97	0.31	-

**Table-3a: Effect of treatments on germination at 20, 30, 40 and 50 DAP**

Treatments	Germination%			
	20 DAP	30 DAP	40 DAP	50 DAP
T <sub>1</sub>	3.96	8.79	16.67	30.55
T <sub>2</sub>	6.15	15.92	23.98	33.19
T <sub>3</sub>	10.21	19.07	30.52	37.77
T <sub>4</sub>	12.29	34.44	37.27	42.88
T <sub>5</sub>	5.21	8.88	18.33	27.50
T <sub>6</sub>	6.00	14.90	22.67	34.58
T <sub>7</sub>	11.29	18.37	30.83	38.05
T <sub>8</sub>	13.02	32.40	36.35	45.80
<b>SE±</b>	1.50	3.96	4.28	4.33
<b>CD(5%)</b>	3.21	8.48	9.17	9.28

**Table-3b: Effect of treatments on leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) at 90,120,150 and 180 DAP**

Treatments	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )			
	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	180 DAP
T <sub>1</sub>	275.2	285.6	293.8	298.3
T <sub>2</sub>	342.7	349.5	357.2	366.4
T <sub>3</sub>	371.4	342.3	391.3	399.1
T <sub>4</sub>	396.7	402.7	412.5	419.2
T <sub>5</sub>	327.3	288.3	296.7	303.5
T <sub>6</sub>	342.1	357.4	365.1	372.6
T <sub>7</sub>	345.1	390.8	399.3	406.7
T <sub>8</sub>	369.0	409.7	418.2	423.7
<b>SE±</b>	28.58	2.91	3.68	4.18
<b>CD(5%)</b>	61.30	6.25	7.89	8.96

**Table-3c: Effect of treatments on root dry weight / clump (gm) at 90,120,150 and 180 DAP**

Treatments	Root dry weight / clump (gm)			
	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	180 DAP
T <sub>1</sub>	2.17	4.71	6.80	7.69
T <sub>2</sub>	4.17	6.77	9.26	11.05
T <sub>3</sub>	6.47	10.53	13.15	12.35
T <sub>4</sub>	10.21	13.36	15.16	15.69
T <sub>5</sub>	4.61	7.37	9.49	12..55
T <sub>6</sub>	7.95	10.72	13.18	16.69
T <sub>7</sub>	11.30	15.22	16.13	19.72
T <sub>8</sub>	14.15	18.42	19.09	21.69
<b>SE±</b>	0.33	0.37	0.86	1.28
<b>CD(5%)</b>	0.71	0.79	1.85	2.75

**Table-3d: Effect of treatments on plant height (cm) at 90,120,150 and 180 DAP**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	90DAP	120DAP	150DAP	180DAP
T <sub>1</sub>	86.9	105.3	125.2	132.1
T <sub>2</sub>	87.8	107.6	127.4	133.4
T <sub>3</sub>	88.8	112.4	133.3	140.2
T <sub>4</sub>	101.3	123.5	142.5	148.5
T <sub>5</sub>	82.4	110.8	134.2	141.6
T <sub>6</sub>	86.8	115.3	138.7	145.8
T <sub>7</sub>	92.2	126.6	145.5	154.6
T <sub>8</sub>	113.3	135.7	156.8	197.7
<b>SE±</b>	7.01	4.40	1.13	3.69
<b>CD (5%)</b>	15.03	9.45	2.42	7.93

**Table-3e: Effect of treatments on tillers at 90,120,150 and 180 DAP**

Treatments	Tillers (000/ha)			
	90DAP	120DAP	150DAP	180DAP
T <sub>1</sub>	57.09	75.15	80.09	76.69
T <sub>2</sub>	68.67	86.88	91.36	87.96
T <sub>3</sub>	78.09	94.14	98.61	95.06
T <sub>4</sub>	114.19	123.92	128.39	125.15
T <sub>5</sub>	55.71	81.48	86.27	83.33
T <sub>6</sub>	62.65	91.36	95.37	92.59
T <sub>7</sub>	70.37	98.46	103.55	100.31
T <sub>8</sub>	101.69	130.55	135.55	131.79
<b>SE±</b>	11.23	2.98	2.31	1.75
<b>CD(5%)</b>	24.10	6.40	4.96	3.76

**Table-3f: Effect of treatments on germination, tillers, NMC, Cane Yield and CCS % in cane**

Treatments	Germination (%) at 50 DAP	Tillers (ooo/ha) at 150 DAP	NMC (ooo/ha)	Cane Yield (t/ha)	CCS %
T <sub>1</sub>	30.55	80.09	65.277	48.9	13.22
T <sub>2</sub>	33.19	91.36	76.388	53.5	13.46
T <sub>3</sub>	37.77	98.61	83.333	62.5	13.44
T <sub>4</sub>	42.88	128.39	112.653	78.8	13.64
T <sub>5</sub>	27.50	86.27	71.759	51.4	13.47
T <sub>6</sub>	34.58	95.37	80.555	60.5	13.56
T <sub>7</sub>	38.05	103.55	88.425	67.7	13.48
T <sub>8</sub>	45.80	135.55	118.826	83.2	13.81
<b>SE±</b>	4.33	2.31	1.86	1.20	0.23
<b>CD (5%)</b>	9.28	4.96	3.99	2.58	NS